# Health technologies for the prevention and detection of falls in adult hospital inpatients: a scoping review

Kay Cooper<sup>1,2,3</sup> • Anastasia Pavlova<sup>2</sup> • Leon Greig<sup>2</sup> • Paul Swinton<sup>2</sup> • Pamela Kirkpatrick<sup>1,4</sup> • Fiona Mitchelhill<sup>3</sup> • Susan Simpson<sup>3</sup> • Audrey Stephen<sup>4</sup> • Lyndsay Alexander<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Scottish Centre for Evidence-based, Multi-professional Practice: A JBI Centre of Excellence, Aberdeen, UK, <sup>2</sup>School of Health Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen, UK, <sup>3</sup>NHS Grampian, Aberdeen, UK, and <sup>4</sup>School of Nursing, Midwifery and Paramedic Practice, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen, UK

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** The objective of this scoping review was to examine and map the evidence relating to the reporting and evaluation of technologies for the prevention and detection of falls in adult hospital inpatients.

**Introduction:** Falls are a common cause of accidental injury, leading to significant safety issues in hospitals globally, and resulting in substantial human and economic costs. Previous research has focused on community settings with less emphasis on hospital settings.

**Inclusion criteria:** Participants included adult inpatients, aged 18 years and over; the concept included the use of fall-prevention or fall-detection technologies; the context included any hospital ward setting.

**Methods:** This scoping review was conducted according to JBI methodology for scoping reviews, guided by an *a priori* protocol. A wide selection of databases including MEDLINE, CINAHL, AMED, Embase, PEDro, Epistimonikos, and Science Direct were searched for records from inception to October 2019. Other sources included gray literature, trial registers, government health department websites, and websites of professional bodies. Only studies in the English language were included. A three-step search strategy was employed, with all records exported for subsequent title and abstract screening prior to full-text screening. Screening was performed by two independent reviewers and data extraction by one reviewer following agreement checks. Data are presented in narrative and tabular form.

**Results:** Over 13,000 records were identified with 404 included in the scoping review: 336 reported on fallprevention technologies, 51 targeted detection, and 17 concerned both. The largest contributions of studies came from the USA (n=185), Australia (n=65), the UK (n=36), and Canada (n=18). There was a variety of study designs including 77 prospective cohort studies, 33 before-after studies, and 35 systematic reviews; however, relatively few randomized controlled trials were conducted (n = 25). The majority of records reported on multifactorial and multicomponent technologies (n = 178), followed by fall detection devices (n = 86). Few studies reported on the following interventions in isolation: fall risk assessment (n = 6), environment design (n = 8), sitters (n = 5), rounding (n = 3), exercise (n = 3), medical/pharmaceutical (n = 2), physiotherapy (n = 1), and nutritional (n = 1). The majority (57%) of studies reported clinical effectiveness outcomes, with smaller numbers (14%) reporting feasibility and/or acceptability outcomes, or cost-effectiveness outcomes (5%).

**Conclusions:** This review has mapped the literature on fall-prevention and fall-detection technology and outcomes for adults in the hospital setting. Despite the volume of available literature, there remains a need for further high-quality research on fall-prevention and fall-detection technologies.

Keywords: accidental falls; fall detection; fall prevention; health technologies; hospital patients

JBI Evid Synth 2021; 19(10):2478-2658.

Correspondence: Kay Cooper, k.cooper@rgu.ac.uk KC is an associate editor of JBI Evidence Synthesis and was not involved in the editorial processing of this manuscript. The other authors declare no conflict of interest. DOI: 10.11124/JBIES-20-00114

#### Introduction

**F** alls are the second-most-common cause of accidental or unintentional injury, resulting in around 646,000 deaths worldwide each year.<sup>1</sup> A fall is considered an event where a person comes to rest

JBI Evidence Synthesis

© 2021 JBI. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

inadvertently on the ground, floor, or other level, with adults aged over 65 years most commonly affected.<sup>1</sup> Falls are a significant safety issue in hospitals, because individuals who may not be at full capacity are placed in an unfamiliar environment and faced with new challenges of performing activities of daily living. The fall rate in hospitals is high, with studies reporting rates of 18 falls per 1000 occupied bed days in a UK general hospital<sup>2</sup>; 3.5 to 11.5 falls per 1000 patient days across US hospitals<sup>3</sup>; and 6.45 falls per 1000 occupied bed days in Australian medical and surgical wards.<sup>4</sup> However, the true rates may be even higher because fall rates can be under-reported.<sup>5</sup>

In cases where a fall is not fatal, many result in injuries that require medical attention (37.3 million globally).<sup>1</sup> This can result in pain; loss of function and confidence, and therefore independence; long-term disability; increased morbidity; and admission into long-term care.<sup>6</sup> In addition to the human cost of falls, there are substantial economic costs. Globally, the yearly costs per fall victim range from USD\$2044 to \$25,955 (2006 prices<sup>7</sup>), and overall annual costs of falls range from USD\$23.3 billion (2008 prices) in the USA to GBP£1.6 billion in the UK.<sup>8</sup> The estimated cost to the NHS alone was GBP£2.3 billion in 2015.<sup>6</sup> The aging population is predicted to increase to almost 2.1 billion globally by 2050<sup>9</sup> and, therefore, the rate and cost of falls are likely to rise.

One of the difficulties in preventing falls is the large number of contributing risk factors. In hospitals, patients most associated with falls are older people with a history of falls, cognitive impairment, and/or sedative and antidepressant use.<sup>10</sup> Other commonly reported risk factors include gender, race, and chronic health conditions.<sup>11</sup> The use of multi-factorial risk assessments, which identify an individual's risk factors for falls, is advised<sup>12</sup>; however, these assessments will not reduce the incidence of falls unless they are accompanied by appropriate and effective risk-reduction interventions.

There is a plethora of literature relating to fall prevention, particularly in community-dwelling adults,<sup>13</sup> with comparatively less literature relating to the inpatient setting. Fall-prevention interventions that have been reported in care facilities and hospitals include patient education, exercise, rounding, environmental adaptation, and individually tailored multicomponent interventions.<sup>14</sup> Equally important are fall-detection interventions, which aim to detect

falls early in order to reduce the likelihood of injury. These often involve some form of sensor or other detection device, which can alert health care staff of an impending or occurred fall.<sup>15</sup> The current scoping review included both prevention and detection interventions. Although the majority of research has concerned community-dwelling adults, an initial literature search identified a growing body of literature in the hospital setting, suggesting that a scoping review was worthwhile.

The concept of health technologies is gaining recognition as a tool for organizations to improve care. The term is often thought to relate to medical devices; however, the World Health Organization define health technologies as "... the application of organized knowledge and skills in the form of devices, medicines, vaccines, procedures, and systems developed to solve a health problem and improve quality of lives."<sup>16(para.1)</sup> Examples of technologies used in hospitals to prevent falls include: patient and staff education; intentional rounding; environmental alterations (eg, bathroom or bed modifications, clearing patient pathway); medication review; fall risk communication aids (eg, identification wristbands, bed posters, door stickers); alarms and pagers; physiotherapy and exercise; multidisciplinary team approaches to prevention; and service model changes.<sup>14,17-19</sup> While the broad definition of health technologies reflects the varied scope of practices that can be and have been implemented in fall prevention, it also presents challenges for mapping the evidence. However, application of the ProFaNE taxonomy developed through the Prevention of Falls Network Europe project can be used to provide structure and assist with synthesis of evidence.<sup>20</sup> In contrast, technologies that have been used for detecting falls comprise a narrower range and commonly include devices such as body-worn sensors or stationary detection devices, including pressure sensors and video-based tracking.<sup>15,21</sup>

Recent systematic reviews have focused on detection devices<sup>15</sup> or limited study design inclusion to randomized controlled trials (RCTs).<sup>14</sup> Lapierre *et al.*<sup>15</sup> conducted a scoping review to examine the extent and diversity of current technologies for fall detection in older adults. The review identified 118 studies, with technologies organized into 10 categories, ranging from wearable to ambient sensors. In contrast, the RCT-based systematic review and meta-analysis conducted by Cameron *et al.*<sup>14</sup>

quantified effectiveness of interventions designed to reduce falls in older people in both care facilities and hospitals. Reviews of RCTs are valuable, but considering that RCTs are challenging to perform in this context, and therefore less common, the broader range of interventions and technologies available may have been missed. A scoping review allows for a broader area of literature to be examined and mapped. Rather than providing specific recommendations to health care providers, in keeping with scoping review methodology,<sup>22</sup> the objective of this scoping review was to examine and map the evidence relating to the reporting and evaluation of technologies that are currently being used or developed for the prevention and detection of falls in adult hospital inpatients. This scoping review is the first stage in a project that will result in a series of systematic reviews on fall prevention and detection to inform policy and practice in the UK hospital setting. Mapping the current evidence base will inform the focus of the subsequent reviews, which we anticipate will focus on effectiveness of individual or groups of technologies, and feasibility and acceptability of fall-prevention technologies in the hospital setting.

#### **Review questions**

What fall-prevention and fall-detection technologies have been reported in the literature?

What outcomes have been reported that measure fall-prevention and fall-detection technologies in terms of clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, acceptability, and feasibility?

#### **Inclusion criteria**

#### Participants

This scoping review considered literature that focused on adult inpatients admitted for care in a hospital setting. Much of the falls literature focuses on "older" adults, which is variably defined; however, we were interested in mapping fall-prevention/detection technologies in any setting and any adult population, therefore adults were defined as 18 years and over. The settings included elective, non-elective (emergency admission and accident and emergency), daycase (ambulatory care), and hospitals providing acute or subacute care, with subacute care defined as "medical and skilled nursing services provided to patients who are not in an acute phase of illness."<sup>23(para.1)</sup> In an amendment to our published protocol, we also included community or laboratorybased studies where technologies were being developed/tested for eventual use in the hospital setting. This enabled us to include emerging or near-ready technologies for use in the hospital setting in our map of technologies, as it will be important to track their development in order to provide contemporary evidence to inform policy and practice.

#### Concept

This scoping review considered literature that reported on the use of fall-prevention or falldetection technologies as well as literature reporting on their clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, feasibility, and acceptability; literature reporting on one or more of these aspects was considered. Literature discussing types of technologies (measured against the definition of health technologies by the World Health Organization<sup>16</sup>) was considered, as well as studies reporting on the results of specific interventions. Following searching, we amended the protocol to include literature relating to "emerging technology," that is, fall-prevention or fall-detection technologies being designed for the hospital setting but tested in mock inpatient settings in laboratories and/ or with healthy participants. We felt it would be negligent to exclude this literature, particularly as some of the technologies were near-ready for inpatient setting studies.

#### Context

This scoping review considered literature from any hospital ward setting and any clinical specialty. In order for some homogeneity to be achieved, and for the results to be applicable to the UK setting where the larger project is being conducted, inclusion was limited to countries demonstrating very high human development,<sup>9</sup> confirmed by their inclusion on the very high human development index (HDI) list.<sup>24</sup> At the time of conducting the review, 51 countries had very high human development. As described above, we also included laboratory settings, where the literature was relevant to the hospital setting. While some systematic reviews have included residential care facilities in addition to hospital settings,<sup>14</sup> we did not include residential care facilities in this scoping review due to the volume of literature available on hospital settings alone, the potential (albeit subtle in some cases) difference in populations, and the aim of this scoping review being to directly inform policy and practice in the hospital setting.

#### Types of studies

This scoping review considered published and unpublished literature, including primary research studies (any type), systematic and narrative reviews, reports, and expert opinion.

A range of quantitative study designs were considered, including experimental, quasi-experimental, descriptive, and observational studies reporting information on clinical or cost-effectiveness outcomes. Qualitative study designs, including phenomenology, grounded theory, ethnography, and action research, were also considered to report on acceptability and feasibility of interventions. Systematic and narrative reviews that synthesized evidence on aspects of fall prevention and detection relevant to the review questions were considered. We also considered government reports, expert opinion, discussion papers, position papers, and other forms of text for inclusion.

Studies that focused exclusively on residential settings such as care homes were excluded as these have been reviewed elsewhere.<sup>25</sup> Protocols were excluded, as were studies originating from countries not on the very high HDI list.

#### **Methods**

This scoping review was conducted according to JBI methodology for scoping reviews,<sup>22</sup> guided by an *a priori* protocol.<sup>26</sup> It is reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR).<sup>27</sup>

#### Search strategy

The search strategy was developed in consultation with an information scientist and aimed to identify published and unpublished literature. A three-step search strategy was employed.<sup>22</sup> Firstly, an initial limited search was performed in MEDLINE and CINAHL, followed by an analysis of the text words contained in the resulting titles and abstracts, and of the keywords and index terms used to describe the publications. Secondly, a search strategy tailored to each information source was developed based on the identified keywords and index terms, and a second search was performed in all databases. Finally, the reference lists of all included literature were hand searched for additional sources. Given that the scope of this review covers all health technologies, we deliberately opted for a broad search rather than including individual search terms for each

technology (eg, education, environment, exercise, medical, nutrition, sitters). Due to time and resource limitations, only studies published in English were included<sup>26</sup> and databases were searched from inception to October 12, 2019.

The following databases were searched for published literature: MEDLINE (EBSCO), JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, CINAHL (EBSCO), AMED (EBSCO), Embase (Ovid), PEDro, Epistimonikos, EPPI-Centre (DoPHER and TRoPHI), Cochrane Library (controlled trials and systematic reviews), ACM Digital, Compendex, IEEE Xplore, and Science Direct. Gray literature was identified from Google Scholar, EThOS, MedNar, and OpenGrey. The following trial registries were searched: Clinicaltrials.gov, ISRCTN Registry, The Research Registry, European Union Clinical Trials Registry (EU-CTR), and Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ANZCTR). In addition, government health department websites and websites of professional bodies, such as the Department of Health and Social Care (UK); The US Department of Health and Human Services (USA); Health Resources and Services Administration (USA); Australian Government Department of Health (Australia); Royal College of General Practitioners (UK); Australian Medical Association (Australia); American Medical Association (USA); Royal College of Nursing (UK); American Nurses Association (USA); and the Chartered Society of Physiotherapy (UK), were searched for information relating to fall prevention and detection. Additional databases and resources were searched in a deviation to the published protocol based on subsequent consultation with an information scientist. The detailed search strategy can be found in Appendix I.

#### Study selection

Search results were imported to RefWorks v.2.0 (Proquest LLC, Ann Arbor, USA) and duplicates were removed. Thereafter, in an amendment to the original protcol, search results were imported to Covidence (Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia) to facilitate the review process. Titles and abstracts of all sources were screened by two independent reviewers (pairings of KC/AP/LG/PS/PK/AS/LA allocated by Covidence) for relevance to the review questions. Full-text manuscripts were retrieved for studies that potentially met the inclusion criteria. Any disagreements between reviewers

were resolved by a third reviewer. Full-text screening was likewise performed by two independent reviewers (pairings of KC/AP/LG/PS/PK/AS/LA allocated by Covidence) with disagreements resolved by a third reviewer where necessary. Studies identified from hand-searching of reference lists were assessed for relevance based on their titles and abstracts, with those meeting the inclusion criteria added to the fulltext screening stage.

#### Data extraction

A bespoke extraction tool was piloted on 10 studies by two independent reviewers (KC, AP) and discussed within the research team (KC, LA, AP). The tool was then edited to best inform the review questions. Ten percent of the extraction was initially performed by two independent reviewers (KC, AP). Based on good agreement and the need to facilitate extraction from the large number of included sources, the remaining extraction was conducted by one reviewer. Where uncertainties arose, these were discussed with another member of the review team. Discussions most occurred when categorizing health technologies informed by the ProFaNE taxonomy, with categories including education/training; environment design; exercise; medical/pharmaceutical; multicomponent intervention; multifactorial technologies; nutritional; physiotherapy; rounding; sitters: stationary fall-detection device; wearable detection device; other; or unclassified. The extraction tool can be found in Appendix II.

#### Data analysis and presentation

An MS Excel (Redmond, Washington, USA) spreadsheet, formatted according to the extraction tool used to extract data, included coding that was used to tabulate results and create figures for visual representation of results. Codes were provided for study designs, purpose, and type of health technology, which were previously discussed and agreed upon within the study team. All categories are listed in the extraction tool (Appendix II). A narrative summary accompanies the tabulated and charted results, and describes how the results relate to the review's objective and questions.

#### Results

#### Study inclusion

Through database searching, 13,553 records were identified, with a further 586 from other sources

(Figure  $1^{27}$ ). Following the exclusion of 4503 duplicates and 8842 records that did not meet the inclusion criteria, a total of 794 studies were identified for full-text examination. Upon full-text examination, a further 390 studies were excluded for the following reasons: ineligible concept (n = 133); ineligible setting (n = 98); not reporting on fall prevention or detection (n = 92); full text inaccessible (n = 19); intervention not reported (n = 12); not in English (n = 11); duplicate (n = 10); protocol only (n = 9); and ineligible patient population (n=6). The full reference list and reasons for exclusion of individual studies are presented in Appendix III. Therefore, 404 studies were included in the scoping review (full reference list of included studies is presented in Appendix IV and summary of characteristics table is presented in Appendix V).

## Characteristics of included studies

#### Year of publication

The two earliest studies identified were published in 1988 (Table 1). Publication numbers peaked in 2015 (n = 39) and otherwise remained stable between 2014 (n = 35) and 2017 (n = 34), after which the number reduced to 25 relevant studies in 2018, and 9 studies in 2019 at the time of the search.

#### **Country of origin**

The included publications originated from 30 countries with the majority of literature originating from the USA (n = 185), Australia (n = 65) and the UK (n = 36), as shown in Table 2.

#### Article type

Out of all included articles, there were 77 prospective cohort studies, 18 retrospective cohort studies, 35 systematic reviews, 30 narrative reviews, 33 before-after designs, 11 descriptive studies, 30 text and opinion pieces, and 25 RCTs. Twenty-nine articles were not easily classified and were noted as "other," which comprised an overview of one hospital's experience; educational article series; interrupted time series; research round table; casecontrol study; biomechanical evaluation of technology; case study; development and acceptability; usability and/or feasibility analysis; umbrella review; pilot study; incremental study; incremental design with pilot prospective RCT; retrospective comparative design. A summary of all included study designs can be found in Table 3.




