

Internet Traffic Profiling

- Stênio Fernandes

Abstract

This chapter takes you on a journey on Internet traffic, from understanding its profile (i.e., by modeling and analysis) to generating packets or flows (either real or synthetic), in diverse environments. Usual decision network engineers and researchers find when designing performance evaluation experimental plans that are concerned with traffic generation. Suppose that you have measured and collected sufficient Internet traffic in your core network to derive a statistical model of the aggregate traffic. Now you want to use such analytical model for traffic prediction or capacity planning purposes in another *what-if* (*sensitivity*) analysis scenarios [14], via Systems Operational Dependency Analysis (SODA), for example [13]. If your further analysis will be conducted in a simulation environment, you either need to use the available models or to bring your traffic model into the environment as accurate as possible. If your sensitivity analysis will be done in a *test* environment, you have to assess the adequacy of your hardware- or software-based traffic generator. Sections 4.1 and 4.2 provide an overview of traffic analysis by looking at recent advances in traffic identification and classification and then discussing techniques and tools to effectively profile network traffic in a scalable fashion. Section 4.3 provides some examples of models that can be used to generate traffic. It is worth emphasizing that both traffic analysis and traffic modeling are very broad fields of investigation. Section 4.3 also deals with workload generation. There is a particular interest in methods that effectively and efficiently mimic network traffic in a certain layer of the Internet protocol stack. Last but not the least, Sect. 4.4 discusses the workload generation for network protocols and services. There is a lot of material that makes it impossible to condense them in a few lines. There are also a lot of references, so the interested reader should consult the references.

References

Support

Are you an author of research articles?

Take our **author survey** for a chance to win a €300 / \$300 giftcard!

Take our survey ►

1. Economides, Nicholas, and Joacim Tåg. 2012. Network neutrality on the internet: A two-sided market analysis. *Information Economics and Policy* 24 (2): 91–104.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.infoecopol.2012.01.001>).
2. Krämer, Jan, and Lukas Wiewiorra. 2012. Network neutrality and congestion sensitive content providers: Implications for content variety, broadband investment, and regulation. *Information Systems Research* 23 (4): 1303–1321.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/isre.1120.0420>).
3. Kanuparth, Partha, and Constantine Dovrolis. ShaperProbe: End-to-end detection of ISP traffic shaping using active methods. Proceedings of the 2011 ACM SIGCOMM conference on Internet measurement conference. ACM, 2011.
4. Dischinger, Marcel, et al. Glasnost: Enabling end users to detect traffic differentiation. NSDI. 2010.
5. Gupta, Mukta, Joel Sommers, and Paul Barford. Fast, accurate simulation for SDN prototyping. Proceedings of the second ACM SIGCOMM workshop on Hot topics in software defined networking. ACM, 2013.
6. Yao, Wei-Min, and Sonia Fahmy. 2014. Flow-based partitioning of network testbed experiments. *Computer Networks* 58: 141–157.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2013.08.029>).
7. Gupta, Mukta, et al. pfs: Parallelized, flow-based network simulation. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Performance Evaluation of Computer and Telecommunication Systems. Society for Computer Simulation International, 2015.
8. Chertov, Roman, and Sonia Fahmy. Forwarding devices: From measurements to simulations. *ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation (TOMACS)* 21.2 (2011): 12.
9. Vishwanath, Kashi Venkatesh, and Amin Vahdat. 2009. Swing: Realistic and responsive network traffic generation. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking* 17 (3): 712–725.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNET.2009.2020830>).
10. Hafsaoui, Aymen, Navid Nikaein, and Christian Bonnet. Analysis and experimentation with a realistic traffic generation tool for emerging application scenarios. Proceedings of the 6th International ICST Conference on Simulation Tools and Techniques. ICST (Institute for Computer Sciences, Social-Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering), 2013.
11. Za.k.a.y, Netanel, and Dror G. Feitelson. Workload resampling for performance evaluation of parallel schedulers. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience* 26.12 (2014): 2079–2105.
12. Sommers, Joel, and Paul Barford. Self-configuring network traffic generation. Proceedings of the 4th SIGCOMM conference on Internet measurement. ACM, 2004.
13. Vishwanath, Kashi Venkatesh, and Amin Vahdat. Evaluating distributed systems: Does background matter?. USENIX annual technical conference. 2008.
14. Guariniello, Cesare, and Daniel DeLaurentis. 2016. Supporting design via the system operational dependency analysis methodology. *Research in Engineering Design*: 1–17.
15. Hummel, Karin Anna, Helmut Hlavacs, and Wilfried Gansterer, eds. Performance evaluation of computer and communication systems. Milestones and future challenges: IFIP WG 6.3/7.3 International Workshop, PERFORM 2010, in Honor of Günter Haring on the Occasion of His Emeritus Celebration, Vienna, Austria, October 14–16, 2010, Revised Selected Papers. Vol. 6821. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
16. Callado, Arthur, et al. 2009. A survey on internet traffic identification. *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials* 11 (3): 37–52.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/SURV.2009.5344111>).
17. Nguyen, T.T. Thuy, and Grenville Armitage. Traffic classification using machine learning. *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials* 11 (3): 53–62.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/SURV.2009.5344112>).
18. Finsterbusch, Michael, et al. 2014. A survey on internet traffic identification. *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials* 16 (2): 1–17.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/SURV.2014.2344111>).

