

Layered Queueing Network Modeling of Software Systems

Building Security System (buffering)

Murray Woodside 5201 Canal Building

Building Security System

- Two subsystems: CCTV storage, and door access control
- hope to manage up to 100 cameras

Canada's Capital University

• Components, shown as UML with MARTE annotations:





Door Access Scenario





CCTV capture scenario





The LQN Model





Each buffer must be emptied before it can be used for another camera

 Thus the buffer is a resource that could have a queue, which should be modeled as the pseudo-task Buffer

Model fragment without buffer

How the buffer pool was modeled





Handling of Buffering (2)

- The operations that require holding the buffer are executed by calls from the buffer pool pseudo-task
 Buffer
 - –separate from the buffer manager task!!
 - –including the execution of the release operation by the buffer manager
 - assumes the manager
 has a dedicated thread
 for release
- releaseBuf is executed by storeImage, or bufEntry



SYSC4102/5101

LQN-examples slide 7



This model illustrates

- how we can model logical resources (the buffer pool)
- the use of forwarding
- the use of second phase to improve concurrency (later)



Results #1

| Ncam | Average Response Time | | | Normalized | Prob of Missing Deadline | | | |
|------|--------------------------|------------|---------|------------|--------------------------|--------|---------|-------|
| | Cycle (sec) | User (sec) | AcqProc | Buffer | StoreProc | AppCPU | Cameras | Doors |
| 10 | 0.327 | 0.127 | 0.960 | 0.9998 | 0.582 | 0.549 | 0 | 0.031 |
| 20 | 0.655 | 0.138 | 0.963 | 0.9999 | 0.582 | 0.545 | 0.0007 | 0.036 |
| 30 | 0.983 | 0.133 | 0.964 | 0.9999 | 0.582 | 0.544 | 0.4196 | 0.038 |
| 40 | 1.310 | 0.129 | 0.965 | 0.9999 | 0.582 | 0.544 | 0.9962 | 0.034 |

- Base case, one buffer, so one camera at a time
- Access-control responses are fine; the event rate was kept constant at 2/s.
- Camera polling becomes too slow between 20 and 30 cameras
 - try adding more buffers.



- cameras fixed at 40, vary the number of buffers NBuf
 - disappointing: the miss probability levels out above 9% at about 7 buffers.
- StoreProc is apparently the new bottleneck: try an additional thread

| NBuf | Average Response Time | | | Normalize | Prob of Missing Deadline | | | |
|------|--------------------------|---------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|-------|
| | Cycle (sec) | User (sec) | AcqProc | Buffer | StoreProc | AppCPU | Cam's | Doors |
| 1 | 1.309 | 0.137 | 0.965 | 0.9999 | 0.583 | 0.544 | 0.9961 | 0.034 |
| 2 | 1.016 | 0.132 | 0.975 | 0.8762 | 0.800 | 0.702 | 0.5503 | 0.032 |
| 3 | 0.941 | 0.132 | 0.980 | 0.8235 | 0.893 | 0.756 | 0.2506 | 0.036 |
| 4 | 0.911 | 0.131 | 0.983 | 0.8042 | 0.936 | 0.782 | 0.1597 | 0.032 |
| 7 | 0.879 | 0.132 | 0.986 | 0.8136 | 0.984 | 0.810 | 0.0948 | 0.033 |
| 10 | 0.872 | 0.129 | 0.987 | 0.8437 | 0.995 | 0.817 | 0.0935 | 0.034 |



Success!

• Two threads on StoreProc combined with 4 buffers brings the miss probability down well within spec of 1 second for each

40 cameras, Nuser = 100 doors, Nbuf = 4 buffers

| No. of | Average I Tir | Response ne | I | Prob of Missing Deadline | | | | |
|-----------|------------------|----------------|---------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|
| Proc | Cycle (sec) | User (sec) | AcqProc | Buffer | StoreProc | AppCPU | Cam's | Doors |
| 1 | 0.911 | 0.131 | 0.983 | 0.8042 | 0.936 | 0.782 | 0.1597 | 0.032 |
| 2 | 0.756 | 0.137 | 0.946 | 0.5805 | 0.616 | 0.940 | 0.0022 | 0.035 |
| 3 | 0.743 | 0.139 | 0.932 | 0.5484 | 0.441 | 0.956 | 0.0015 | 0.039 |



- The saturated resource is AppProc
 - making multiplicity = 2 allows 50 cameras
- The limitation is now at AcquireProc, due to a long service time
 - the time it takes to store the buffers is limiting
 - multithreading alone is not the answer
- To allow an earlier start on the next camera, we can put the calls from AcquireProc into phase 2, with multithreading
 - early reply to VideoController moves the capture on to the next camera much earlier
 - allows the concurrent phase-2 Acquire tasks to run in parallel
- Other adjustments are also possible



• By using phase 2 at AcquireProc and various multiplicities we can get a capacity of 100 cameras.

- Even more capacity can be found with StoreProc.

• Another exploration approach: set multiplicities at inf and see if specified delays are feasible at all, and what mulitplicity is used (= utilizattion), then work down to specified delays.

100 cameras, Nuser = 100 doors, Nbuf = 10 buffers

| Multiplicity (Acquire, | Average Response Time | | N | ormalized | Prob of Missing Deadline | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------|--------|--------|
| Buffer, Store, App. CPU) | Cycle (sec) | User (ms) | Acquire Proc | Buffer | Store Proc | App CPU | Cam's | Doors |
| 2, 4, 2, 2 | 1.250 | 0.133 | 0.988 | 0.923 | 0.886 | 0.710 | 0.9995 | 0.0332 |
| 2, 10, 6, 3 | 0.837 | 0.132 | 0.988 | 0.689 | 0.751 | 0.707 | 0.0057 | 0.0307 |
| 3, 10, 6, 3 | 0.768 | 0.134 | 0.983 | 0.895 | 0.910 | 0.769 | 0.0005 | 0.0352 |