Figure 1: Search results and article selection and inclusion process<sup>27</sup>

A common research design (n = 54) was coded as "emerging technology development." These studies were defined as describing or reporting on the development and testing of technological devices such as sensors,<sup>28</sup> wearable devices,<sup>18</sup> and cameras.<sup>29</sup> These studies commonly reported on studies conducted in controlled laboratory conditions using healthy volunteers.

Year of publication	No. of studies per year of publication	Year of publication	No. of studies per year of publication
1988	2	2005	10
1991	2	2006	15
1992	1	2007	15
1993	2	2008	17
1994	2	2009	19
1996	2	2010	16
1997	2	2011	28
1998	3	2012	30
1999	4	2013	29
2000	2	2014	35
2001	7	2015	39
2002	3	2016	34
2003	4	2017	34
2004	13	2018	25
-	-	2019	9
		Total	404

### Table 1: Summary of publication years of included studies (1988 to 2019)

### Table 2: Summary of countries of origin of included studies and their total number

Countries of origin	No. of studies per country
Czech Republic, Finland, Hong-Kong (China), Israel, Saudi Arabia, Slovenia	1 study each (total 6)
Austria, Norway, Portugal, Taiwan	2 studies each (total 8)
Belgium, India, New Zealand, Poland, Sweden, Switzerland	3 studies each (total 18)
Spain	5
Netherlands	5
Korea	5
France	5
Germany	6
Ireland	7
Singapore	10
Italy	12
Japan	13
Canada	18
UK	36
Australia	65
USA	185

JBI Evidence Synthesis

© 2021 JBI 2484

## © 2021 JBI. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Table 3: Summary of research designs of included
studies categorized by purpose of technology
(prevention of falls, detection of falls, or both)

Study design	Prevention	Detection	Both
Audit	6	-	-
Before-after	33	-	-
Cohort (prospective)	70	5	2
Cohort (retrospective)	16	1	1
Descriptive	9	1	1
Diagnostic test accuracy	-	3	-
Economic evaluation	2	-	-
Emerging technology development	12	36	6
Historically controlled trial	4	-	-
Implementation	1	-	-
Mixed methods	3	1	-
Observational	3	-	-
Qualitative	14	-	1
Quality improvement	15	-	-
Quasi-experimental	9	-	-
Randomized controlled trial	24	-	1
Narrative review	26	2	2
Systematic review	34	1	-
Text and opinion	26	1	3
Other	29	-	-
Total	336	51	17

#### Participants and settings

Of the studies, 229 explicitly reported having patient participants, with a large number of participants age 65 years or older. These studies were set in hospitals, with some reporting on specific wards such as oncology<sup>30</sup> or stroke rehabilitation,<sup>31</sup> while others included all patients admitted to hospital during the study period.<sup>32</sup> Twenty-four studies involved healthy volunteers, the majority being emerging technology studies.<sup>[eg,33]</sup> Seventy studies included hospital staff,<sup>[eg,34]</sup> particularly nurses. The remaining studies included those reporting on general service changes (eg, implementation projects) rather than reporting on patient outcomes, or were secondary research (eg, narrative reviews, text and opinion studies).

#### Review findings Technology purpose

Of the included studies, 83% reported on technologies aimed at fall prevention (n = 336), with 13% (n = 51) targeting fall detection and 4% (n = 17) aimed at both prevention *and* detection. The majority of detection studies came from the emerging technology development literature (Table 3).


#### Health technology type

The health technologies presented have been categorized by type and number (Figure 2). Most studies (n = 178) reported on combined technologies, including multifactorial technologies (defined as health technologies tailored to each individual's risk factors<sup>[eg,35]</sup>) and multicomponent technologies (the same set of health technologies applied to all persons at risk of falling<sup>[eg,36]</sup>). Many of these interventions involved some form of fall risk assessment and a set of interventions from some or all of the categories detailed later (eg, fall-detection devices, rounding, environment changes, education, sitters, fall risk identification, signage). Other common elements included the introduction of fall safety teams or multidisciplinary fall teams<sup>[eg,37]</sup> and fall safety champions<sup>[eg,38]</sup> who were responsible for leading fall-prevention efforts, reviewing progress, and identifying areas for improvement. However, it was often difficult to discern the technology type, whether multifactorial or multicomponent, especially where not explicitly stated. Therefore, for the purpose of this scoping review, a combined technologies category was created including any health technology (both multifactorial or multicomponent) that included two or more components at the same time, whether linked to a risk assessment or not.

Fall-detection devices (stationary and wearable) were the second-most-frequently reported technology types (n = 86). A large number of these (n = 54) were emerging technologies that were not specifically tested with patients but were being developed in controlled laboratory or replicated environments with the aim of being used by patients in the near future. Examples included depth cameras for tracking patient movement and predicting fall behaviors<sup>39</sup>; accelerometer devices worn by participants on the wrist, chest, thighs, and/or ankles, which can alert staff if a fall is detected<sup>40,41</sup>; chair sensors<sup>42</sup> or bed sensors<sup>43</sup> using pattern recognition to predict fall behavior and send alarms; pressure sensor

JBI Evidence Synthesis

© 2021 JBI **2485** 



Number of studies

Figure 2: Summary of health technologies featured in included studies and total numbers of each type. "Other" includes health technologies that were not easily grouped or categorized.

carpets or floor mats<sup>44</sup>; electromyography-based sensors that can detect poor balance based on prediction algorithms<sup>33</sup>; smartphone-based sensors<sup>45</sup>; complex devices including sensors for markers of health in addition to accelerometer data<sup>46</sup>; and a smart room that involves various sensors and signage.<sup>47</sup>

Only a small number of studies involved wearable and stationary fall-detection devices that were used by patients in clinical settings (n = 12). Stationary devices included bed or chair sensor alarms,<sup>[eg,48]</sup> video, and image-based monitoring,<sup>[eg,49,50]</sup> while wearable devices were mostly accelerometer devices with integrated fall alarms often worn on the wrist, chest, or ankles.<sup>[eg,51]</sup>

Twenty-four interventions focused on education or training of staff and/or patients and their families. These were in the form of written information such as leaflets or educational posters, short educational sessions, and discussions with patients and families,<sup>[eg,51]</sup> which were sometimes with a fall prevention-trained physiotherapist or occupational therapist,<sup>[eg,52]</sup> "caring cards,"<sup>53</sup> and mandatory staff training<sup>54</sup> aimed at engaging staff in fallprevention strategies.

Alterations to the patient's environment were examined in eight studies, including the use of lowlow beds<sup>[eg,55]</sup> and bedrails.<sup>[eg,56]</sup> Six studies reported on the use of fall risk assessments in fall prevention; these were often tailored to a specific department or patient population.<sup>[eg,57]</sup>

Interventions reporting on increased monitoring of patients included those using sitters (observers) in the rooms of patients considered at risk of falling (n = 5) and regular rounding on patients (n = 3) to assess pain, position, toileting, and other personal needs that may cause the patient to fall while getting out of bed unaided.<sup>[eg, 57]</sup>

© 2021 JBI 2486

There were a limited number of studies reporting on medical or pharmaceutical interventions (n = 2), which involved medication review by a pharmacist to reduce the use of medicines associated with falls,<sup>[eg,58]</sup> and exercise (n = 3) or physiotherapy-based interventions (n = 1) where patients received individually tailored exercises from physiotherapists aimed at improving strength and balance.<sup>[eg,59,60]</sup> One study reported on using a nutrition-based fall-prevention intervention where patients received calcium and vitamin D supplementation.<sup>61</sup>

Health technologies categorized as "other" were those that were not easily classified or were not sufficiently described. Examples of these include decision-support systems and electronic health record systems to aid with fall-management approaches<sup>62</sup>; changes to flooring<sup>63</sup>; line dancing<sup>39</sup>; staff meetings relating to fall prevention<sup>64</sup>; changes to staffing-to-patient ratios<sup>65</sup>; non-slip socks<sup>66</sup>; and fall safety agreement between staff and patients.<sup>67</sup> Systematic reviews that reported on a specific health technology or on multicomponent or multifactorial interventions were still categorized according to a specific technology.

#### **Outcomes reported**

There was a variety of outcomes reported, including clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, feasibility, and acceptability of fall-prevention and falldetection technologies in the hospital setting; with some studies reporting more than one outcome. A total of 232 (57%) studies reported outcomes relating to clinical effectiveness of fall-prevention and fall-detection technologies, summarized in Table 4. The clinical effectiveness of fall-prevention efforts was often assessed by looking firstly at changes in fall rate, fall number, and changes in the risk of falling. Secondary outcomes were commonly rates and number of fall-related injuries and staff compliance with interventions. Studies involving technological devices reported on the device performance in fall identification and detection (eg, accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, precision).

Twenty studies (5%) reported on cost-effectiveness of fall-prevention and fall-detection technologies (Table 5). These were generally reported as the overall costs (eg, net cost of intervention, annual cost of falls); cost per item (eg, cost per fall or per patient); and as costs saved as a result of an

Clinical effectiveness outcomes	No. of studies reporting these outcomes
Fall rate reported as:	107
fall rate	43
falls per 1000 patient days	28
fall rate ratio	6
falls per 1000 occupied bed days	5
falls per 1000 bed days	4
falls per 1000 occupied beds	3
falls per 1000 hospital days	2
falls per 1000 admissions/patient encounters	2
falls per 100 patient days	8
falls per 100 bed days	3
falls per 1000 adjusted patient days	1
fall frequency rate	2
Fall number reported as:	92
number of falls	72
number of fallers	10

Table 4: Summary of clinical effectiveness outcomes reported in included studies and total number of studies reporting each outcome type

K. Cooper et al.

#### Table 4: (Continued)

Clinical effectiveness outcomes	No. of studies reporting these outcomes
number of fallers (risk ratio)	2
patients falling per 1000 admissions	1
number of recurrent fallers	1
average number of falls per fallen patient	1
patient falls per 100 discharges	1
percent of patients with a fall	1
percent of inpatient falls	1
percent of patients falling once, twice, three times	1
mean proportion of patients experiencing one fall per month	1
Injury outcomes reported as:	34
number of injurious falls	10
rate of injurious falls per 1000 patient days	5
injury rate	5
fall-related injuries	5
injury severity	4
fractures rate ratio	1
fall consequences	1
percent of patients sustaining injury	1
fall-associated injuries per 1000 inpatient days	1
falls requiring life-sustaining intervention or resulting in permanent harm or death	1
Other measures:	-
Prediction/detection reported as:	37
fall identification and detection (accuracy, sensitivity, predictability, specificity, precision)	20
number of true falls detected	7
fall prediction rate	1
number of predicted fallers	1
number of fallers who were not predicted	1
fall risk assessment predictive accuracy	3
fallers correctly identified by the screening tool (%)	1
lead time for fall detection	2
awakening detection	1
Fall occurrence reported as:	17
fall incidence	12
incidence of multiple falls	1
fall index	3
number of patients restrained	1
Fall risk reported as:	2
relative risk of falling	1
odds ratio for falling	1

Clinical effectiveness outcomes	No. of studies reporting these outcomes
Staff outcomes reported as:	5
staff knowledge (survey)	2
team members' perception of team effectiveness	1
compliance with care bundle elements	1
use of fall-prevention interventions by nursing staff	1

Note: Total studies reporting clinical effectiveness outcomes n = 232. Many articles reported on more than one outcome.

## Table 5: Summary of cost-effectiveness outcomesreported in included studies and total number ofstudies reporting each outcome type

Cost-effectiveness outcomes	No. of studies reporting these outcomes
Overall costs:	
Net cost of intervention	3
Annual cost of falls	2
Cost estimates	1
Cost of care resulting from falls	2
Cost of overtime	1
Cost of volunteer hours	1
Costs of falls	1
Cost per:	
Cost per fall	3
Cost per hospitalization	1
Cost per patient (mean)	3
Cost of intervention per patient	1
Cost of activity per bed	2
Cost per 100 patients	1
Fallers prevented per 100 patients	1
Cost saving:	
Annual cost savings	2
Projected costs saved	1
Savings realized from avoided falls	2
Decrease in sitter costs (annually)	2
Incremental cost-effectiveness ratio	1
Estimated cost savings to hospital	1

Note: Total studies reporting cost-effectiveness outcomes  $n{=}20.$  Some articles included several outcomes.

intervention or strategy (eg, savings realized from avoided falls).

Fifty-seven studies (14%) reported outcomes relating to the feasibility and/or acceptability of fall-prevention and fall-detection technologies (Table 6). The most commonly reported feasibility/acceptability outcome was staff compliance with an intervention (n = 14 studies), followed by staff attitudes (n = 8), and staff perceptions of usability and feasibility (n = 8). Other outcomes related to acceptability, attitude, perceptions, tolerance, and barriers to participation from the patient's viewpoint, which were commonly collected via questionnaires or interviews.

#### Discussion

This scoping review examined and mapped the wide range of health technologies reported in the literature for fall prevention and detection in adult hospital inpatients. Furthermore, the outcomes used to measure clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, acceptability and feasibility of fall-prevention and fall-detection technologies in hospitals were summarized. A total of 404 studies were examined, demonstrating the abundance of fall-prevention and falldetection research, and the need for a scoping review to map the evidence, identify gaps, and inform future research efforts.

#### Health technologies reported in the literature

The majority of included literature reported on efforts towards fall prevention with less focus on health technologies for the timely and accurate detection of falls. It is clearly preferable to prevent falls in the first instance to avoid injury, reduce the likelihood of

## Table 6: Summary of feasibility and acceptability outcomes reported in included studies and total number of studies reporting each outcome type

Feasibility/acceptability outcomes	No. of studies reporting these outcomes
General:	
Device feasibility of use	1
Feasibility survey outcomes	1
Integration survey outcomes	1
Intervention acceptability (staff, patient and family)	1
Intervention fidelity (barriers and facilitators, embedding and sustaining intervention)	1
Percentage of hospitals implementing the program	1
Volunteer satisfaction	1
Device acceptability and usability (limited info, Han 2016, Wolf 2013)	2
Audit criteria (various)	2
Staff:	
Acceptability survey (suitability, practicality, benefits)	2
Attitudes (qualitative)	8
Compliance	14
Perceptions of patient safety culture (Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture)	1
Satisfaction with intervention	1
Perceptions of usability and feasibility (qualitative data from focus groups; survey)	8
Device acceptability (icon accuracy and representativeness)	1
Patient:	
Acceptability	1
Attitudes (qualitative)	2
Barriers to participation	1
Knowledge (survey)	1
Participation in intervention	1
Perceptions	1
Satisfaction with care	4
Tolerance/acceptance of device	2
Usability	3
Opinions on responsiveness of staff and communication with nurses (Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems questionnaire)	1
Patient's family:	
Perceptions on intervention (interviews, content analysis)	1
Satisfaction	1

Note: Total studies reporting feasibility and/or acceptability outcomes n = 57. Some articles reported on more than one outcome.

long-term consequences for the patient, and decrease cost implications for health services.<sup>6,68</sup> However, considering the high incidence of falls in the community,<sup>1</sup> the often-altered physical and psychological state of patients, as well as the unfamiliar hospital environment, it is unrealistic to expect that inpatient falls can be completely eliminated. It is therefore of vital importance that hospitals have effective methods and health technologies available for detecting falls in order to provide timely assistance and care.

Categorization of health technologies was informed through use of the ProFaNE taxonomy.<sup>20</sup> Developed by international experts in fall-prevention and health services research, the consensus-developed ProFaNE taxonomy<sup>20</sup> was created to describe and classify fallprevention interventions to improve design and reporting of research. Mapping of the evidence from this scoping review identified a wide variety of health technologies reported. Most commonly these were implemented in the form of a combined intervention (whether multicomponent or multifactorial) with many different combinations of health technology ingredients, with or without fall risk assessment.

The heterogeneity of these combined interventions makes direct comparison difficult. Cumbler et al.<sup>69</sup> previously compared 15 randomized studies, including single, multicomponent, and multifactorial interventions, but were not able to identify one health technology type that was more effective than others. However, considering only 6.5% of the studies in this scoping review were RCTs, it is likely that they limited themselves in terms of data availability. Furthermore, there was no meta-analysis conducted by the authors to quantify effectiveness. Therefore, future work is required to compare multicomponent (same bundle for all) and multifactorial (different bundle based on fall risk assessment) interventions to determine which technologies and combinations thereof are most effective. Identifying the most effective intervention ingredients for fall-prevention bundles would provide vital evidence for informing policy and practice. Education and training (of patients and staff), various forms of fall-prevention leadership (eg, fall safety teams and champions), and use of fall-riskassessment tools are evident in practice, in keeping with the scoping review findings. However, due to the number of possible intervention ingredients identified, hospital staff would benefit from additional syntheses to identify patients (individually and in combination) most likely to be beneficial.

K. Cooper et al.

Technological devices were highly prevalent in the literature, with 86 studies reporting on falldetection devices. A large proportion of these were technologies still in development and not yet being tested with patients in a hospital setting. Similarly, in a recent scoping review with a broad inclusion of settings and participants, Lapierre et al.<sup>15</sup> identified a large number of studies on fall-detection devices that were still at a low technology-readiness level (on average 4.5/9). Given the rise in technology adoption around the world and the WHO vision statement to "improve health for everyone, everywhere by accelerating the adoption of appropriate digital health solutions,"<sup>70(p.5)</sup> it will be important to monitor developments in this field as these technologies could have a significant impact on health technologies of choice for fall prevention and detection in the hospital setting. The synthesized findings from the limited number of studies reporting on fall-detection devices with patients in the hospital setting<sup>48,71,72</sup> would be of great interest given the importance of fall detection, and increasing adoption of devices, such as sensors, in the hospital setting.

Environmental adaptations were not commonly implemented in isolation but were often incorporated as part of combined interventions,<sup>35,73</sup> which would make evaluation of environmental adaptations per se challenging.

Evaluation of education and training interventions aimed at staff and/or patients included a variety of approaches, often designed by staff for a particular hospital or ward setting. This heterogeneity in approach creates challenges for the evaluation of these interventions. However, future evaluation would be worthwhile, as most sources reported adherence with guidance or completion of fallrisk-assessment tools in addition to actual fall rates. This would enable the relationship between adherence to such activities and fall outcomes to be evaluated.

We found a small number of studies reporting on medical or pharmaceutical technologies<sup>58,74</sup> and on technologies targeting nutrition.<sup>61</sup> These were sometimes included in combined interventions; however, a limited number of studies involved medication review and management.<sup>35</sup> This is perhaps surprising considering that sedative use and drowsinessinducing medication are important risk factors for falls in older adults in hospital,<sup>10</sup> suggesting this may be an area for future research.