Support

Are you an author of research articles?

Take our **author survey** for a chance to win a €300 / \$300 giftcard!

[Take our survey ▶](#)

19. Dainotti, Alberto, Antonio Pescape, and Kimberly C. Claffy. 2012. Issues and future directions in traffic classification. *IEEE Network* 26 (1): 35–40.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MNET.2012.6135854>).
20. Tongaonkar, Alok, et al. 2015. Towards self adaptive network traffic classification. *Computer Communications* 56: 35–46.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2014.03.026>).
21. Zhang, Jun, et al. 2013. Unsupervised traffic classification using flow statistical properties and IP packet payload. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* 79 (5): 573–585.
MathSciNet (<http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3030529>) CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcss.2012.11.004>).
22. Fahad, Adil, et al. 2013. Toward an efficient and scalable feature selection approach for internet traffic classification. *Computer Networks* 57 (9): 2040–2057.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2013.04.005>).
23. Zhang, Hongli, et al. 2012. Feature selection for optimizing traffic classification. *Computer Communications* 35 (12): 1457–1471.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2012.04.012>).
24. Cherry, Steven. 2005. The VoIP backlash. *IEEE Spectrum* 42 (10): 61–63.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MSPEC.2005.1515963>).
25. Santiago del Rio, Pedro M., et al. Wire-speed statistical classification of network traffic on commodity hardware. Proceedings of the 2012 ACM conference on Internet measurement conference. ACM, 2012.
26. Szabó, Géza, et al. Traffic classification over Gbit speed with commodity hardware. *IEEE J. Communications Software and Systems* 5 (2010).
27. Zhou, Shijie, Prashant Rao Nittoor, and Viktor K. Prasanna. High-performance traffic classification on gpu. Computer architecture and high performance computing (SBAC-PAD), 2014 IEEE 26th International Symposium on. IEEE, 2014.
28. Fernandes, Stênio, et al. Slimming down deep packet inspection systems. INFOCOM Workshops 2009, IEEE. IEEE, 2009.
29. Hullár, Béla, Sándor Laki, and András György. 2014. Efficient methods for early protocol identification. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* 32 (10): 1907–1918.
30. Bujlow, Tomasz, Valentín Carela-Español, and Pere Barlet-Ros. 2015. Independent comparison of DPI tools for traffic classification. *Computer Networks* 76: 75–89.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2014.11.001>).
31. Wang, Po-Ching, et al. Using string matching for deep packet inspection. *Computer* 41.4 (2008): 23 – +.
32. Ahmad, Ijaz, et al. 2015. Security in software defined networks: A survey. *IEEE Communication Surveys and Tutorials* 17 (4): 2317–2346.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2015.2474118>).
33. Adami, Davide, et al. Towards an SDN network control application for differentiated traffic routing. 2015 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC). IEEE, 2015.
34. Bouet, Mathieu, et al. 2015. Cost-based placement of vDPI functions in NFV infrastructures. *International Journal of Network Management* 25 (6): 490–506.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/nem.1920>).
35. Xu, Chengcheng, et al. A survey on regular expression matching for deep packet inspection: Applications, algorithms and hardware platforms.
36. Shahbar, Khalid, and A. Nur Zincir-Heyran. Flow level and circuit level classification. Computer Communications Symposium on. IEEE, 2014.
37. Lin, Ying-Dar, et al. 2009. Hardware-software co-design for deep packet inspection. *IEEE Micro* 29 (5): 56–65.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MM.2009.5299999>).
38. Nickolls, John, et al. 2008. Scalable para