JBI Evidence Synthesis

© 2021 JBI 2491

Hospital-based exercise interventions were not commonly reported, either as a single intervention or as part of combined interventions. Exercise is frequently used for community-dwelling adults, with moderate- to high-quality evidence that exercise is effective at reducing falls in this population.<sup>75</sup> A Cochrane review<sup>14</sup> was uncertain of the effect of exercise on falls in care facilities (low-quality evidence) or hospitals (very-low-quality evidence). The hospital setting may inevitably preclude exercise from being commonly implemented, particularly for very frail individuals and those in acute care, emergency, and high-dependency units. However, it would appear that exercise-based interventions for fall prevention in the hospital setting may be underresearched and may represent a further gap in the current evidence base.

#### Outcomes reported in the literature

The effectiveness of interventions in preventing or detecting falls (and reducing the number, rate, and risk of falls) were the most common outcomes studied, as might be expected. On the whole, there was homogeneity in terms of effectiveness outcomes, which should facilitate meta-analyses in systematic reviews of effectiveness.

The cost-effectiveness of health technologies for fall prevention and detection appears to be somewhat under-researched, with only 5% of identified studies investigating cost-effectiveness. Most of these studies originated in the USA where health care is privatized. Given the substantial cost of falls and fall-related injuries<sup>6,7</sup> and the plethora of health technologies now available, it would seem a logical priority for health care institutions to determine the best combination of clinical and cost-effectiveness.

Feasibility and/or acceptability of fall-prevention and fall-detection technologies was reported in 14% of included studies, suggesting that this may also be a priority for future research. Technologies need to be not only clinically effective and cost-effective, but also feasible to deliver and acceptable to those delivering and receiving them.

#### Limitations

As with any review, these results should be interpreted with the consideration of some limitations. The current scoping review is extensive and included a comprehensive search strategy involving multiple databases with broad inclusion criteria and only a K. Cooper et al.

handful of inaccessible studies; however, it is possible that some relevant studies or sources may have been omitted. The ProFaNE taxonomy was used to assist with extraction, categorization, and interpretation of results only, and was not included as part of the search strategy, which is a limitation. Additionally, we only included studies published in English, as we did not have resources for translation, and may therefore have excluded relevant studies in other languages. Future reviews should be inclusive of all languages in order to provide a comprehensive map of technologies.

There were some difficulties in defining and classifying the type of health technology or combination of technologies (whether multicomponent or multifactorial). This could be in part a result of having no lower limit on publication dates, as the standardized ProFaNE taxonomy was not widely used before 2010 or 2011.<sup>20</sup>

#### Conclusions

This scoping review provides a map of fall-prevention and fall-detection technologies and outcomes reported in the literature from 1988 to 2019. This map has informed the next phase of our study, indicating that it is appropriate to conduct evidence syntheses of the following subgroups of literature for adults in hospital settings to inform clinical practice: effectiveness of multicomponent/multifactorial interventions; effectiveness of wearable and non-wearable technologies (eg, sensors, cameras); and the feasibility and acceptability of fall-prevention and fall-detection technologies. We encountered some difficulties in classifying technologies, although generally this was easier with more recent literature, and we recommend that researchers continue to use the ProFaNE taxonomy.<sup>20</sup>

#### Implications for research

It is clear from this scoping review that despite the volume of literature on fall prevention and detection in the hospital setting, there are some technologies that have not been studied in depth, and the overall lack of RCTs indicates a need for more high-quality research to be conducted. Priority areas include fall-detection technologies; pharmaceutical fallprevention technologies; and exercise-based, fallprevention technologies. In addition, further research on the cost-effectiveness, feasibility, and acceptability of fall-prevention and fall-detection technologies for adults in the hospital setting is required.

It would be inappropriate to make recommendations for practice from this scoping review beacuse, in keeping with scoping review methodology, critical appraisal of the included literature was not conducted and study findings were not examined in detail.

#### **Acknowledgments**

Colin Maclean, research librarian, for support with literature searching. The service users for their input at steering committee meetings. Dr Clare Bostock, consultant geriatrician, and Rosie Cooper, falls lead and improvement advisor, for their expert input to the study steering committee.

#### Funding

This work was supported by an NHS Grampian Endowment Research Fund Grant (17/033). The funding body played no part in the planning, conduct, or writing of this review.

#### References

- 1. World Health Organization. Global report on fall prevention in older age [internet]. 2007 [cited 2020 Sep 2]. Available from: https://www.who.int/ageing/publications/Falls\_pre vention7March.pdf.
- Healey F, Monro A, Cockram A, Adams V, Heseltine D. Using targeted risk factor reduction to prevent falls in older inpatients: a randomised controlled trial. Age Ageing 2004;33(4): 390–5.
- Bouldin ED, Andresen EM, Dunton NE, Simon M, Waters TM, Liu M, *et al.* Falls among adult patients hospitalized in the united states: prevalence and trends. J Patient Saf 2013;9(1):13–27.
- 4. Barker AL, Morello RT, Wolfe R, Brand CA, Haines TP, Hill KD, *et al.* 6-PACK programme to decrease fall injuries in acute hospitals: cluster randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2016;352: h6781.
- Healey F, Lowe D, Darowski A, Windsor J, Treml J, Byrne L, et al. Falls prevention in hospitals and mental health units: an extended evaluation of the FallSafe quality improvement project. Age Ageing 2014;43(4):484–91.
- 6. NHS Improvement. The incidence and costs of inpatient falls in hospitals [internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Sep 2]. Available from: https://www.evidence.nhs.uk/document?id =1882759&returnUrl=Search%3Fps%3D100%26q%3D falls&q=falls.
- 7. Heinrich S, Rapp K, Rissmann U, Becker C, König H. Cost of falls in old age: a systematic review. Osteoporos Int 2010;21(6):891–902.

K. Cooper et al.

- Davis JC, Robertson MC, Ashe MC, Liu-Ambrose T, Khan KM, Marra CA. International comparison of cost of falls in older adults living in the community: a systematic review. Osteoporos Int 2010;21(8):1295–306.
- 9. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs Population Division. World population ageing [internet]. [cited 2020 Sep 2]. Available from: http://www.un.org/en/ development/desa/population/publications/pdf/ageing/ WPA2015\_Report.pdf.
- Deandrea S, Bravi F, Turati F, Lucenteforte E, La Vecchia C, Negri E. Risk factors for falls in older people in nursing homes and hospitals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2013;56(3):407–15.
- Paliwal Y, Slattum PW, Ratliff SM. Chronic health conditions as a risk factor for falls among the community-dwelling US older adults: a zero-inflated regression modelling approach. Biomed Res Int 2017;2017:5146378.
- Royal College of Physicians. NAIF audit report 2015 [internet]. 2015 [cited 2020 Sep 02]. Available from: https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/projects/outputs/naif-audit-report-2015.
- Hopewell S, Adedire O, Copsey BJ, Boniface GJ, Sherrington C, Clemson L, et al. Multifactorial and multiple component interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018;7:CD0122221.
- 14. Cameron ID, Dyer SM, Panagoda CE, Murray GR, Hill KD, Cumming RG, *et al.* Interventions for preventing falls in older people in care facilities and hospitals. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018;9:CD005465.
- Lapierre N, Neubauer N, Miguel-Cruz A, Rios Rincon A, Liu L, Rousseau J. The state of knowledge on technologies and their use for fall detection: a scoping review. Int J Med Inform 2018;111(1):58–71.
- World Health Organization. Health technology assessment [internet]. [cited 2021 May 2]. Available from: https://www. who.int/health-technology-assessment/about/healthtech nology/en/.
- 17. Choi Y, Lawler E, Boenecke CA, Ponatoski ER, Zimring CM. Developing a multi-systemic fall prevention model incorporating the physical environment the care process and technology: a systematic review. J Adv Nurs 2011;67(12): 2501–24.
- Kosse NM, Brands K, Bauer JM, Hortobagyi T, Lamoth CJC. Sensor technologies aiming at fall prevention in institutionalized old adults: a synthesis of current knowledge. Int J Med Inform 2013;82(9):743–52.
- 19. Oliver D, Healey F, Haines TP. Preventing falls and fall-related injuries in hospitals. Clin Geriatr Med 2010;26(4):645–92.
- Lamb SE, Becker C, Gillespie LD, Smith JL, Finnegan S, Potter R, *et al.* Reporting of complex interventions in clinical trials: development of a taxonomy to classify and describe fallprevention interventions. Trials 2011;12(1):125.
- 21. Ferrari M, Harrison B, Rawashdeh O, Hammond R, Avery Y, Rawashdeh M, et al. Clinical feasibility trial of a motion

detection system for fall prevention in hospitalized older adult patients. Geriatr Nurs 2012;33(3):177-83.

- Peters M, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Baldini C, Khalil H, Parker D. Chapter 11: Scoping reviews. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z, editors. JBI Reviewer's Manual [internet]. Adelaide, JBI; 2017 [cited 2020 Sep 2]. Available from: https://reviewersmanual joannabriggsorg/.
- National Library of Medicine. Medical subject headings: MeSH browser. Subacute care [internet]. 2012 [cited 2020 Sep 02]. Available from: https://meshb-prev.nlm.nih.gov/ record/ui?ui=D019467.
- 24. United Nations Human Development Programme. Human Development Reports. Human Development Index Ranking [internet]. 2020 [cited 2021 May 02]. Available from: http:// hdr.undp.org/en/content/latest-human-developmentindex-ranking.
- Francis-Coad J, Etherton-Beer C, Burton E, Naseri C, Hill A. Effectiveness of complex falls prevention interventions in residential aged care settings: a systematic review. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep 2018;16(4):973–1002.
- Alexander L, Swinton P, Kirkpatrick P, Stephen A, Mitchelhill F, Simpson S, *et al.* Health technologies for falls prevention and detection in adult hospital in-patients: a scoping review protocol. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep 2019;17(5): 667–74.
- Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. Ann Intern Med 2018;169(7): 467–73.
- Danielsen A. Non-intrusive bedside event recognition using infrared array and ultrasonic sensor. JUCI 2016:15–25.
- 29. Mazurek P, Wagner J, Morawski RZ. Use of kinematic and mel-cepstrum-related features for fall detection based on data from infrared depth sensors. Biomed Signal Poces 2018;40:102–10.
- Miller L, Limbaugh CM. Applying evidence to develop a medical oncology fall-prevention program. Clin J Oncol Nurs 2008;12(1):158–60.
- Goljar N, Globokar D, Puzić N, Kopitar N, Vrabič M, Ivanovski M, et al. Effectiveness of a fall-risk reduction programme for inpatient rehabilitation after stroke. Disabil Rehabil 2016; 38(18):1811–9.
- Barry E, Laffoy M, Matthews E, Carey D. Preventing accidental falls among older people in long stay units. Ir Med J 2001;94(6):174–6.
- Rescio G, Leone A, Siciliano P. Supervised machine learning scheme for electromyography-based pre-fall detection system. Expert Syst Appl 2018;100:95–105.
- 34. Andreoli A, Fancott C, Velji K, Baker GR, Solway S, Aimone E, et al. Using SBAR to communicate falls risk and management in inter-professional rehabilitation teams. Healthc Q 2010;13:94–101.
- Abdalla A, Adhaduk M, Haddad RA, Alnimer Y, Ros-Bedoya C, Bachuwa G. Does acute care for the elderly (ACE) unit

decrease the incidence of falls? Geriatr Nurs 2017;39: 292–5.

- 36. Albornos-Munoz L, Melian-Correa E, Acosta-Arrocha A, Gallo-Blanco C, Bejar-Bacas F, Alonso-Poncelas E, *et al*. Falls assessment and interventions among older patients in two medical and one surgical hospital wards in Spain: a best practice implementation project. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep 2018;16(1):247–57.
- Kruger N, Hurley AC, Gustafson M. Framing patient safety initiatives: working model and case example. J Nurs Admin 2006;36(4):200–4.
- Bonuel N, Manjos A, Lockett L, Gray-Becknell T. Best practice fall prevention strategies. CATCH! Crit Care Nurs Qy 2011; 34(2):154–8.
- Emory SL, Silva SG, Christopher EJ, Edwards PB, Wahl LE. Stepping to stability and fall prevention in adult psychiatric patients. J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv 2011;49(12): 30–6.
- Jähne-Raden N, Kulau U, Marschollek M, Wolf K. INBED: a highly specialised system for bed-exit-detection and fall prevention on a geriatric ward. Sensors 2019;19(5):1017.
- 41. Nyan MN, Tay FEH, Murugasu E. A wearable system for preimpact fall detection. J Biomech 2008;41(16):3475-81.
- 42. Knight H, Lee JK, Ma H. Chair alarm for patient fall prevention based on gesture recognition and interactivity. Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society 2008:3698–701.
- 43. Takanokura M, Miyake M, Kawakami M, Yamada T, Taki S, Kakehi M. Systems approach for preventing falls in hospitals and nursing homes using sensing devices surrounding the patient's bed. In: Matta A, Sahin E, Li J, Guinet A, Vandaele NJ, editors. Health Care Systems Engineering for Scientists and Practitioners. Switzerland: Springer, Cham, 2016;1–11.
- Jeon S, Nho Y, Park S, Kim W, Tcho I, Kim D, et al. Selfpowered fall detection system using pressure sensing triboelectric nanogenerators. Nano Energy 2017;41:139–47.
- 45. Majumder AJA, Rahman F, Zerin I, Ebel W, Ahamed SI. iPrevention: towards a novel real-time smartphone-based fall prevention system. Proceedings of the 28<sup>th</sup> Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing; 2013; Coimbra, Portugal.
- Nho Y, Lim JG, Kim D, Kwon D. User-adaptive fall detection for patients using wristband. EEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS) 2016; Daejeon, South Korea.
- Rialle V, Lauvernay N, Franco A, Piquard JF, Couturier P. A smart room for hospitalised elderly people: essay of modelling and first steps of an experiment. Technol Health Care 1999;7(5):343–57.
- 48. Sahota O, Drummond A, Kendrick D, Grainge M, Vass J, C Sach T, *et al.* REFINE (REducing falls in in-patieNt elderly) using bed and bedside chair pressure sensors linked to radio-pagers in acute hospital care: a randomised controlled trial. Age Ageing 2013;43(2):247–53.

JBI Evidence Synthesis

© 2021 JBI 2494

- Coahran M, Hillier LM, Van Bussel L, Black E, Churchyard R, Gutmanis I, et al. Automated fall detection technology in inpatient geriatric psychiatry: nurses' perceptions and lessons learned. Can J Aging 2018;37(3):245–60.
- Cournan M, Fusco-Gessick B, Wright L. Improving patient safety through video monitoring. Rehabil Nurs 2018;43(3): 111–5.
- Clarke HD, Timm VL, Goldberg BR, Hattrup SJ. Preoperative patient education reduces in-hospital falls after total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2012;470(1):244–9.
- Haines TP, Hill KD, Bennell KL, Osborne RH. Patient education to prevent falls in subacute care. Clin Rehabil 2006; 20(11):970–9.
- 53. Gould M, Mann M, Martin H, Erwin R, Swanson K. Caring cards: preventing patient harm through the heart of nursing. Nurs Adm Q 2018;42(3):254–60.
- 54. Gibbons V, Esselink T, McHugh S. Assessing practice relating to fall risk management among nurses in an acute ward setting: a best practice implementation report. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep 2013;11(6):275–85.
- 55. Haines TP, Bell RAR, Varghese PN. Pragmatic cluster randomized trial of a policy to introduce low-low beds to hospital wards for the prevention of falls and fall injuries. J Am Geriatr Soc 2010;58(3):435–41.
- Healey F, Oliver D, Milne A, Connelly JB. The effect of bedrails on falls and injury: a systematic review of clinical studies. Age Ageing 2008;37(4):368–78.
- Alexander D, Kinsley TL, Waszinski C. Journey to a safe environment: fall prevention in an emergency department at a level I trauma center. J Emerg Nurs 2013;39(4):346–52.
- Browne C, Kingston C, Keane C. Falls prevention focused medication review by a pharmacist in an acute hospital: Implications for future practice. Int J Clin Pharm 2014;36(5): 969–75.
- Martinez-Velilla N, Casa-Herrero A, Zambom-Ferraresi F, Saez de Asteasu ML, Lucia A, Izquierdo M. Effect of exercise intervention on functional decline in very elderly patients during acute hospitalization: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Intern Med 2018;179(1):28–36.
- Padula CA, Disano C, Ruggiero C, Carpentier M, Reppucci M, Hughes C. Impact of lower extremity strengthening exercises and mobility on fall rates in hospitalized adults. J Nurs Care Qual 2011;26(3):279–85.
- Burleigh E, McColl J, Potter J. Does vitamin D stop inpatients falling? A randomised controlled trial. Age Ageing 2007; 36(5):507–13.
- 62. Dowding DW, Turley M, Garrido T. The impact of an electronic health record on nurse sensitive patient outcomes: an

interrupted time series analysis. J Am Med Inform Assoc 2012;19(4):615–20.

- 63. Donald IP, Pitt K, Armstrong E, Shuttleworth H. Preventing falls on an elderly care rehabilitation ward. Clin Rehabil 2000;14(2):178–85.
- Murphy LM, Murphy SO, Hastings MA, Olberding A. Are interprofessional roundtable debriefings useful in decreasing ED fall rates? Findings from a quality-improvement project. J Emerg Nurs 2015;41(5):375–80.
- 65. Pappas S, Davidson N, Woodard J, Davis J, Welton JM. Riskadjusted staffing to improve patient value. Nurs Econ 2015;33(2):73-87.
- 66. Hartung B, Lalonde M. The use of non-slip socks to prevent falls among hospitalized older adults: a literature review. Geriatr Nurs 2017;38(5):412–6.
- 67. Zavotsky K, Hussey J, Easter K, Incalcaterra E. Fall safety agreement: a new twist on education in the hospitalized older adult. Clin Nurse Spec 2014;28(3):168–72.
- 68. Burns ER, Stevens JA, Lee R. The direct costs of fatal and non-fatal falls among older adults - United States. J Safety Res 2016;58:99–103.
- Cumbler EU, Simpson JR, Rosenthal LD, Likosky DJ. Inpatient falls: defining the problem and identifying possible solutions part I: an evidence-based review. Neurohospitalist 2013;3(3):135–43.
- World Health Organization. Global strategy on digital health 2020-2024 [internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Sep 02]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/docu ments/gs4dh0c510c483a9a42b1834a8f4d276c6352.pdf.
- Potter P, Allen K, Costantinou E, Klinkenberg WD, Malen J, Norris T, *et al.* Evaluation of sensor technology to detect fall risk and prevent falls in acute care. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf 2017;43(8):414–21.
- 72. Thomas L, Euliarte MA, Davis K. Centralized telemonitoring camera use decreases fall rates in inpatient rehabilitation facilities. Arch Phys Med Rehab 2017;98(10):e40.
- Vieira ER, Berean C, Paches D, Caveny P, Yuen D, Ballash L, et al. Reducing falls among geriatric rehabilitation patients: a controlled clinical trial. Clin Rehabil 2013;27(4): 325–35.
- Haumschild MJ, Karfonta TL, Haumschild MS, Phillips SE. Clinical and economic outcomes of a fall-focused pharmaceutical intervention program. Am J Health Syst Pharm 2003;60(10):1029–32.
- 75. Stubbs B, Brefka S, Denkinger MD. What works to prevent falls in community-dwelling older adults? Umbrella review of meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials. PhysTher 2015;95(8):1095–110.