Are you an author of research articles?

Take our **author survey** for a chance to win a €300 / \$300 giftcard!

Take our survey ►

39. Cook, Shane. CUDA programming: A developer's guide to parallel computing with GPUs. Newnes, 2012.
40. Lee, Chun-Liang, Yi-Shan Lin, and Yaw-Chung Chen. 2015. A hybrid CPU/GPU pattern-matching algorithm for deep packet inspection. *PLoS One* 10 (10): e0139301. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139301\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139301).
41. Cascarano, Niccolo, et al. 2010. iNFAnt: NFA pattern matching on GPGPU devices. *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review* 40 (5): 20–26. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1880153.1880157\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1880153.1880157).
42. Hsieh, Cheng-Liang, Lucas Vespa, and Ning Weng. 2016. A high-throughput DPI engine on GPU via algorithm/implementation co-optimization. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing* 88: 46–56. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpdc.2015.11.001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpdc.2015.11.001).
43. Intel, White Paper. Improving Network Performance in Multi-Core Systems. <http://www.intel.com/content/dam/support/us/en/documents/network/sb/318483001us2.pdf> (<http://www.intel.com/content/dam/support/us/en/documents/network/sb/318483001us2.pdf>). Accessed August 2016
44. Moreno, Victor, et al. 2015. Commodity packet capture engines: Tutorial, cookbook and applicability. *IEEE Communication Surveys and Tutorials* 17 (3): 1364–1390. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2015.2424887\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2015.2424887).
45. Karagiannis, Thomas, Konstantina Papagiannaki, and Michalis Faloutsos. 2005. BLINC: Multilevel traffic classification in the dark. *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review* 35 (4): 229–240. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1090191.1080119\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1090191.1080119).
46. Conti, Mauro, et al. 2016. Analyzing android encrypted network traffic to identify user actions. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security* 11 (1): 114–125. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TIFS.2015.2478741\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TIFS.2015.2478741).
47. Wang, Yong, Wenlong Ke, and Xiaoling Tao. 2016. A feature selection method for large-scale network traffic classification based on spark. *Infection* 7 (1): 6.
48. Fahad, Adil, et al. 2014. An optimal and stable feature selection approach for traffic classification based on multi-criterion fusion. *Future Generation Computer Systems* 36: 156–169. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2013.09.015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2013.09.015).
49. Jaber, Mohamad, Roberto G. Cascella, and Chadi Bara.k.a.t. Using host profiling to refine statistical traffic identification. INFOCOM, 2012 Proceedings IEEE. IEEE, 2012.
50. Zhang, Jun, et al. 2015. Robust network traffic classification. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking* 23 (4): 1257–1270. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNET.2014.2320577\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNET.2014.2320577).
51. Shammari, Riyadh, and A. Nur Zincir-Heywood. An investigation on the identification of VoIP traffic: Case study on Gtalk and Skype. 2010 International Conference on Network and Service Management. IEEE, 2010.
52. Haddadi, Fariba, et al. Botnet behaviour analysis using ip flows: With http filters using classifiers. Advanced Information Networking and Applications Workshops (WAINA), 2014 28th International Conference on. IEEE, 2014.
53. Baer, Arian, et al. DBStream: A holistic approach to large-scale network traffic monitoring and analysis. *Computer Networks* (2016).
54. Karagiannis, Thomas, et al. Is p2p dying or just hiding?[p2p traffic measurement]. Global telecommunications conference, 2004. GLOBECOM'04 IEEE Vol 2 IEEE 2004.
55. James, Gareth, et al. 2013. *An introduction to the theory of statistics*. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4479-0063-2\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4479-0063-2).
56. John Lu, Z.Q. 2010. The elements of statistical theory. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B* 72 (2): 279–280. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2010.00741.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2010.00741.x).
57. Vapnik, Vladimir. The nature of statistical learning theory. Springer, 2013.

Support

Are you an author of research articles?

Take our **author survey** for a chance to win a €300 / \$300 giftcard!

Take our survey ►

2013.

58. Callado, Arthur, et al. 2010. Better network traffic identification through the independent combination of techniques. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications* 33 (4): 433–446. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2010.02.002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2010.02.002).
59. Casas, Pedro, Johan Mazel, and Philippe Owezarski. MINETRAC: Mining flows for unsupervised analysis & semi-supervised classification. Proceedings of the 23rd International Teletraffic Congress. International Teletraffic Congress, 2011.
60. de Souza, Erico N., Stan Matwin, and Stenio Fernandes. Network traffic classification using AdaBoost dynamic. 2013 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops (ICC). IEEE, 2013.
61. Zhang, Jun, et al. 2013. An effective network traffic classification method with unknown flow detection. *IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management* 10 (2): 133–147. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNSM.2013.022713.120250\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNSM.2013.022713.120250).
62. ———. 2013. Network traffic classification using correlation information. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems* 24 (1): 104–117. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TPDS.2012.98\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TPDS.2012.98)
63. Grimaudo, Luigi, et al. 2014. SeLeCT: Self-learning classifier for internet traffic. *IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management* 11 (2): 144–157. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNSM.2014.011714.130505\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNSM.2014.011714.130505).
64. Haddadi, Fariba, and A. Nur Zincir-Heywood. A closer look at the HTTP and P2P based botnets from a detector’s perspective. International symposium on foundations and practice of security. Springer International Publishing, 2015.
65. ———. Benchmarking the effect of flow exporters and protocol filters on botnet traffic classification. (2014).
66. Buczak, Anna L., and Erhan Guven. 2015. A survey of data mining and machine learning methods for cyber security intrusion detection. *IEEE Communication Surveys and Tutorials* 18 (2): 1153–1176. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2015.2494502\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2015.2494502).
67. Sandvine, “Internet Traffic Classification – A Sandvine Technology Showcase”, White Paper, <https://www.sandvine.com/downloads/general/sandvine-technology-showcases/traffic-classification-identifying-and-measuring-internet-traffic.pdf> (<https://www.sandvine.com/downloads/general/sandvine-technology-showcases/traffic-classification-identifying-and-measuring-internet-traffic.pdf>) . Accessed 2016
68. ———, Mininet. MiniNet. (2014).
69. Sommers, Joel, Hyungsuk Kim, and Paul Barford. Harpoon: A flow-level traffic generator for router workload tests. ACM SIGMETRICS Performance Evaluation Review. Vol. 32. No. 1. ACM, 2004.
70. Laurent, Nicolas, Stefano Vissicchio, and Marco Canini. SDLoad: An extensible framework for SDN workload generation. Proceedings of the third workshop on Hot topics in software defined networking. ACM, 2014.
71. Becchi, Michela, Mark Franklin, and Patrick Crowley. A workload for evaluating deep packet inspection architectures. Workload Characterization, 2008. IISWC 2008. IEEE International Symposium on. IEEE, 2008.
72. A Framework for Malicious Workload Generation, Joel Sommers, Vinod Yegneswaran, Paul Barford
73. Antonatos, Spyros, Kostas G. Anagnostakis, and Evangelos P. Markatos. Generating realistic workloads for network intrusion detection systems. ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes. No. 1. ACM, 2004.
74. Valgenti, Victor C., and Min Sik Kim. Signature-based intrusion detection systems. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Detection Techniques. ICST (Institute for Computer Science and Technology in Engineering), 2011.
75. Shiravi, Ali, et al. 2012. Toward developing a workload for evaluating deep packet inspection architectures for intrusion detection. *Computers & Security* 31 (2): 133–147. [CrossRef \(http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2012.02.002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2012.02.002).