## Appendix I: Search strategy

Source	Search	Retrieved results
MEDLINE (EBSCO)	<ol> <li>TX Hospital* AND MH (Accidental falls) OR TX ("fall* prevention" OR "fall* detection")</li> <li>MH (Delivery of health care OR biomedical technology) OR TX (Technolog* OR device* OR intervention* OR strateg* OR system* OR organiz* OR organis* OR program*)</li> <li>AND 2</li> </ol>	1. 9384 2. 8,312,512 3. <b>2822</b> (limits applied)
JBISRIR	1. Hospital <sup>*</sup> AND fall <sup>*</sup>	1. <b>311</b>
CINAHL (EBSCO)	<ol> <li>TX Hospital*         <ul> <li>of'fall* prevention" OR "fall* detection")</li> <li>MH (Biomedical enhancement OR health care delivery) OR TX             (technolog* OR device* OR intervention* OR strateg* OR program*             system* OR organiz OR organis*)</li> <li>1 AND 2 AND 3</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	1. 1,211,267 2. 16,019 3. 1,843,131 4. <b>2741</b> (limits applied)
AMED (EBSCO)	<ol> <li>Hospital*</li> <li>Accidental falls OR ("fall* prevention" OR "fall* detection")</li> <li>(Biomedical technology OR delivery of health care) OR TX (technolog* OR device* OR intervention* OR strateg* OR system* OR organiz** OR organis*)</li> <li>1 AND 2 AND 3</li> </ol>	1. 13,167 2. 2345 3. 77, 110 4. <b>155</b> (limits applied)
Embase (Ovid)	<ol> <li>Hospital*</li> <li>Accidental falls OR "fall* prevention" OR "fall* detection"</li> <li>1 AND 2</li> </ol>	1. 7,601,622 2. 5789 3. <b>2749</b>
PEDro	1. Fall* AND hospital*	1. <b>280</b>
Epistimonikos	<ol> <li>Hospital</li> <li>Fall* prevention OR Fall* detection</li> <li>1 AND 2</li> </ol>	1. 75,132 2. 37,963 3. <b>1401</b>
DoPHER	1. Fall* AND hospital*	1. <b>17</b>
TRoPHI	1. Fall* AND hospital*	1. <b>52</b>
Cochrane	1. Fall* AND hospital*	1. <b>146</b>
ACM Digital	<ol> <li>Hospital*</li> <li>Fall prevention</li> <li>Hospital AND fall prevention</li> </ol>	1. 2523 2. 13,921 3. <b>68</b>
Compendex	1. Fall prevention 2. Hospital 3. 1 AND 2	1. 7609 2. 192,517 3. <b>371</b>
IEEE Xplore	<ol> <li>Hospital*</li> <li>Accidental falls OR "fall* prevention" OR "fall* detection"</li> <li>1 AND 2</li> </ol>	1. 83,356 2. 1681 3. <b>144</b>
Science Direct	<ol> <li>Hospital</li> <li>Technology</li> <li>"fall prevention" OR "fall detection"</li> <li>1 AND 2 AND 3</li> </ol>	1. 3,125,789 2. 3,781,771 3. 3824 4. <b>2296</b>

Search performed on October 12, 2019.

Field	Instructions/description
Title	Title of article
Author	"Smith" or "Smith and Baker" if two authors or "Smith et al." if $\geq$ 3 authors
Year	Year of publication
Journal	Journal/publication title
Country of origin	Country the article originates from
Aims/purpose	Aim/purpose of the article (those relevant to fall prevention and detection)
Study design	Select study type from drop-down list:         Audit         Before-after design         Cohort study (prospective)         Cohort study (retrospective)         Controlled interrupted time series         Descriptive         Diagnostic test accuracy         Economic evaluation         Emerging technology development         Historically controlled trial         Implementation study         Mixed methods         Observational         Qualitative study         Quality improvement         Quasi-experimental (non-randomised)         Review – narrative         Review – systematic         Text and opinion         Other
Study design (if other, describe)	If "other" selected, then detail the study design/type of article here.
Health technology purpose	Select from drop-down list: • Prevention • Detection • Prevention AND detection

## Appendix II: Data extraction tool

(Continued)			
Field	Instructions/description		
Health technology category	<ul> <li>Select from drop-down list:</li> <li>Education/training (of staff or patients)</li> <li>Environment design (any changes to the patient's environment, eg, lighting, toilet redesign, addition of rails in shower, crash mat)</li> <li>Exercise</li> <li>Medical/pharmaceutical (eg, medication review, reduction of sedatives)</li> <li>Multicomponent intervention (involves a combination of health technologies)</li> <li>Multifactorial (one or more health technologies tailored to individual's fall risks)</li> <li>Nutritional</li> <li>Physiotherapy</li> <li>Rounding (patients getting visited by staff)</li> <li>Sitters (people that stay with patient for supervision)</li> <li>Stationary fall detection device (fall detection devices that are not moveable with the patient, eg, video, bed sensors, motion-detection alarms)</li> <li>Wearable detection device (any wearable fall detection device, eg, accelerometers)</li> <li>Unclassified (not reporting on a specific technology or group of technologies, eg, fall prevention in general)</li> <li>Other (any health technology that does not fit into the above)</li> <li>Even if "other" is selected, still continue on to next column and give details of intervention.</li> </ul>		
Health technology information	Main description of the health technology intervention, eg, full description of health technology to be added		
Population	Who did they aim to recruit? (eg, adults, all elderly, patients, nurses)		
Study sample	Sample size, age, % females, pathology (if available) of the study sample		
Setting	Study setting, eg, hospital or specific ward Some technological studies are tested in a lab/commu- nity but might be applicable to hospital		
Outcomes reported	List all the outcomes they report relating to fall prevention and detection Example: • Fall number • Incidence of falls: rate per 1000 patient days		
Effectiveness outcomes	This can be repetitive, but please note any outcomes reported that are relevant to the effectiveness of the intervention.		

(Continued)		
Field	Instructions/description	
Cost-effectiveness outcomes	Note any outcomes reported that are related to the cost-effectiveness of the intervention.	
Feasibility/acceptability outcomes	Note any outcomes reported that are related to the feasibility and/or acceptability of the intervention.	
Findings/conclusions/recommendations	Brief summary of main findings, without any statistics ( <i>P</i> -values, etc.), and conclusions or recommendations related to fall prevention and detection. Aim to be concise.	
Reviewer comments	Any additional comments	
Initials and date of extraction	Please initial and date each study row	

## Appendix III: Studies ineligible following full-text review

Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
1	Abdel-Rahman EM, Turgut F, Turkmen K, Balogun RA. Falls in elderly hemodialysis patients. QJM. 2011;104(10):829-38.	Ineligible setting
2	Abley C, Hayes N, Lewis D, Mansfield S, Morgan A, Nazarko L, <i>et al.</i> Ask the experts? Integrated falls service. Nurs Older People. 2005;17(3):14-15.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
3	Abraham S. Managing patient falls in psychiatric inpatient units: part 2. Health Care Manag. 2016;35(2):121-133.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
4	Achkar ME, Lenoble-Hoskovec C, Major K, Paraschiv-Ionescu A, Bula C, Aminian K. Instrumented shoes for real-time activity monitoring applica- tions. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2016;225:663-7.	Ineligible setting
5	Ageron F, Ricard C, Perrin-Besson S, Picot F, Dumont O, Cabillic S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a multimodal intervention program for older individuals presenting to the emergency department after a fall in the northern French Alps emergency network. Acad Emerg Med. 2016;23(9):1031-9.	Ineligible setting
6	Aikpa R, Meunier S, Stroebel C, Lannoy V. Fall prevention in elderly people in follow-up and rehabilitation care units. Soins Gerontologie. 2010(83):29- 31.	Not in English
7	Alhimale L, Zedan H, Al-Bayatti A. The implementation of an intelligent and video-based fall detection system using a neural network. Appl Soft Comput. 2014;18:59-69.	Ineligible setting
8	Allan-Gibbs R. Falls and hospitalized patients with cancer: a review of the literature. Clin J Oncol Nurs. 2010;14(6):784-92.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
9	Combining smart tags and body fixed sensors for disabled people assistance. Vietri sul Mare, Italy: Springer Verlag; 2007.	Ineligible setting
10	Alvord LS, Benninger MS, Stach BA. A preliminary study of the effectiveness of an otolaryngology-based multidisciplinary falls prevention clinic. Ear Nose Throat J. 2008;87(9):510-13.	Ineligible setting
11	Ambrose AF, Cruz L, Paul G. Falls and fractures: a systematic approach to screening and prevention. Maturitas. 2015;82(1):85-93.	Ineligible setting
12	Anderson D, Luke RH, Keller JM, Skubic M, Rantz M, Aud M. Linguistic summarization of video for fall detection using voxel person and fuzzy logic. Comput Vision Image Underst. 2009;113(1):80-9.	Ineligible concept
13	Ang WY, Heryani N, Lau STL, Ying LAU. Evaluation of a fall prevention educational video on fall risk awareness, knowledge and help seeking behaviour among surgical patients. Singapore Nurs J. 2018;45(1):27-33.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
14	Anita JP, Lee HM, Suryani BS, Nur FB, Nazrin BB, Aines M. Does the use of low beds reduce the incidence of falls among the elderly in acute care settings? A systematic review. Proceedings of Singapore Healthcare. 2011;20:71.	Inaccessible

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
15	Ansryan LZ, Aronow HU, Borenstein JE, Mena V, Haus F, Palmer K, <i>et al.</i> Systems addressing frail elder care: description of a successful model. J Nurs Adm. 2018;48(1):11-17.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
16	Aoyagi S, Yoshimatsu S, Oya M, Chida Y, Kobayashi H. On-line distinction methods of human falling motions based on machine learning. Proceedings of the SICE Annual Conference; 2010:1688-97.	Ineligible setting
17	Arai H. Need for the comprehensive and multidisciplinary management of falls. Eur Geriatr Med. 2016;7(6):499-500.	Ineligible concept
18	Araujo JNM, Fernandes APNL, Silva ABD, Moura LA, Ferreira Júnior MA, Vitor AF. Clinical validation of fall prevention behavior in a hospital environment. Rev Bras Enferm. 2018;71(4):1841-9.	Ineligible setting
19	Arbesman MC. A case control study of mechanical restraint use, rehabilita- tion therapies and staffing adequacy as risk factors for falls in an elderly hospitalized population [PhD Thesis]. State University of New York at Buffalo; 1995.	Ineligible concept
20	Arbesman MC, Wright C. Mechanical restraints, rehabilitation therapies, and staffing adequacy as risk factors for falls in an elderly hospitalized population. Rehabil Nurs. 1999;24(3):122-8.	Ineligible concept
21	Aydin C, Donaldson N, Aronow HU, Fridman M, Brown DS. Improving hospital patient falls: leveraging staffing characteristics and processes of care. J Nurs Adm. 2015;45(5):254-62.	Ineligible concept
22	Babatsikou F, Kotsalou I, Koutis C. Falls in elderly population: epidemiology, causes and preventive interventions. Rev Clin Pharmacol Pharmacokinet. 2018;32(2):91-9.	Inaccessible
23	Babine RL, Hyrkäs KE, Hallen S, Wierman HR, Bachand DA, Chapman JL, et al. Falls and delirium in an acute care setting: a retrospective chart review before and after an organisation-wide interprofessional education. J Clin Nurs. 2018;27(7-8):e1429-e1441.	Ineligible setting
24	Bae S, Mark B, Fried B. Use of temporary nurses and nurse and patient safety outcomes in acute care hospital units. Health Care Manage Rev. 2010;35(4):333-44.	Inaccessible
25	Bakarich A, McMillan V, Prosser R. The effect of a nursing intervention on the incidence of older patient falls. Aust J Adv Nurs. 1997;15(1):26-31.	Inaccessible
26	Baker T, Cooper C. What happens next? Hastings Cent Rep. 1999;29(2): 24-5.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
27	Baldewijns G, Debard G, Mertes G, Vanrumste B, Croonenborghs T. Bridging the gap between real-life data and simulated data by providing a highly realistic fall dataset for evaluating camera-based fall detection algorithms. Healthc Technol Lett. 2016;3(1):6-11.	Ineligible setting

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
28	Baraff LJ, Lee TJ, Kader S, Della Penna R. Effect of a practice guideline on the process of emergency department care of falls in elder patients. Acad Emerg Med. 1999;6(12):1216-23.	Ineligible setting
29	Barban F, Annicchiarico R, Federici A, Mazzù ID, Lombardi MG, Giuli S, <i>et al.</i> ICT solutions to develop an effective motor and cognitive training to reduce risk of falls: The I-DONT-FALL project. Lisbon, Portugal: SciTePress; 2015.	Ineligible setting
30	Baris VK, Seren Intepeler S. Views of key stakeholders on the causes of patient falls and prevention interventions: a qualitative study using the international classification of functioning, disability and health. J Clin Nurs. 2019;28(3-4):615-28.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
31	Barker SM, O'Brien CN, Carey D, Weissman GK. Quality improvement in action: a falls prevention and management program. Mt Sinai J Med. 1993;60(5):387-90.	Inaccessible
32	Barker AL, Morello RT, Wolfe R, Brand CA, Haines TP, Hill KD, <i>et al.</i> 6-PACK programme to decrease fall injuries in acute hospitals: cluster randomised controlled trial [with consumer summary]. Inj Prev. 2011;17(4)e5.	Protocol only
33	Barrett JA, Bradshaw M, Hutchinson K, Akpan A, Reese A, Metcalfe L, <i>et al.</i> Reduction of falls-related injuries using a hospital inpatient falls prevention program. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004;52(11):1969-70.	Ineligible concept
34	Batchelor FA, Mackintosh SF, Said CM, Hill KD. Falls after stroke. Int J Stroke. 2012;7(6):482-90.	Ineligible setting
35	Bates D, Brennan PF, Flory J. Leveraging evidence across the care continuum. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2015;41(2):87-96.	Inaccessible
36	Bates J. Loose footing. Nursing Stand. 2014;28(37):28-9.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
37	Battiato R, Owens C. Prevent falls and strengthen confidence. Rehab Manage. 2012;25(1):16-19.	Ineligible setting
38	Bauer J. RN news watch: specialty news bulletin. Elderly patients fall less often after having their meds reduced. RN. 2003;66(8):98-157.	Ineligible setting
39	Bayne CG. Technology assessment. Falling: why and what to do about it. Nurs Manage. 1997;28(12):22-3.	Ineligible concept
40	Beaucamp F, Pardessus V, Pollez B, Marissal J, Puisieux F, Thevenon A. Private practice-hospital patient pathways for elderly people with falls or at risk of falls: a study in Lille (northern France). Ann Phys Rehabil Med. 2016;59:e100-e101.	Ineligible setting
41	Ben Natan M, Heyman N, Ben Israel J. Identifying risk factors for elder falls in geriatric rehabilitation in Israel. Rehabil Nurs. 2016;41(1):54-9.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
42	Bennett PN, Ockerby C, Stinson J, Willcocks K, Chalmers C. Measuring hospital falls prevention safety climate. Contemp Nurs. 2014;47(1-2):27-35.	Ineligible concept

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
43	Berg KO, Kairy D. Balance interventions to prevent falls. Generations. 2002;26(4):75-8.	Ineligible setting
44	Bergman K, Papendick L. Falls in the neurologic illness population. J Trauma Nurs. 2014;21(4):182-5.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
45	Berman S. To our readers. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2009;35(1):3-4.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
46	Biley A. National Service Framework for Older People: management of falls. Br J Nurs. 2001;10(20):1351-6.	Ineligible setting
47	Blain H. S-16: Joint symposium EUGMS SIG Falls Prevention and Fracture/ ProFouND/EIP on AHA/EUNAAPA. Eur Geriatr Med. 2015;6:S170-S172.	Ineligible setting
48	Blain H, Abecassis F, Adnet PA, Alomene B, Amouyal M, Bardy B, <i>et al.</i> Living lab falls-MACVIA-LR: the falls prevention initiative of the European innovation partnership on active and healthy ageing (EIP on AHA) in Languedoc-Roussillon. Eur Geriatr Med. 2014;5(6):416-25.	Ineligible setting
49	Blakemore S. Medical and environmental factors play part in falls in hospital. Nurs Older People. 2008;20(10):7.	Inaccessible
50	Blakemore S. Hospitals need a dedicated team. Nurs Older People. 2008;20(10):7.	Inaccessible
51	Bogomolskiy D, Buttar A, Gonzalez-Stark L, Ho R, Perskin M, Zweig Y. A novel geriatric/cardiology/nursing partnership to assess inpatient falls. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2017;65:S169.	Inaccessible
52	Boraas D. Fall prevention: a challenge to the health care team. S D J Med. 1993;46(2):63.	Inaccessible
53	Bosley E. Implementation and evaluation of teach-back as a pedagogical method for delivering fall prevention education to older adults in an inpatient hospital setting [dissertation]. Morgantown, West Virginia: West Virginia University. 2016.	Inaccessible
54	Botvin JD. Facts about falls inspire safety program at St. Marys. Wisconsin hospital collaborates with community agencies. Profiles Healthc Mark. 2001;17(1):13.	Inaccessible
55	Boulton E, Hawley-Hague H, Vereijken B, Clifford A, Guldemond N, Pfeiffer K, <i>et al.</i> Developing the FARSEEING Taxonomy of Technologies: Classification and description of technology use (including ICT) in falls prevention studies. J Biomed Inform. 2016;61:132-140.	Ineligible concept
56	Boutellaa E, Kerdjidj O, Ghanem K. Covariance matrix based fall detection from multiple wearable sensors. J Biomed Inform. 2019;94:103189.	Ineligible setting
57	Bowden V, Bradas C, McNett M. Impact of level of nurse experience on falls in medical surgical units. J Nurs Manag. 2019;27(4):833-9.	Intervention not reported