Support

Are you an author of research articles?

Take our **author survey** for a chance to win a €300 / \$300 giftcard!

Take our survey ►

76. Siska, Peter, et al. A flow trace generator using graph-based traffic classification techniques. Proceedings of the 6th International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Conference. ACM, 2010.
77. Sommers, Joel, et al. Efficient network-wide flow record generation. INFOCOM, 2011 Proceedings IEEE. IEEE, 2011.
78. Tang, Wenting, et al. Medisyn: A synthetic streaming media service workload generator. Proceedings of the 13th international workshop on Network and operating systems support for digital audio and video. ACM, 2003.
79. Summers, Jim, et al. Methodologies for generating HTTP streaming video workloads to evaluate web server performance. Proceedings of the 5th Annual International Systems and Storage Conference. ACM, 2012.
80. Mosberger, David, and Tai Jin. 1998. httpperf—a tool for measuring web server performance. *ACM SIGMETRICS Performance Evaluation Review* 26 (3): 31–37. CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/306225.306235>)
81. Pegus II, Patrick, Emmanuel Cecchet, and Prashant Shenoy. Video BenchLab: An open platform for realistic benchmarking of streaming media workloads. Proceedings of the 6th ACM Multimedia Systems Conference. ACM, 2015.
82. Botta, Alessio, Alberto Dainotti, and Antonio Pescapé. 2010. Do you trust your software-based traffic generator? *IEEE Communications Magazine* 48 (9): 158–165. CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MCOM.2010.5560600>).
83. Groléat, Tristan, et al. Flexible, extensible, open-source and affordable FPGA-based traffic generator. Proceedings of the first edition workshop on High performance and programmable networking. ACM, 2013.
84. Megyesi, Péter, Géza Szabó, and Sándor Molnár. 2015. User behavior based traffic emulator: A framework for generating test data for DPI tools. *Computer Networks* 92: 41–54. CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2015.09.026>).
85. Leland, Will E., et al. 1994. On the self-similar nature of Ethernet traffic (extended version). *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking* 2 (1): 1–15. MathSciNet (<http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1286501>) CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/90.282603>).
86. Willinger, Walter, et al. 1997. Self-similarity through high-variability: Statistical analysis of Ethernet traffic at the source level. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking (ToN)* 5 (1): 71–86. CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/90.554723>).
87. Wang, Ting, and Jason Liu. Cluster-based spatiotemporal background traffic generation for network simulation. *ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation (TOMACS)* 25.1 (2015): 4.
88. Paxson, Vern, et al. Computing TCP's retransmission timer. No. RFC 6298. 2011.
89. Chertov, Roman, Sonia Fahmy, and Ness B. Shroff. Emulation versus simulation: A case study of TCP-targeted denial of service attacks. 2nd International Conference on Testbeds and Research Infrastructures for the Development of Networks and Communities, 2006. Tridentcom 2006.. IEEE, 2006.
90. Zeigler, Bernard P. Discrete event system specification framework for self-improving healthcare service systems. (2016).
91. Tsai, Wei-Tek, Wu Li, Hessam Sarjoughian, and Qihong Shao. 2011. SimSaaS: Simulation software-as-a-service. In Proceedings of the 44th Annual Simulation Symposium(ANSS'11). Society for Computer Simulation International, San Diego, 77–86.
92. Banks, B. Carson, J.S.I.I. Nelson, and B. B. Nelson. *Simulation of Manufacturing Systems*. John Wiley & Sons, 2004. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* 48: 489–459.
93. Cassandras, Christos G., and Stéphane Lafortune. *Discrete Event Systems*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006. MATH (<http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?2009d:90011>).
94. IEEE standard glossary of modeling and simulation terms. IEEE Std 1074-2008. IEEE, 2008.
95. Zeigler, B.P. 1976. *Theory of modeling and simulation*. Wiley, 1976.