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
58	Brabcová I, Bártlová S, Hajduchová H, Tóthová V. Prevention of patient falls in hospitals in the Czech Republic. Neuro Endocrinol Lett. 2015;36 Suppl 2:23-8.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
59	Breckenridge-Sproat S, Johantgen M, Patrician P. Influence of unit-level staffing on medication errors and falls in military hospitals. West J Nurs Res. 2012;34(4):455-74.	Ineligible concept
60	Brownsell S, Fowler-Davis S. Continuing professional development. Assistive technologies in falls management. Ther Week. 2005;32(23):7-10.	Inaccessible
61	Brungardt GS. Patient restraints: new guidelines for a less restrictive approach. Geriatrics. 1994;49(6):43.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
62	Bsching F, Kulau U, Gietzelt M, Wolf L. Comparison and validation of capacitive accelerometers for health care applications. Comput Methods Programs Biomed. 2012;106(2):79-88.	Ineligible concept
63	Burgon C, Darby J, Pollock K, Van Der Wardt V, Peach T, Beck L, <i>et al.</i> Perspectives of healthcare professionals in England on falls interventions for people with dementia: a qualitative interview study. BMJ Open. 2019;9(2):e025702.	Wrong setting
64	Burhan A. Implementation of intelligent fall detection and personal emergency response system in psychogeriatric inpatient units. Int Psychogeriatr. 2013;25:S49.	Ineligible concept
65	Buri H. A group programme to prevent falls in elderly hospital patients. Br J Ther Rehabil. 1997;4(10):550-6.	Ineligible setting
66	Butt C. Developing everyone's capacity: a resource kit supporting workforce capacity in reducing falls risk in the older person. Aust J Rural Health. 2005;13(1):8-9.	Ineligible setting
67	Byszewski AM, Cranney A, Man-Son-Hing M, Azad N, Amos S. Evaluation of in-hospital management of fracture risk in older patients: a chart review study of tertiary prevention. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2006;42(3):319-28.	Ineligible concept
68	Cameron ID, Murray GR, Gillespie LD, Robertson MC, Hill KD, Cumming RG, <i>et al.</i> Interventions for preventing falls in older people in nursing care facilities and hospitals. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;(1):CD005465.	Duplicate
69	Campagna G, Khandelwal S, Biggerstaff K, Orengo-Nania S. Predicting the likelihood of an inpatient fall as a function of visual pathology, visual acuity, and constitutive health. Invest Ophthalmol Visual Sci. 2017;58(8):1336.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
70	Campanelli T. Risk Management and analysis of an adverse event: accidental patients falls. Prof Inferm. 2005;58(3):151-72.	Ineligible concept
71	Campbell AJ, Robertson MC. Comprehensive approach to fall prevention on a national level: New Zealand. Clin Geriatr Med. 2010;26(4):719-31.	Ineligible setting
72	Capezuti E. Minimizing the use of restrictive devices in dementia patients at risk for falling. Nurs Clin North Am. 2004;39(3):625-47.	Ineligible setting

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
73	Capezuti E. Building the science of falls-prevention research. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004;52(3):461-2.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
74	Carpenter CR, Lo AX. Falling behind? Understanding implementation science in future emergency department management strategies for geriatric fall prevention. Acad Emerg Med. 2015;22(4):478-80.	Ineligible setting
75	Carpenter CR, Shah MN, Hustey FM, Heard K, Gerson LW, Miller DK. High yield research opportunities in geriatric emergency medicine: prehospital care, delirium, adverse drug events, and falls. J Gerontol Sci Med Sci. 2011;66(7):775-83.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
76	Casilari E, Luque R, Moron M. Analysis of android device-based solutions for fall detection. Sensors (Basel, Switzerland). 2015;15(8):17827-94.	Ineligible setting
77	Casilari E, Santoyo-Ramn J, Cano-Garca J. UMAFall: a multisensor dataset for the research on automatic fall detection. Procedia Comput Sci. 2017;110:32-9.	Ineligible concept
78	Castellini G, Demarchi A, Lanzoni M, Castaldi S. Fall prevention: is the STRATIFY tool the right instrument in Italian Hospital inpatient? A retrospective observational study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2017;17(1):656.	Ineligible concept
79	Chaccour K, Darazi R, El Hassani AH, Andres E. From fall detection to fall prevention: a generic classification of fall-related systems. IEEE Sens J. 2017;17(3):812-22.	Ineligible setting
80	Chan D, Diu E, Loh K, Hossain M, Verick D, Nguyen H. Pilot study into impaired judgement, self-toileting behaviour in fallers and non-fallers. Eur J Ageing. 2013;10(3):257-60.	Ineligible concept
81	Chao P-K, Chan H-L, Tang F-T, Chen Y-C, Wong M-K. A comparison of automatic fall detection by the cross-product and magnitude of tri-axial acceleration. Physiol Meas. 2009;30(10):1027-1037.	Ineligible concept
82	Chari S. Participatory design improves hospital safety interventions Lessons from co-creating a night lighting solution to prevent falls. Gold Coast, QLD, Australia: Human Factors and Ergonomics Society of Australia Inc. (HFESA); 2016.	Ineligible concept
83	Chari SR. Point prevalence of suboptimal footwear features among ambulant older hospital patients: implications for fall prevention. Austr Health Rev. 2016;40(4):399-404.	Ineligible concept
84	Chen P. Elderly falls in hospitals. Int J Gerontol. 2016;10(3):125.	Ineligible concept
85	Chen T, Nguyen B, Chandra A, Schultz K, Mathew P, Santry H. Patient perspectives on fall risks and actual fall causes. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2018;66:S306.	Ineligible concept
86	Digby R, Bloomer M, Howard T. Improving call bell response times. Nurs Older People. 2011;23(6):22-7.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
87	Cho I, Jin I. Responses of staff nurses to an EMR-based clinical decision support service for predicting inpatient fall risk. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2019;264:1650-1.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
88	Choi J, Choi JE. Enhancing patient safety using clinical nursing data: a pilot study. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2016;225:103-7.	Ineligible setting
89	Choi J, Lapp C, Hagle ME. Developing a web-based nursing practice and research information management system: a pilot study. Comput Inform Nurs. 2015;33(9):410-16.	Ineligible setting
90	Choi SD, Guo L, Kang D, Xiong S. Exergame technology and interactive interventions for elderly fall prevention: a systematic literature review. Appl Ergon. 2017;65:570-81.	Ineligible setting
91	Chung, H. The lived experience of older adults who fall during hospitaliza- tion [dissertation]. Denton, Texas: Texas Woman's University; 2009.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
92	Clemson L, Finch CF, Hill KD, Lewin G. Fall prevention in Australia: policies and activities. Clin Geriatr Med. 2010;26(4):733-49.	Ineligible concept
93	Close JC, Glucksman E. Falls in the elderly: what can be done? Med J Aust. 2000;173(4):176-7.	Ineligible concept
94	Cloutier A, Yang J, Pati D, Valipoor S. Experimental identification of potential falls in older adult hospital patients. J Biomech. 2016;49(7):1016-20.	Ineligible setting
95	Cloutier A, Yang J, Pati D, Valipoor S, Snailer B, Hollers J. Identifying possible patient slips and falls using motion capture experiments. In Venkataraman P. ASME 2015 International Technical Conferences and Computers and information in Engineering Conference. Boston, MA, United States: American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME); 2015.	Ineligible concept
96	Comino-Sanz IM, Sánchez-Pablo C, Albornos-Muñoz L, Alejandre IB, Marin MJDV, Pagalday LU, <i>et al.</i> Falls prevention strategies for patients over 65 years in a neurology ward: a best practice implementation project. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2018;16(7):1582-9.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
97	Conn L. Mind your step! A falls prevention programme designed to reduce falls in those over 75 years. Qual Ageing. 2007;8(1):10-22.	Ineligible setting
98	Cooper JW, Burfield AH. Medication interventions for fall prevention in the older adult. J Am Pharm Assoc. 2009;49(3):e70-e84.	Ineligible setting
99	Correa Paula MF, Martins EPM. P175: Evaluation of an individualized educational program for prevention of falls of hospitalized elderly. Eur Geriatr Med. 2014;5:S139.	Duplicate
100	Cox J, Newton D. Clinical update. Developing an integrated falls service. Prim Health Care. 2005;15(2):25-8.	Ineligible setting
101	Cox J, Thomas-Hawkins C, Pajarillo E, DeGennaro S, Cadmus E, Martinez M. Factors associated with falls in hospitalized adult patients. Appl Nurs Res. 2015;28(2):78-82.	Ineligible concept

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
102	Cox RS, Bradas C, Bowden V, Buckholz B, Kerber K, McNett M. Fall risk in acute ischemic stroke. Stroke. 2017;48.	Ineligible concept
103	Cox R, Buckholtz B, Bradas C, Bowden V, Kerber K, McNett MM. Risk factors for falls among hospitalized acute post-ischemic stroke patients. J Neurosci Nurs. 2017;49(6):355-60.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
104	Cozart H. Environmental effects on incidence of falls in the hospitalized elderly [dissertation]. Denton, Texas: Texas Woman's University; 2009.	Inaccessible
105	Craighead J, Fletcher R, Maxwell J. Seven steps for fall prevention. Dimens Health Serv. 1991;68(4):25-6.	Inaccessible
106	Crawford M, Wood S. Reducing falls risk. Prof Nurse. 2001;16(7):S9.	Inaccessible
107	Dadabhoy FZ, Lautar A, Schlaudecker J. Impact of fall reduction interven- tions added to interprofessional bedside rounds on an ACE unit. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2017;65:S102.	Ineligible concept
108	de Jong LD, Kitchen S, Foo Z, Hill AM. Exploring falls prevention capabilities, barriers and training needs among patient sitters in a hospital setting: a pilot survey. Geriatr Nurs. 2018;39(3):263-70.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
109	de Jong LD, Weselman T, Kitchen S, Hill AM. Exploring hospital patient sitters' fall prevention task readiness: a cross-sectional survey. J Eval Clin Pract. 2020;26(1):42-9.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
110	Dellinger AM, Stevens JA. Injury prevention for older adults. Generations. 2005;29(2):60-4.	Ineligible patient population
111	Demangeat JL, Geldreich MA, Kessler B, Kohlbecker C, Sure MC, Jeanmou- gin C. Putting into place devices for prevention of falls at the hospital center at Haguenau. Rech Soins Infirm. 2009(99):26-42.	Not in English
112	Dench B, Lucas J, Perkins C, Diver G. Nursing assessment and strategy utilization for falls prevention among medical and orthopedic patients in an acute public hospital setting: a best practice implementation project. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2014;12(10):267-81.	Intervention not Reported
113	DeVincenzo DK, Watkins S. Accidental falls in a rehabilitation setting. Rehabil Nurs. 1987;12(5):248-52.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
114	Dick A, La Grow S, Boddy J. The effects of staff education on the practice of 'specialling' by care assistants in an acute care setting. Nurs Prax NZ. 2009;25(1):17-26.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
115	Dinsenbacher A. Fall risk and fall prevention strategies for frail old people: the example of a small community hospital. Ann Phys Rehabil Med. 2014;57:e395-e396.	Ineligible concept
116	Dochterman J, Titler M, Wang J, Reed D, Pettit D, Mathew-Wilson M, <i>et al.</i> Describing use of nursing interventions for three groups of patients. J Nurs Scholarsh. 2005;37(1):57-66.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
117	Doig AK, Morse JM. The hazards of using floor mats as a fall protection device at the bedside. J Patient Saf. 2010;6(2):68-75.	Ineligible setting
118	Dore M, Lovato E, Papalia R, Giorello M, Olivero G, Sacco R, <i>et al.</i> Incident reporting: a new tool to reduce risk of errors and to improve the quality of services in Internal Medicine. Ital J Med. 2012;6(1):54.	Ineligible concept
119	Drahota AK, Ward D, Udell JE, Soilemezi D, Ogollah R, Higgins B, <i>et al.</i> Pilot cluster randomised controlled trial of flooring to reduce injuries from falls in wards for older people. Age Ageing. 2013;42(5):633-40.	Ineligible concept
120	Drahota A, Gal D, Windsor J, Dixon S, Udell J, Ward D, <i>et al.</i> Pilot cluster randomised controlled trial of flooring to reduce injuries from falls in elderly care units: study protocol. Inj Prev. 2011;17(6):e7.	Ineligible concept
121	Duckworth M, Adelman J, Belategui K, Feliciano Z, Jackson E, Khasnabish S, <i>et al.</i> Assessing the effectiveness of engaging patients and their families in the three-step fall prevention process across modalities of an evidence-based fall prevention toolkit: an implementation science study. J Med Internet Res. 2019;21(1).	Intervention not reported
122	Duffin C. Falls specialist nurse achieves results. Prim Health Care. 2010;20(2):6-7.	Ineligible setting
123	Dykes PC, Carroll DL, Hurley A, Lipsitz S, Benoit A, Chang F. Fall prevention in acute care hospitals: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2010;304(17):1912-18.	Duplicate
124	Dykes PC, I-Ching EH, Soukup JR, Chang F, Lipsitz S. A case control study to improve accuracy of an electronic fall prevention toolkit. AMIA Annual Symposium proceedings / AMIA Symposium AMIA Symposium 2012;2012:170-9.	Ineligible concept
125	Dykes PC, Adelman J, Adkison L, Bogaisky M, Carroll DL, Carter E, <i>et al.</i> Preventing falls in hospitalized patients: engage patients and families in a three-step prevention process to reduce the risk of falls. Am Nurs Today. 2018;13(9):8-13.	Ineligible concept
126	Edwards S, Holthaus J. Improving patient safety by identifying fall risks. J PeriAnesthes Nurs. 2017;32(4):e7-e8.	Ineligible concept
127	Endo Y, Hosokawa S, Fukuda K, Shimura J, Saito H. Development of a thin shaped load sensor for bed-leaving prediction for care recipients. Trans Jpn Soc Med Biol Eng. 2014;52:O-19; O.	Not in English
128	Enns E, Rhemtulla R, Ewa V, Fruetel K, Holroyd-Leduc J. A controlled quality improvement trial to reduce the use of physical restraints in older hospitalized adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2014;62(3):541-5.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
129	Evron L, Schultz-Larsen K, Fristrup T. Barriers to participation in a hospital- based falls assessment clinic programme: an interview study with older people. Scand J Public Health. 2009;37(7):728-35.	Ineligible setting
130	Falen T, Alexander J, Curtis D, UnRub L. Developing a hospital-specific electronic inpatient fall surveillance program. Health Care Manag. 2013;32(4):359-69.	Ineligible concept

JBI Evidence Synthesis

© 2021 JBI 2508

## $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$ 2021 JBI. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
131	Fan Y, Levine MD, Wen G, Qiu S. A deep neural network for real-time detection of falling humans in naturally occurring scenes. Neurocomputing. 2017;260:43-58.	Ineligible setting
132	Fan Y, Wen G, Li D, Qiu S, Levine MD. Early event detection based on dynamic images of surveillance videos. J Vis Comm Image Represent. 2018;51:70-5.	Ineligible setting
133	Faucher E, Samady H. The placement of a caution sticker to help prevent postoperative falls after femoral nerve block for knee surgery: a safety project. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2015;40(5).	Ineligible setting
134	Feise R. Can you name an effective intervention (or two) that prevents falls in the elderly? J Am Chiro Assoc. 2009;46(7):16-17.	Ineligible concept
135	Ferrari M, Harrison B, Rawashdeh O, Rawashdeh M, Hammond R, Maddens M. A pilot study testing a fall prevention intervention for older adults: determining the feasibility of a five-sensor motion detection system. J Gerontol Nurs. 2012;38(1):13-16.	Ineligible setting
136	Flanders SA, Kaufman SR, Saint S, Parekh VI. Hospitalists as emerging leaders in patient safety: lessons learned and future directions. J Patient Saf. 2009;5(1):3-8.	Ineligible concept
137	Formosa DP, Burkett B, Fawcett C, Burke C, O'Leary J. Effectiveness of an evidence-based multidisciplinary falls prevention program in reducing falls in high-risk older people. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2014;62(4):778-9.	Ineligible concept
138	Fortino G, Ghasemzadeh H, Gravina R, Liu PX, Poon CCY, Wang Z. Advances in multi-sensor fusion for body sensor networks: algorithms, architectures, and applications. Inf Fusion. 2019;45:150-2.	Ineligible concept
139	Fox MT, Persaud M, Maimets I, O'Brien K, Brooks D, Tregunno D, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of acute geriatric unit care using acute care for elders components: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2012;60(12):2237-45.	Ineligible concept
140	Fox NM, Vanderford V. Avoiding patient falls in radiology. Radiol Technol. 2000;72(1):63-6.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
141	Frengley JD. Bedrails: do they have a benefit? J Am Geriatr Soc. 1999;47(5):627-8.	Ineligible concept
142	Fulton AT, Price LH, Sullivan JK, Perez-Carter I. Falls in geriatric inpatients in a psychiatric hospital. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2014;62:S210.	Intervention not Reported
143	Gaebler S. Just who do we restrain? Aus Nurs J. 1994;2(1):39-41.	Ineligible concept
144	Gaffey AD. Fall prevention in our healthiest patients: assessing risk and preventing injury for moms and babies. J Healthc Risk Manag. 2015;34(3):37-40.	Ineligible setting
145	Garnett WR. Senior editor's page. Assessing and preventing falls in the elderly. Long-Term Care Interface. 2004;5(8):10-12.	Ineligible concept