Support

Are you an author of research articles?

Take our **author survey** for a chance to win a €300 / \$300 giftcard!

[Take our survey ▶](#)

MATH (<http://www.emis.de/MATH-item?0352.68122>).

96. Concepcion, Arturo I., and B. F. Zeigler. DEVS formalism: A framework for hierarchical model development. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 14.2 (1988): 228.
97. Wainer, Gabriel A., and Pieter J. Mosterman, eds. 2010. *Discrete-event modeling and simulation: Theory and applications*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
98. Gibbs, Jonathan Douglas. An approach to evaluating computer network simulation tool support for verification and validation. Diss. Master's thesis, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, 2009.
99. Kruchten, Philippe. 2004. *The rational unified process: An introduction*. Boston: Addison-Wesley Professional.
100. Fujimoto, R. M., Perumalla, K. S., and Riley, G. F., Network simulation, synthesis lectures on communication networks 2006 1:1, 1–72
101. Altman, Eitan, and Tania Jimenez. 2012. NS simulator for beginners. *Synthesis Lectures on Communication Networks* 5 (1): 1–184.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.2200/S00397ED1V01Y201112CNT010>).
102. Małowidzki, Marek, et al. 2001. 01-Network Simulators: A developer's perspective. *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review* 31 (2): 9–24.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/505666.505669>)
103. Garrido, P. Pablo, Manuel P. Malumbres, and Carlos T. Calafate. ns-2 vs. OPNET: A comparative study of the IEEE 802.11 e technology on MANET environments. Proceedings of the 1st international conference on Simulation tools and techniques for communications, networks and systems & workshops. ICST (Institute for Computer Sciences, Social-Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering), 2008.
104. García-Dorado, José Luis, et al. 2013. High-performance network traffic processing systems using commodity hardware. In *Data traffic monitoring and analysis*, 3–27. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer.
CrossRef (http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-36784-7_1).
105. Cellier, F., and E. Kofman. 2006. Discrete event simulation. In *Continuous system simulation*, 519–554. US: Springer.
106. Zeigler, B.P., H.S. Song, T.G. Kim, and H. Praehofer. 1995. In *DEVS framework for modelling, simulation, analysis, and design of hybrid systems hybrid systems II*, ed. P. Antsaklis, W. Kohn, A. Nerode, and S. Sastry, 529–551. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer.
107. Bonaventura, MatÃas, Daniel Foguelman, and Rodrigo Castro. 2016. Discrete event modeling and data-driven engineering for the atlas data acquisition network. *Computing in Science & Engineering* 18(1): 70–83.
CrossRef (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2016.58>)

About this Chapter

Title

Internet Traffic Profiling

Book Title

Performance Evaluation for Network Services, Systems and Protocols

Pages

pp 113-152

Copyright

2017

DOI

10.1007/978-3-319-54521-9_4

Print ISBN

978-3-319-54519-6

Online ISBN

Are you an author of research articles?

Take our **author survey** for a chance to win a €300 / \$300 giftcard!

Take our survey ▶

978-3-319-54521-9

Publisher

Springer International Publishing

Copyright Holder

Springer International Publishing AG

Additional Links

- [About this Book](#)

Topics

- [Computer Systems Organization and Communication Networks](#)
- [Information Systems Applications \(incl. Internet\)](#)
- [Communications Engineering, Networks](#)

Industry Sectors

- [Automotive](#)
- [Electronics](#)
- [IT & Software](#)
- [Telecommunications](#)
- [Consumer Packaged Goods](#)
- [Aerospace](#)
- [Engineering](#)

eBook Packages

- [Computer Science](#)

Authors



- [Stênio Fernandes](#) ⁽²⁾

Affiliations

- 2. Centro de Informática, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil

We use cookies to improve your experience with our site. [More information](#)

Are you an author of research articles?

Take our **author survey** for a chance to win a €300 / \$300 giftcard!

[Take our survey ▶](#)