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
146	Ghaemmaghami V. Fall prevention in the emergency department. J Emerg Nurs. 2013;39(5):427.	Ineligible concept
147	Gillespie L. Preventing falls in elderly people. BMJ. 2004;328(7441):653-4.	Duplicate
148	Gillespie LD, Gillespie WJ, Robertson MC, Lamb SE, Cumming RG, Rowe BH. Interventions for preventing falls in elderly people. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001;(3):CD000340.	Ineligible concept
149	Gillespie LD, Gillespie WJ, Robertson MC, Lamb SE, Cumming RG, Rowe BH. Interventions for preventing falls in elderly people. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003;(4):CD000340.	Ineligible concept
150	Godfrey JR, Studenski SA. Toward optimal health: preventing falls and promoting mobility in older women. J Women Health. 2010;19(2):185-8.	Ineligible setting
151	Grangier C, Mouchoux C, Le PM, Toulouze B, Colin C, Krolak-Salmon P. A multidisciplinary program for preventing falls "identify, prevent and get up": Impact on falls in elderly inpatients. Eur Geriatr Med. 2011;2:S89.	Ineligible concept
152	Greene BR, McGrath D, Caulfield B. A comparison of cross-sectional and prospective algorithms for falls risk assessment. Conference proceedings: Annual International Conference Of The IEEE Engineering In Medicine And Biology Society IEEE Engineering In Medicine And Biology Society Annual Conference. 2014;2014:4527-30.	Ineligible setting
153	Groarke A. Falls prevention: risk assessment and intervention. World Ir Nurs Midwif. 2012;20(5):37-8.	Ineligible setting
154	Grue EV, Ranhoff AH, Noro A, Finne-Soveri H, Jensdóttir AB, Ljunggren G, <i>et al.</i> Vision and hearing impairments and their associations with falling and loss of instrumental activities in daily living in acute hospitalized older persons in five Nordic hospitals. Scand J Caring Sci. 2009;23(4):635-43.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
155	Gschwind YJ, Wolf I, Bridenbaugh SA, Kressig RW. Basis for a Swiss perspective on fall prevention in vulnerable older people. Swiss Medical Weekly. 2011;141:w13305.	Ineligible setting
156	Guerreiro Cabrita M de F, José HMG. [The elderly person in the equipe de cuidados continuados inegrados: Nursing program for prevention of falls.] Rev Enferm. 2013;7(1):96-103.	Ineligible setting
157	Guillaume D, Crawford S, Quigley P. Characteristics of the middle-age adult inpatient fall. Appl Nurs Res. 2016;31:65-71.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
158	Hadidi N. Interventions for preventing falls in acute and chronic care hospitals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2008;56(9):1776-7.	Ineligible concept
159	Haines TP, Cornwell P, Fleming J, Varghese P, Gray L. Documentation of in- hospital falls on incident reports: qualitative investigation of an imperfect process. BMC Health Serv Res. 2008;8:254.	Ineligible concept

(Continued)				
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion		
160	Haines TP, Hill A. Inconsistent results in meta-analyses for the prevention of falls are found between study-level data and patient-level data. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;64(2):154-62.	Ineligible concept		
161	Haines TP, Hill K, Walsh W, Osborne R. Design-related bias in hospital fall risk screening tool predictive accuracy evaluations: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gerontol. 2007;62(6):664-72.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
162	Haines TP, McPhail S. Threat appraisal for harm from falls: Insights for development of education-based intervention. Open Longev Sci. 2011;5:9-15.	Ineligible concept		
163	Haines T, Hill K, Healey F. Difficulties encountered in hospital falls prevention research (multiple letters). Age Ageing. 2005;34(3):311-12.	Ineligible concept		
164	Haines TP, Lee DA, O'Connell B, McDermott F, Hoffmann T. Why do hospitalized older adults take risks that may lead to falls? Health Expect. 2015;18(2):233-49.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
165	Haines TP, Waldron NG. Translation of falls prevention knowledge into action in hospitals: what should be translated and how should it be done? J Saf Res. 2011;42(6):431-42.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
166	Hakim A, Huq MS, Shanta S, Ibrahim, BSKK. Smartphone based data mining for fall detection: analysis and design. Procedia Comp Sci. 2017;105:46-51.	Ineligible setting		
167	Halfon P, Eggli Y, Van Melle G, Vagnair A. Risk of falls for hospitalized patients: a predictive model based on routinely available data. J Clin Epidemiol. 2001;54(12):1258-66.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
168	An activity monitoring system for detecting movement by a person lying on a bed. Berlin, Germany: IEEE Computer Society; 2013.	Ineligible setting		
169	Handoll H. Prevention of falls and fall related injuries in older people in nursing homes and hospitals. Inj Prevent. 2010;16(2):137-8.	Ineligible concept		
170	Hanger HC. Low-impact flooring: does it reduce fall-related injuries? J Am Med Direct Assoc. 2017;18(7):588-91.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
171	Hanger HC, Ball MC, Wood LA. An analysis of falls in the hospital: can we do without bedrails? J Am Geriatr Soc. 1999;47(5):529-31.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
172	Harper KJ, Barton AD, Arendts G, Edwards DG, Petta AC, Celenza A. Controlled clinical trial exploring the impact of a brief intervention for prevention of falls in an emergency department. EMA. 2017;29(5):524-30.	Ineligible setting		
173	Harrington L, Luquire R, Vish N, Winter M, Wilder C, Houser B, et al. Meta- analysis of fall-risk tools in hospitalized adults. J Nurs Adm. 2010;40(11):483-8.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
174	Hawley-Hague H, Boulton E, Hall A, Pfeiffer K, Todd C. Older adults perceptions of technologies aimed at falls prevention, detection or monitoring: a systematic review. Int J Med Inf. 2014;83(6):416-26.	Ineligible setting		

(Continue	(Continued)			
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion		
175	Hayakawa T, Hashimoto S, Kanda H, Hirano N, Kurihara Y, Kawashima T, <i>et al.</i> Risk factors of falls in inpatients and their practical use in identifying high-risk persons at admission: Fukushima Medical University Hospital cohort study. BMJ Open. 2014;4(8):e005385.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
176	Hayes N. Falls prevention. Practice Nurse. 2004;27(4):32-4.	Ineligible setting		
177	Hayes N, Close JCT, Witchard S, Awan-Bux R, Anthony L, Cawley S, <i>et al.</i> What predicts compliance rates with hip protectors in older hospital inpatients? Age Ageing. 2008;37(2):225-8.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
178	Healey F, Treml J. Changes in falls prevention policies in hospital in England and Wales. Age Ageing. 2013;42(1):106-9.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
179	Healey FM, Cronberg A, Oliver D. Bedrail use in English and Welsh hospitals. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2009;57(10):1887-91.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
180	Healey F, Haines TP. A pragmatic study of the predictive values of the Morse falls score. Age Ageing. 2013;42(4):462-68.	Ineligible concept		
181	Hendrich A. How to try this. Predicting patient falls: using the Hendrich II Fall Risk Model in clinical practice. Am J Nurs. 2007;107(11):50-9.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
182	Hendrich A, Nyhuis A, Kippenbrock T, Soja ME. Hospital falls: development of a predictive model for clinical practice. Appl Nurs Res. 1995;8(3):129-39.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
183	Henn M, Petta K. Improving patient safety with evidence-based fall protocol: an implementation project. 2011 Annual Conference of the National Association of Clinical Nurse Specialists. Clin Nurs Specialist. 2011;25(3):148.	Ineligible concept		
184	Hignett S, Sands G, Fray M, Xanthopoulou P, Healey F, Griffiths P. Which bed designs and patient characteristics increase bed rail use? Age Ageing. 2013;42(4):531-5.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
185	Hill A, McPhail S, Hoffmann T, Hill K, Oliver D, Beer C, <i>et al.</i> A randomized trial comparing digital video disc with written delivery of falls prevention education for older patients in hospital. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2009;57(8):1458-63.	Ineligible concept		
186	Hill A, Waldron N, Etherton-Beer C, McPhail SM, Ingram K, Flicker L, <i>et al.</i> A stepped-wedge cluster randomised controlled trial for evaluating rates of falls among inpatients in aged care rehabilitation units receiving tailored multimedia education in addition to usual care: a trial protocol. BMJ Open. 2014;4(1):e004195.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection		
187	Hill BA, Johnson R, Garrett BJ. Reducing the incidence of falls in high risk patients. J Nurs Adm. 1988;18(7-8):24-8.	Ineligible concept		
188	Hill KD, Vu M, Walsh W. Falls in the acute hospital setting-impact on resource utilisation. Aust Health Rev. 2007;31(3):471-7.	Protocol only		

(Continued)			
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion	
189	Hill M, Hoena B, Kilian W, Odenwald S. Wearable, modular and intelligent sensor laboratory. Procedia Eng. 2016;147:671-6.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection	
190	Hirth VA, Caicedo JM, Langevin S, Ziehl P, Krotish DE. FREES: Fall reduction in the elderly using electronic sensors. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2010;58:S71.	Ineligible setting	
191	Hsieh W, Chen C, Wang S, Tan S, Hwang Y, Chen S, <i>et al.</i> Virtual reality system based on Kinect for the elderly in fall prevention. Technol Health Care. 2014;22(1):27-36.	Ineligible setting	
192	Hsu S, Lee C, Wang S, Shyu S, Tseng H, Lei Y, <i>et al.</i> Fall risk factors assessment tool: enhancing effectiveness in falls screening. J Nurs Res. 2004;12(3):169-79.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection	
193	Hsu Y-W, Chen K-H, Yang J-J, Jaw F-S. Smartphone-based fall detection algorithm using feature extraction. 2016 9th international congress on image and signal processing, biomedical engineering and informatics (CISP- BMEI). Datong, China. 2016:135-40.	Ineligible setting	
194	Hu X, Qu X. Detecting falls using a fall indicator defined by a linear combination of kinematic measures. Saf Sci. 2015;72:315-18.	Ineligible setting	
195	Innes EM. Maintaining fall prevention. Qual Rev Bulletin. 1985;11(7):217-21.	Ineligible concept	
196	Inoue M, Taguchi R, Hattori K, Umezaki T. Combining intensity gradient vectors for intensity gradient autocorrelation to detect getting up motion. IEEJ Trans Electr Inform Sys. 2016;136(3):262-72.	Ineligible concept	
197	Inoue M, Taguchi R, Umezaki T. Vision-based detection of getting-up behavior for fall prevention. In Callaos N, Ferrer J, Hashimoto S, Lesso W, Zinn CD, editors. Proceedings of the 23 <sup>rd</sup> World Multi-Conference on Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics, Volume I. 2013 Jul 9-12; Orlando, USA: WinterGarden, USA, p. 224-9.	Ineligible concept	
198	Inoue M, Taguchi R, Umezaki T. Vision-based detection of getting-up behavior on intensity gradient autocorrelation. IEEJ Trans Electr Inform Syst. 2014;134(2):242-9.	Ineligible concept	
199	Jackson L, Gleason J. Patient safety special. Proactive management breaks the fall cycle. Nurs Manag. 2004;35(6):37-8.	Duplicate	
200	JahneRaden N, Gutschleg H, Wolf MC, Kulau U, Wolf KH. Wireless sensor network for fall prevention on geriatric wards: a report. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2019;264:620-4.	Duplicate	
201	Janelli LM, Stamps D, Delles L. Physical restraint use: a nursing perspective. Medsurg Nurs. 2006;15(3):163-7.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection	
202	Jasniewski J. Healthier aging: caring for older adults. Take steps to protect your patient from falls. Nursing. 2006;36(4):24-5.	Ineligible concept	
203	Jayasekara R. Evaluating the effectiveness of falls prevention strategies in nursing care facilities and hospitals. Nurs Times. 2010;106(15):16.	Ineligible concept	

JBI Evidence Synthesis

© 2021 JBI 2513

## $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$ 2021 JBI. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
204	Jones WJ, Simpson JA. Preventing falls in hospitals. Hosp Top. 1991;69(3):30.	Ineligible concept
205	Kannus P, Khan KM, Lord SR. Preventing falls among elderly people in the hospital environment. Med J Aust. 2006;184(8):372-3.	Ineligible concept
206	Karlsson S, Nyberg L, Sandman PO. The use of physical restraints in elder care in relation to fall risk. Scand J Caring Sci. 1997;11(4):238.	Ineligible setting
207	Kato S, Tsuru S, lizuka Y. A structural model for patient fall risk and method for determining countermeasures. J Qual. 2013;20(5):503-20.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
208	Kenny RA, Romero-Ortuno R, Cogan L. Falls. Medicine. 2009;37(2):84-7.	Ineligible concept
209	KHALIFA M. Improving patient safety by reducing falls in hospitals among the elderly: a review of successful strategies. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2019(262):340-3.	Ineligible concept
210	Khan SS, Hoey J. Review of fall detection techniques: a data availability perspective. Med Eng Phys. 2017;39:12-22.	Ineligible concept
211	Kim TH, Choi A, Heo HM, Kim K, Lee K, Mun JH. Machine learning-based pre-impact fall detection model to discriminate various types of fall. J Biomech Eng. 2019;141(8).	Ineligible setting
212	Kimbell S. Before the fall: keeping your patient on his feet. Nursing. 2001;31(8):44-5.	Ineligible concept
213	King B, Pecanac K, Krupp A, Liebzeit D, Mahoney J. Impact of fall prevention on nurses and care of fall risk patients. Gerontol. 2018;58(2):331-40.	Intervention not Reported
214	Kitchen S. Improving falls risk assessment among inpatients of the general medical and orthopedic population at a tertiary hospital: a best practice implementation report. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2014;12(10).	Ineligible concept
215	Kleebauer A. NICE guidance outlines protocols to stop repeat falls in older people. Nurs Stand. 2014;29(11):11.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
216	Klenk J, Chiari L, Helbostad JL, Zijlstra W, Aminian K, Todd C, <i>et al.</i> Development of a standard fall data format for signals from body-worn sensors: the FARSEEING consensus. Z Gerontol Geriatr. 2013;46(8):720-6.	Ineligible concept
217	Kline NE, Davis ME, Thom B. Fall risk assessment and prevention. Oncology. 2011;25(2):17-22.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
218	Knudson D. F1RST and fall prevention. Nebr Nurs. 2013;46(1):8.	Ineligible setting
219	Ko F. Multicomponent exercise program can reverse hospitalization- associated functional decline in elderly patients. J Clin Outcome Manag. 2019;26(2):57-9.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
220	Koh SSL, Manias E, Hutchinson AM, Donath S, Johnston L. Nurses' perceived barriers to the implementation of a Fall Prevention Clinical Practice Guideline in Singapore hospitals. BMC Health Serv Res. 2008;8:105.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
221	Koh SSL, Manias E, Hutchinson AM, Johnston L. Fall incidence and fall prevention practices at acute care hospitals in Singapore: a retrospective audit. J Eval Clin Pract. 2007;13(5):722-7.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
222	Koutserimpas C, Samonis G, Vrentzos E, Panagiotakis S, Alpantaki K. In- hospital falls in older patients: a prospective study at the University Hospital of Heraklion, Crete, Greece. Austral J Ageing. 2016;35(1):64.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
223	Kressig RW, Herrmann FR, Grandjean R, Michel J, Beauchet O. Gait variability while dual-tasking: fall predictor in older inpatients? Aging Clin Experiment Res. 2008;20(2):123-30.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
224	Kumar NP, Gait R. Medication and falls: in older people. Geriatr Med. 2006;36(8):11-16.	Ineligible concept
225	Kutney-Lee A, Lake ET, Aiken LH. Development of the hospital nurse surveillance capacity profile. Res Nurs Health. 2009;32(2):217-28.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
226	Lacson M. Decreasing falls and fall related injuries in the telemetry area: the effects of hourly rounds. UPNAAI Nurs J. 2008;4(1):25-31.	Protocol only
227	Laguna-Parras JM, Carrascosa-Corral RR, Lopez FZ, Carrascosa-Garcia MI, Luque MF, Alejo EJ, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of interventions for prevention falls in the elderly: systematic review. Gerokomos. 2010;21(3):97-107.	Not in English
228	Lai C, Tseng S, Huang C, Pei C, Chi W, Hsu L, <i>et al.</i> Fun and accurate static balance training to enhance fall prevention ability of aged adults: a preliminary study. Hum Factors Ergonomics Manuf. 2013;23(6):517-27.	Ineligible concept
229	Lamarca JM, Torres PB. Fall prevention in the elderly. FMC. 2015;22(8):435-9.	Not in English
230	Lamb SE. The case for stepped-wedge studies: a trial of falls prevention. Lancet. 2015;385(9987):2556-7.	Ineligible concept
231	Lane AJ. Evaluation of the fall prevention program in an acute care setting. Orthoped Nurs. 1999;18(6):37-43.	Ineligible concept
232	Lang DSP, Teo AHY, Abdul F, Pang SAC, Ang ENK. Nurses implementing fall prevention strategies: an ethnographic study. Asian J Nurs. 2007;10(3): 179-83.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
233	Lannering C, Ernsth Bravell M, Johansson L. Prevention of falls, malnutrition and pressure ulcers among older persons - nursing staff's experiences of a structured preventive care process Health Soc Care Community. 2017;25(3):1011-20.	Ineligible setting
234	Latimer N, Dixon S, Drahota AK, Severs M. Cost–utility analysis of a shock- absorbing floor intervention to prevent injuries from falls in hospital wards for older people. Age Ageing. 2013;42(5):641-5.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
235	Lee J, Geller AI, Strasser DC. Analytical review: focus on fall screening assessments. PM&R. 2013;5(7):609-21.	Ineligible concept
236	Lee JY, Holbrook A. The efficacy of fall-risk-increasing drug (FRID) withdrawal for the prevention of falls and fall-related complications: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. Syst Rev. 2017;6(1):33.	Ineligible concept
237	Lee R. The CDC's STEADI initiative: Promoting older adult health and independence through fall prevention. Am Fam Physician. 2017;96(4):220-1.	Ineligible concept
238	Lee YC, Tay YC, Cheong FWF. Occupational therapy department's fall prevention initiatives to reduce patient fall incidents. Ann Acad Med Singapore. 2014;43(9):S41.	Ineligible setting
239	Lee Y, Choi E, Yang E, Kim J, Kim Y, Park H. Evaluation of nursing actions documented in ehrs for patients falls against clinical practice guidelines in a Korean tertiary hospital. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2016;225:639-40.	Protocol only
240	Leu F, Ko C, Lin Y, Susanto H, Yu H. Chapter 10 - Fall detection and motion classification by using decision tree on mobile phone. In: Xhafa F, Leu F-Y, Hung LL, editors. Smart sensors networks. Communication technologies and intelligent applications. Intelligent data-centric systems. Academic Press, Elsevier; 2017. p.205-37.	Ineligible setting
241	Leung JSM. Falls prevention in the elderly. Hong Kong Med J. 2015;21(3):287.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
242	Li T, Wilson CM, Basal Y. Reliability of an installed chair exit alarm system for fall prevention: a double-blind randomized controlled trial. J Acute Care Phys Ther. 2017;8(4):141-52.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
243	Li XY. Early detection and comprehensive fracture prevention in the elderly. Eur Geriatr Med. 2016;7(6):503.	Ineligible setting
244	Lim ML, Ang SGM, Teo KY, Wee YHC, Yee SP, Lim SH, <i>et al.</i> Patients' experience after a fall and their perceptions of fall prevention: a qualitative study. J Nurs Care Qual. 2018;33(1):46-52.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
245	Lin L, Wade C, Delavaux L, Van DC. An evidence-based assessment of fall risk and prevention in an acute rehabilitation facility. PM&R. 2015;7(9):S153.	Ineligible concept
246	Liu H, Zuo C. An improved algorithm of automatic fall detection. AASRI Procedia. 2012;1:353-358.	Ineligible setting
247	Lloret J, Parra L, Taha M, Toms J. An architecture and protocol for smart continuous eHealth monitoring using 5G. Comput Netw. 2017;129:340-51.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
248	Loke MY, Gan LLY, Islahudin F. Awareness of medication related falls and preferred interventions among the elderly. Pak J Pharm Sci. 2018;31(2):359-64.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
249	LopezJeng C, Eberth SD. Improving hospital safety culture for falls prevention through interdisciplinary health education. Health Prom Prac. 2019:1524839919840337.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
250	Lovallo C, Rolandi S, Rossetti AM, Lusignani M. Accidental falls in hospital inpatients: evaluation of sensitivity and specificity of two risk assessment tools. J Adv Nurs. 2010;66(3):690-6.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
251	Love K, Allen J. Falls: why they matter and what you can do. Geriatr Nurs. 2011;32(3):206-8.	Ineligible setting
252	Low S, Ang LW, Goh KS, Chew SK. A systematic review of the effectiveness of Tai Chi on fall reduction among the elderly. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2009;48(3):325-31.	Ineligible setting
253	Lowton K, Laybourne A, Whiting D, Martin F, Skelton D. High impact actions: preventing falls and encouraging exercise. Nurs Manag. 2010;17(4):22-5.	Ineligible setting
254	Lu N, Wu Y, Feng L, Song J. Deep learning for fall detection: three- dimensional CNN combined with LSTM on video kinematic data. IEEE J Biomed Health Inform. 2019;23(314-23).	Ineligible setting
255	Lutzler P, Faraldi O, Rethore V, Heurteux G, Billon M, Cosquier P, <i>et al.</i> A bracelet for the detection of accidental falls in the aged. Soins Gerontologie. 2001(27):32-34.	Not in English
256	Luxton T, Riglin J. Preventing falls in older people: a multi-agency approach. Nurs Old People. 2003;15(2):18-21.	Ineligible setting
257	Lyons SS. Evidence-based protocol fall prevention for older adults. J Gerontol Nurs. 2005;31(11):9-14.	Ineligible setting
258	Maestri A, Monica CM, Federica CF, Antonio MA, Cristina OC, Silvia Priori SGP. Prevention of falls in patients admitted to a cardiac rehabilitation unit after a acute cardiac event. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2013;12:S38-S39.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
259	Marques P, Queirós C, Apóstolo J, Cardoso D. Effectiveness of the use of bedrails in preventing falls among hospitalized older adults: a systematic review protocol. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2015;13(6):4-15.	Protocol only
260	Mass F, Bourke AK, Chardonnens J, Paraschiv-Ionescu A, Aminian K. Suitability of commercial barometric pressure sensors to distinguish sitting and standing activities for wearable monitoring. Med Eng Phys. 2014;36(6):739-44.	Ineligible patient population
261	McCarty CA, Woehrle TA, Waring SC, Taran AM, Kitch LA. Implementation of the MEDFRAT to promote quality care and decrease falls in community hospital emergency rooms. J Emerg Nurs. 2018;44(3):280-4.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
262	McDonnell T, Kerr A. 73 interventions to prevent falls in an inpatient hospital setting. Age Ageing. 2014;43:i17.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
263	McMurdo MET. Falls prevention. Age Ageing. 2001;30:4-6.	Ineligible setting

JBI Evidence Synthesis

© 2021 JBI 2517

# $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$ 2021 JBI. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
264	Mecugni D, Friggeri F, Mastrangelo S, Gradellini C. Accidental falls prevention in the elderly: a post intervention survey in Italian hospitals. J Clin Nurs. 2010;19:31.	Ineligible concept
265	Mellone S, Tacconi C, Schwickert L, Klenk J, Becker C, Chiari L. Smartphone- based solutions for fall detection and prevention: the farseeing approach. Z Gerontol Geriatr. 2012;45(8):722-7.	Ineligible setting
266	Melnyk BM. Fall prevention in hospitals and long-term care settings: Commentary. Worldviews Evid Based Nurs. 2007;4(2):117-18.	Ineligible concept
267	Merrett A, Thomas P, Stephens A, Moghabghab R, Gruneir M. A collaborative approach to fall prevention. Can Nurse. 2011;107(8):24-9.	Ineligible setting
268	Meyer R. Stepping On: A fall prevention program a how-to guide for volunteers. GeriNotes. 2018;25(3):12-13.	Ineligible setting
269	Midori Sakai A, Rossaneis MÂ, Haddad MDC, Willamowius Vituri D. Risk of bed falls in adult patients and prevention measures. Rev de Enferm. 2016;10:4720-6.	Not in English
270	Mir F, Zafar F, Rodin MB. Falls in older adults with cancer. Curr Geriatr Rep. 2014;3(3):175-81.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
271	Morello R, Barker A, Zavarsek S, Watts JJ, Haines T, Hill K, <i>et al.</i> The 6-PACK programme to decrease falls and fall-related injuries in acute hospitals: protocol for an economic evaluation alongside a cluster randomised controlled trial. Inj Prev. 2012;18(2):e2.	Protocol only
272	Morris R, O'Riordan S. Prevention of falls in hospital. Clin Med. 2017;17(4):360-2.	Ineligible concept
273	Mubashir M, Shao L, Seed L. A survey on fall detection: principles and approaches. Neurocomputing. 2013;100:144-52.	Ineligible setting
274	Mulvihill C, Nolan E, Sweeney A, Marshall S, Szarata A, Armstrong F. Occupational therapy effectively providing holistic care in a frail elderly active rehabilitation unit (ARU). Ir J Med Sci. 2014;183(7):S357-S358.	Ineligible setting
275	Muray M, Belanger CH, Razmak J. Fall prevention strategy in an emergency department. Int J Health Care Qual Assur. 2018;31(1):2-9.	Ineligible concept
276	Muñoz-Ferreras JM, Peng Z, Gómez-García R, Li C. Review on advanced short-range multimode continuous-wave radar architectures for healthcare applications. IEEE J Electromagn RF Microw Med Biol. 2017;1(1):14-25.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
277	Naqvi F, Lee S, Fields SD. An evidence-based review of the NICHE guideline for preventing falls in older adults in an acute care setting. Geriatrics. 2009;64(3):10-26.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
278	Nelson CA, Burnfield JM, Gu L. Mobility-enhancing fall-prevention device for physical rehabilitation. J Med Device. 2014;8(2):020929.	Ineligible concept

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
279	Nguyen Gia T, Sarker VK, Tcarenko I, Rahmani AM, Westerlund T, Liljeberg P, <i>et al.</i> Energy efficient wearable sensor node for IoT-based fall detection systems. Microprocess Microsyst. 2018;56:34-46.	Ineligible setting
280	Nguuyen VD, Le MT, Do AD. Duong HH, Thai TD, Tran DH. An efficient camera-based surveillance for fall detection of elderly people. 2014 9 <sup>th</sup> IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications; Hangzhou, China. 2014, p. 994-7.	Ineligible concept
281	Niwa LMS, Radovich NMF, Ciosak SI. Safe embrace: technological innovation for elderly safety in the use of toilets. Rev Bras Enferm. 2018:2833-6.	Ineligible setting
282	Nyman SR, Victor CR. Older people's participation and engagement in falls prevention interventions: Comparing rates and settings. Eur Geriatr Med. 2014;5(1):18-20.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
283	Oliver AS, Anuradha M, Justus JJ, Maheshwari N. Optimized low computa- tional algorithm for elderly fall detection based on machine learning techniques. Biomed Res. 2018;29(20):3715-22.	Ineligible concept
284	Oliver D. Evidence for fall prevention in hospitals. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2008;56(9):1774-5.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
285	Onodera H. Analysis of the slip-related falls and fall prevention with an intelligent shoe system. Florida, USA: IEEE Computer Society; 2010.	Ineligible setting
286	Overcash J. Journal club. Prediction of falls in older adults with cancer: a preliminary study. Oncol Nurs Forum. 2007;34(2):341-6.	Ineligible concept
287	Overcash JA, Beckstead J. Predicting falls in older patients using compo- nents of a comprehensive geriatric assessment. Clin J Oncol Nurs. 2008;12(6):941-9.	Ineligible concept
288	Park BM, Ryu HS, Kwon KE, Lee CY. Development and effect of a fall prevention program based on the King's Goal Attainment Theory for fall high-risk elderly patients in long-term care hospital. J Korean Acad Nurs. 2019;49(2):203-14.	Not in English
289	Patrick L, Leber M, Scrim C, Gendron I, Eisener-Parsche P. A standardized assessment and intervention protocol for managing risk for falls on a geriatric rehabilitation unit. J Gerontol Nurs. 1999;25(4):40-7.	Ineligible concept
290	Perell KL, Nelson A, Goldman RL, Luter SL, Prieto-Lewis N, Rubenstein LZ. Fall risk assessment measures: an analytic review. J Gerontol. 2001;56(12):M761-M766.	Ineligible concept
291	Pervez T, McNamara R. Falls prevention: starting at the beginning (QIP). Eur Geriatr Med. 2016;7:S44.	Intervention not Reported
292	Pierce J, Kearney D, Cumbler E. Development of a post-fall multidisciplinary checklist to evaluate the inpatient fall. J Hosp Med. 2011;6(4):S125.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
293	Quigley PA. Redesigned fall and injury management of patients with stroke. Stroke 2016;47(6):e92-e94.	Ineligible concept

JBI Evidence Synthesis

© 2021 JBI 2519

# $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$ 2021 JBI. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
294	Quigley P. Tailoring falls-prevention interventions to each patient. Am Nurs Today. 2015:8-10.	Ineligible concept
295	Radecki B, Reynolds S, Kara A. Inpatient fall prevention from the patient's perspective: a qualitative study. Appl Nurs Res. 2018;43:114-19.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
296	Raeder K, Siegmund U, Grittner U, Dassen T, Heinze C. The use of fall prevention guidelines in German hospitals - a multilevel analysis. J Eval Clin Pract. 2010;16(3):464-9.	Ineligible concept
297	Rainville NG. Effect of an implemented fall prevention program on the frequency of patient falls. QRB. 1984;10(9):287-91.	Inaccessible
298	Rasche P, Mertens A, Brohl C, Theis S, Seinsch T, Wille M, <i>et al.</i> The "Aachen fall prevention app" - a smartphone application app for the self-assessment of elderly patients at risk for ground level falls. Patient Saf Surg. 2017;11(1):14.	Ineligible setting
299	Rault T, Bouabdallah A, Challal Y, Marin F. A survey of energy-efficient context recognition systems using wearable sensors for healthcare applications. Pervasive Mob Compu. 2017;37:23-44.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
300	Ravi A, Racine E, Moriarty E, Murphy R, Wall O, O'Connor K, <i>et al.</i> Implementing a falls prevention initiative in community hospitals: a cross- sectional study of leadership and organisational climate. Age Ageing. 2017;46.	Ineligible concept
301	Redmond SJ, Zhang Z, Narayanan MR, Lovell NH. Pilot evaluation of an unobtrusive system to detect falls at nighttime. Conference proceedings: Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society Annual Conference 2014;2014:1756-9.	Ineligible setting
302	Redondi A, Chirico M, Borsani L, Cesana M, Tagliasacchi M. An integrated system based on wireless sensor networks for patient monitoring, localization and tracking. Ad Hoc Netw. 2013;11(1):39-53.	Ineligible concept
303	Rennke S, Larson C, Vavuris J, Jue V, Rivera J, Smoot B. Geriward falls: an interprofessionalteam-based curriculum on falls prevention and systems- based care for the hospitalized older adult. J Gen Intern Med. 2014;29:S518.	Ineligible concept
304	Resnick B. Learning from our history: prevention of falls in acute care. Geriatr Nurs. 2015;36(5):339-40.	Ineligible concept
305	Rheaume J. Retrospective case reviews of adult inpatient falls in the acute care setting. Medsurg Nurs. 2015;24(5):318-24.	Ineligible concept
306	Richard L, Den EE, Vova J. A multidisciplinary approach to decreasing falls in an inpatient rehabilitation setting: a case report. PM&R. 2012;4(10):S304.	Ineligible patient population

JBI Evidence Synthesis

© 2021 JBI 2520

# $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$ 2021 JBI. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
307	Robertson K, Logan PA, Conroy S, Dods V, Gordon A, Challands L, <i>et al.</i> Thinking falls - taking action: a guide to action for falls prevention. Br J Comm Nurs. 2010;15(8):406-10.	Ineligible setting
308	Rodger D, Brent L. Preventing falls and fractures. World Ir Nurs. 2015;23(8):60-1.	Ineligible concept
309	Rogers S. Reducing falls in a rehabilitation setting: a safer environment through team effort. Rehabil Nurs. 1994;19(5):274-6.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
310	Rossetti S, Posca T, Lattuada S, Torazzo R, Rugge E, Di CA, <i>et al.</i> New trends in prevention and detection of falls: Preliminary results. Ann Oncol. 2015;26.	Ineligible concept
311	Rubenstein LZ, Kenny RA, Eccles M, Martin F, Tinetti ME. Evidence-based guideline for falls prevention: summary of the bi-national panel. Generations. 2002;26(4):38-41.	Ineligible concept
312	Rubenstein LZ, Josephson KR. Falls and their prevention in elderly people: what does the evidence show? Med Clin North Am. 2006;90(5):807-24.	Ineligible concept
313	Saadeh W, Butt SA, Altaf MAB. A Patient-specific single sensor iot-based wearable fall prediction and detection system. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng. 2019;27:995-1003.	Ineligible setting
314	Saiz-Vinuesa MD, Munoz-Mansilla E, Munoz-Serrano T, Corcoles-Jimenez MP, Ruiz-Garcia MV, Fernandez-Pallares P, <i>et al.</i> Implementation of a best practice guideline for the prevention of falls: perception among hospitalized patients and its caregivers. Rev Calid Asist. 2016;31(6):329-37.	Not in English
315	Salgado RI, Lord SR, Ehrlich F, Janji N, Rahman A. Predictors of falling in elderly hospital patients. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2004;38(3):213-19.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
316	Samples Twibell R, Siela D, Sproat T, Coers G. Perceptions related to falls and fall prevention among hospitalised adults. Am J Crit Care. 2015;24(5):78.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
317	Saufl NM. Restraints use and falls prevention. J Perianesth Nurs. 2004;19(6):433-6.	Ineligible concept
318	Saulnier I, Lachal F, Tchalla A, Trimouillas J, Gourdeau-Nauche F, Bernard- Bourzeix L, <i>et al.</i> Assessment of an automated tele vigilance system on serious falls prevention in a dementia specialized care unit: The URCC. J Nutr Health Aging. 2012;16(9):865.	Ineligible concept
319	Scheurer S, Koch J, Kucera M, Bryn H, Bartschi M, Meerstetter T, et al. Optimization and technical validation of the AIDE-MOI fall detection algorithm in a real-life setting with older adults. Sensors. 2019;19(6).	Ineligible setting
320	Schoberer D, Breimaier HE, Mandl M, Halfens RJG, Lohrmann C. Involving the consumers: an exploration of users' and caregivers' needs and expectations on a fall prevention brochure: a qualitative study. Geriatr Nurs. 2016;37(3):207-14.	Ineligible setting

JBI Evidence Synthesis

© 2021 JBI 2521

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
321	Schwendimann R. Prevention of falls in acute hospital care. Review of the literature. Pflege. 2000;13(3):169-79.	Not in English
322	Schwendimann R, Milisen K, Bühler H, De Geest S. Multicultural aging. Fall prevention in a Swiss acute care hospital setting: reducing multiple falls. J Gerontol Nurs. 2006;32(3):13-22.	Duplicate
323	Schwenk M, Lauenroth A, Stock C, Moreno RR, Oster P, McHugh G, <i>et al.</i> Definitions and methods of measuring and reporting on injurious falls in randomised controlled fall prevention trials: a systematic review. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2012;12:50.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
324	Shah C, Suthar V. Effect of two different exercises protocol for fall preventation in elderly. Indian J Physiother Occup Ther. 2011;5(4):24-8.	Ineligible concept
325	Shah H, Streelman M, Gobel B. A root cause analysis-driven initiative to reduce hospital falls. J Hosp Med. 2010;5:103-4.	Ineligible setting
326	Shelby M, Malloch K, Shellenberger T, Byrum S, Mackie L, Dilli S, <i>et al.</i> Addressing falls among older oncology patients through complexity science. Nurs Adm Q. 2019;43(3):280-8.	Ineligible setting
327	Shen VRL, Lai H, Lai A. The implementation of a smartphone-based fall detection system using a high-level fuzzy Petri net. Appl Soft Comput. 2015;26:390-400.	Ineligible setting
328	Sherrod MM, Good JA. Crack the code of patient falls. Nurs Manage. 2006;37(8):25-9.	Ineligible concept
329	Shi C. Interventions for preventing falls in older people in care facilities and hospitals. Orthop Nurs. 2014;33(1):48-9.	Duplicate
330	Shorr RI, Chandler AM, Kessler LA, Miller ST, Waters TM, Daniels MJ, <i>et al.</i> Trial of proximity alarms to prevent patient falls in hospitals. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2010;58:S103-S104.	Intervention not Reported
331	Sinha SK, Abrams J, Arumugam S, Schutzer S, Lewis C. Effectiveness of a prevention strategy for in-hospital falls following total joint arthroplasty. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2011;36(5).	Inaccessible
332	So C, Pierluissi E. Attitudes and expectations regarding exercise in the hospital of hospitalized older adults: a qualitative study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2012;60(4):713-18.	Ineligible patient population
333	Stack M, O'Dwyer E, Hayden C, McGann C. A pharmacist led falls prevention focused medication review in a specialist palliative care inpatient service. Palliat Med. 2016;30(6):NP244-NP245.	Intervention not reported
334	Steadman J, Donaldson N, Kalra L. A randomized controlled trial of an enhanced balance training program to improve mobility and reduce falls in elderly patients. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2003;51(6):847-52.	Ineligible setting
335	Steen C. No more refusals: multidisciplinary approach to addressing patients refusing high risk fall status. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2017;23(3):S376.	Intervention not reported

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
336	Steen G, Fallon N, Fitzgerald K, Maher N, Casey M, Coakley D, <i>et al.</i> Prevention of falls among older patients in the hospital environment-a nurse led prevention programme. Ir J Med Sci. 2011;180:S345.	Intervention not reported
337	Steen G, Fitzgerald K, Fallon N, Maher N, Casey M, Harbison J, et al. Falls management in an acute hospital. Ir J Med Sci. 2012;181:S283.	Ineligible concept
338	Steen G, Fitzgerald K, Maher N, Fallon N, Cunningham C. Developing a comprehensive inpatient falls prevention programme in an acute hospital setting: Six years on. Eur Geriatr Med. 2010;1:S36-S37.	Ineligible concept
339	Steen G, Maher N, Fitzgerald K, Fallon N, Robinson D, Casey M, <i>et al.</i> Prevention of fallsamongolder patients in the hospital environment: a nurse led prevention programme. Osteoporosis Int. 2012;23:S217-S218.	Ineligible concept
340	Stenberg M, Wann-Hansson C. Health care professionals' attitudes and compliance to clinical practice guidelines to prevent falls and fall injuries. Worldv Evid Based Nurs. 2011;8(2):87-95.	Ineligible concept
341	Stephenson M. Editorial tackling a persistent problem: in-hospital falls prevention. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2014;12(10):1-2.	Ineligible concept
342	Stevens JA. Falls among older adults-risk factors and prevention strategies. J Saf Res. 2005;36(4):409-11.	Ineligible setting
343	Sullivan RP, Badros KK. Recognize risk factors to prevent patient falls. Nurs Manage. 1999;30(5):37-40.	Ineligible concept
344	Sze TW, Leng CY, Lin SKS. The effectiveness of physical restraints in reducing falls among adults in acute care hospitals and nursing homes: a systematic review. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2012;10(5).	Protocol only
345	Szymaniak S. Accurate falls risk assessment and interventions for preventing falls in patients in the acute care setting within a private hospital in a large capital city: a best practice implementation project. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2015;13(9):386-406.	Ineligible concept
346	Takeuchi H, Watanabe T, Yamamura A. A fundamental study on fall and downfall incident prevention at medical facility and its implication into construction safety study. Pg 251-256 In: East Asia-Pacific Conference on Structural Engineering & Construction, & Asian Institute of Technology (2006). Proceedings of the tenth East Asia-Pacific Conference on Structural Engineering and Construction: (EASEC - 10), August 3-5, 2006, Bankok, Thailand.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
347	Tan PJ, Khoo EM, Chinna K, Saedon NI, Zakaria MI, Ahmad Zahedi AZ, <i>et al.</i> Individually-tailored multifactorial intervention to reduce falls in the Malaysian Falls Assessment and Intervention Trial (MyFAIT): a randomized controlled trial. PLoS One. 2018;13(8).	Ineligible patient population
348	Taylor MJD, Griffin M, Shawis T, Impson R, Mccormick D. Wii training in a hospital falls programme. Age Ageing. 2011;40.	Ineligible patient population

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
349	Taylor MJD, McCormick D, Griffin M, Shawis T, Impson R, Ewins K. Nintendo wii as a training tool in falls prevention rehabilitation: case studies. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2012;60(9):1781-3.	Ineligible concept
350	Thilo FJS, Hürlimann B, Hahn S, Bilger S, Schols, Jos MGA, Halfens RJG. Involvement of older people in the development of fall detection systems: a scoping review. BMC Geriatr. 2016;16:42.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
351	Thomas JI, Lane JV. A pilot study to explore the predictive validity of 4 measures of falls risk in frail elderly patients. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2005;86(8):1636-40.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
352	Timmons S, Vezyridis P, Sahota O. Trialling technologies to reduce hospital in-patient falls: an agential realist analysis. Sociol Health Illn. 2019;41(6):1104-19.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
353	Tinetti M. 2012 - Review: Acute geriatric unit care reduces falls, delirium, and functional decline. ACP J Club. 2013;158(12):1.	Ineligible concept
354	Tricco AC, Cogo E, Holroyd-Leduc J, Sibley KM, Feldman F, Kerr G, <i>et al.</i> Efficacy of falls prevention interventions: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Syst Rev. 2013;2:38.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
355	Tricco AC, Thomas SM, Veroniki AA, Hamid JS, Cogo E, Strifler L, <i>et al.</i> Quality improvement strategies to prevent falls in older adults: a systematic review and network meta-Analysis. Age Ageing. 2019;48(3):337-46.	Ineligible concept
356	Tricco AC, Thomas SM, Veroniki AA, Hamid JS, Cogo E, Strifler L, <i>et al.</i> Comparisons of interventions for preventing falls in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2017;318(17):1687-99.	Ineligible concept
357	Trombetti A, Hars M, Marcant D, Rizzoli R, Ferrari S. Fall prevention: a challenge in the strategy of fracture prevention in the elderly. Rev Med Suisse. 2009;5(207):1318-24.	Not in English
358	Tucker S, Sheikholeslami D, Farrington M, Picone D, Johnson J, Matthews G, <i>et al.</i> Patient, nurse, and organizational factors that influence evidence- based fall prevention for hospitalized oncology patients: an exploratory study. Worldv Evid Based Nurs. 2019;16(2):111-20.	Intervention not reported
359	Tzeng H, Yin C. Patient engagement in hospital fall prevention. Nurs Econ. 2015;33(6):326-34.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
360	Tzeng HM. Nurses' caring attitude: fall prevention program implementation as an example of its importance. Nurs Forum. 2011;46(3):137-45.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
361	Tzeng HM, Titler MG, Ronis DL, Yin CY. The contribution of staff call light response time to fall and injurious fall rates: an exploratory study in four US hospitals using archived hospital data. BMC Health Serv Res. 2012;12:84.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
362	Tzeng H, Yin C. Nurses' solutions to prevent inpatient falls in hospital patient rooms. Nurs Econ. 2008;26(3):179-87.	Ineligible concept

JBI Evidence Synthesis

© 2021 JBI 2524

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
363	Tzeng H, Yin C. Nurses' response time to call lights and fall occurrences. Medsurg Nurs.2010;19(5):266-72.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
364	Tzeng H, Yin C. Exploring post-fall audit report data in an acute care setting. Clin Nurs Res. 2015;24(3):284-98.	Ineligible concept
365	Vallabh P, Malekian R, Ye N, Bogatinoska DC, Karadimce A, Ritonja J. Classification of fall detection in elderly persons based on smart phone data. J Biotechnol. 2016;231:S29-S30.	Ineligible concept
366	van der Kamp S. Stop falls. Intervention works. World Ir Nurs. 2008;16(4):49-50.	Ineligible concept
367	Vass CD, Sahota O, Drummond A, Kendrick D, Gladman J, Sach T, <i>et al.</i> REFINE (Reducing Falls in In-patient Elderly)–a randomised controlled trial. Trials. 2009;10:83.	Protocol only
368	Vieira ER, Berean C, Paches D, Costa L, Décombas-Deschamps N, Caveny P, <i>et al.</i> Risks and suggestions to prevent falls in geriatric rehabilitation: a participatory approach. BMJ Qual Saf. 2011;20(5):440-8.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
369	Vitale A. Falls prevention program keeps elderly patients' feet on the ground. Nurs Spectr (Gt Phila/Tri-state Ed). 2000;9(17):29.	Inaccessible
370	Vuillerme N, Pinsault N, Chenu O, Fleury A, Payan Y, Demongeot J. A wireless embedded tongue tactile biofeedback system for balance control. Pervasive Mob Comput. 2009;5(3):268-75.	Does not report on fall prevention or detection
371	Weatherall M. Multifactorial risk assessment and management programmes effectively prevent falls in the elderly. Evid Based Healthc Public Health. 2004;8(5):270-2.	Ineligible concept
372	Weaver D. Effective strategies in managing falls prevention. Nurs Residen Care. 2008;10(5):217-22.	Ineligible concept
373	Webster J, Courtney M, Marsh N, Gale C, Abbott B, Mackenzie-Ross A, <i>et al.</i> The STRATIFY tool and clinical judgment were poor predictors of falling in an acute hospital setting. J Clin Epidemiol. 2010;63(1):109-13.	Ineligible concept
374	Weed-Pfaff S, Nutter B, Bena JF, Forney J, Field R, Szoka L, <i>et al.</i> Validation of predictors of fall events in hospitalized patients with cancer. Clin J Oncol Nurs. 2016;20(5):E126-E131.	Ineligible concept
375	Wells A, Gray S. Following NICE guidance to take positive steps to prevent falls. Nurs Residen Care. 2013;15(11):729-32.	Ineligible setting
376	West GF, Rose T, Throop M. Assessing nursing interventions to reduce patient falls. Nursing. 2018;48(8):59-60.	Ineligible concept
377	White SV. Patient safety-new falls prevention initiative. Fla Nurse. 2002;50(1):28.	Ineligible concept
378	Willett LE, Sullivan BT. Falling between the cracks: a community hospital's efforts to prevent fall-related injuries. Generations. 2002;26(4):86-8.	Ineligible concept

(Continued)		
Study ID	Reference	Reason for exclusion
379	Williams B, Young S, Williams D, Schindel D. Effectiveness of a fall awareness and education program in acute care. J Nurs Staff Dev. 2011;27(3):143-7.	Ineligible concept
380	Williams C, Bowles K, Kiegaldie D, Maloney S, Nestel D, Kaplonyi J, <i>et al.</i> Establishing the effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and student experience of a Simulation-based education Training program On the Prevention of Falls (STOP-Falls) among hospitalised inpatients: a protocol for a randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open. 2016;6(6):e010192.	Duplicate
381	Williams ME, Hadler NM. In hospital rehabilitation units, adding individual- ized fall-prevention education to usual care reduced falls. Ann Intern Med. 2015;163(4):JC14.	Ineligible concept
382	Williams ME, Hadler NM. 2015 - In hospital rehabilitation units, adding individualized fall-prevention education to usual care reduced falls. ACP J Club. 2015;163(4):1.	Protocol only
383	Winslow EH. Research for practice. Reducing falls in older patients. Am J Nurs. 1998;98(10):22.	Ineligible concept
384	Wood B, Bennie A, Armstrong M, Michael S, Cameron I. Falls: a coordinated strategy. Aust Health Rev. 1999;22(3):144-54.	Ineligible concept
385	Wright KM. Falls prevention strategies among acute neurosurgical and aged care inpatients in a tertiary hospital in Sydney: a best practice implementation report. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2014;12(10):199-217.	Ineligible concept
386	Xu C, Audrey TXN, Shi SLH, Shanel YWT, Tan JM, Premarani K, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of interventions for the assessment and prevention of falls in adult psychiatric patients: a systematic review. JBI Libr Syst Rev. 2012;10(9):513-73.	Duplicate
387	Yang Y, Feldman F, Leung PM, Scott V, Robinovitch SN. Agreement between video footage and fall incident reports on the circumstances of falls in long-term care. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2015;16(5):388-94.	Ineligible setting
388	Yokota S, Shinohara E, Ohe K. can staff distinguish falls: experimental hypothesis verification using Japanese incident reports and natural language processing. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2018;250:159-63.	Ineligible concept
389	Yokota S, Tomotaki A, Mohri O, Endo M, Ohe K. Evaluation of a fall risk prediction tool using large-scale data. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2016;225:800-1.	Intervention not reported
390	Yun Y, Yu-Hua Gu I. Chapter 15 - Visual information-based activity recognition and fall detection for assisted living and eHealthCare. Butter-worth-Heinemann; 2017. p. 395-425.	Ineligible setting

### Appendix IV: Reference list of included studies

Abdalla A, Adhaduk M, Haddad RA, Alnimer Y, Ros-Bedoya C, Bachuwa G. Does acute care for the elderly (ACE) unit decrease the incidence of falls? Geriatr Nurs. 2018;39(3):292-5.

Adame T, Bel A, Carreras A, Meli-Segu J, Oliver M, Pous R. CUIDATS: An RFIDWSN hybrid monitoring system for smart health care environments. Future Generation Comput Syst. 2018;78:602-15.

Aizen E, Lutsyk G, Wainer L, Carmeli S. Effectiveness of individualized fall prevention program in geriatric rehabilitation hospital setting: a cluster randomized trial. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2015;27(5):681-8.

Albornos-Munoz L, Melian-Correa E, Acosta-Arrocha A, Gallo-Blanco C, Bejar-Bacas F, Alonso-Poncelas E, *et al.* Falls assessment and interventions among older patients in two medical and one surgical hospital wards in Spain: a best practice implementation project. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2018;16(1):247-57.

Alexander D, Kinsley TL, Waszinski C. Journey to a safe environment: fall prevention in an emergency department at a level i trauma center. J Emerg Nurs. 2013;39(4):346-52.

Ali UM, Judge A, Foster C, Brooke A, James K, Marriott T, *et al.* Do portable nursing stations within bays of hospital wards reduce the rate of inpatient falls? An interrupted time-series analysis. Age Ageing. 2018;47:818-24.

Amador LF, Loera JA. Preventing postoperative falls in the older adult. J Am Coll Surg. 2007;204(3):447-53.

Anderson O, Boshier Piers R, Hanna George B. Interventions designed to prevent healthcare bed-related injuries in patients. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;18(1):CD008931.

Andreoli A, Fancott C, Velji K, Baker GR, Solway S, Aimone E, *et al.* Using SBAR to communicate falls risk and management in inter-professional rehabilitation teams. Healthc Q. 2010;13:94-101.

Ang E, Mordiffi SZ, Wong HB. Evaluating the use of a targeted multiple intervention strategy in reducing patient falls in an acute care hospital: a randomized controlled trial. J Adv Nurs. 2011;67(9):1984-92.

Apold J, Quigley PA. Minnesota hospital association statewide project: SAFE from FALLS. J Nurs Care Qual. 2012;27(4):299-306.

Aud MA, Abbott CC, Tyrer HW, Neelgund RV, Shriniwar UG, Mohammed A, *et al.* Smart Carpet: developing a sensor system to detect falls and summon assistance. J Gerontol Nurs. 2010;36(7):8-12.

Avancean D, Calliste D, Contreras T, Lim Y, Fitzpatrick A. Effectiveness of patient-centered interventions on falls in the acute care setting: a quantitative systematic review protocol. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2017;15(1):55-65.

Baig MM, Gholamhosseini H, Connolly MJ. Falls risk assessment for hospitalised older adults: a combination of motion data and vital signs. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2016;28(6):1159-68.

Balaguera HU, Wise D, Ng CY, Tso H, Chiang W, Hutchinson AM, *et al.* Using a medical intranet of things system to prevent bed falls in an acute care hospital: a pilot study. J Med Internet Res. 2017;19(5):e150.

Banerjee T, Enayati M, Keller JM, Skubic M, Popescu M, Rantz M. Monitoring patients in hospital beds using unobtrusive depth sensors. In: Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society [conference proceedings on the internet]; 2014 Aug 26-30; Chicago (USA). Danvers (MA): IEEE; 2014 [cited 2020 Sep 11]. p.5904-7. Accessed from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/ 6944972.

Barker A, Kamar J, Morton A, Berlowitz D. Bridging the gap between research and practice: review of a targeted hospital inpatient fall prevention programme. Qual Saf Health Care. 2009;18(6):467-72.

Barker AL, Kamar J, Tyndall T, Hill K. Reducing serious fall-related injuries in acute hospitals: are low-low beds a critical success factor? J Adv Nurs. 2013;69(1):112-21.

Barker AL, Morello RT, Wolfe R, Brand CA, Haines TP, Hill KD, *et al.* 6-PACK programme to decrease fall injuries in acute hospitals: cluster randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2016;352:h6781.

Barker AL, Morello RT, Ayton DR, Hill KD, Brand CA, Livingston PM, *et al.* Acceptability of the 6-PACK falls prevention program: a pre-implementation study in hospitals participating in a cluster randomized controlled trial. PLoS One 2017;12(2):e0172005.

Barry E, Laffoy M, Matthews E, Carey D. Preventing accidental falls among older people in long stay units. Ir Med J. 2001;94(6):172.

Bauer P, Kramer JB, Rush B, Sabalka L. Modeling bed exit likelihood in a camera-based automated video monitoring application. In: 2017 IEEE International Conference on Electro Information Technology (EIT) [conference proceedings on the internet]; 2017 May 14-17; Lincoln (USA). Danvers (MA): IEEE; 2017 [cited 2020 Sep 7]. p56-61. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8053330.

Belita L, Ford P, Kirkpatrick H. The development of an assessment and intervention falls guide for older hospitalized adults with cardiac conditions. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2013;12(3):302-9.

Belshaw M, Taati B, Snoek J, Mihailidis A. Towards a single sensor passive solution for automated fall detection. In: Armentano R, Hudson D, eds. Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society [conference proceedings on the internet]; 2011 Aug 30-Sep 03; Boston (USA). Danvers (MA): IEEE; 2011. [cited 2020 Sep 0]. p.1773-6. Accessed from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/ document/6090506.

Bemis-Dougherty A, Delaune MF. Reducing patient falls in inpatient settings. Magazine Phys Ther. 2008;16(5):36-44.

Bhandari G, Snowdon A. Symbiotic simulation decision support system for injury prevention. Smart Innov Syst Technol. 2010;4:373-82.

Blake S. Preventing falls. Nurs N Z. 2013;19(10):29.

Bloch F, Gautier V, Noury N, Lundy J, Poujaud J, Claessens Y, *et al.* Evaluation under real-life conditions of a stand-alone fall detector for the elderly subjects. Ann Phys Rehabil Med. 2011;54(6):391-8.

Bock TJ. A solution to sitters that won't fall short. Nurs Manage. 2017;48(1):38-44.

Bolger MP, McDonnell, Clifford M, Hurson G. Adherence with falls prevention education in an acute setting. Ir J Med Sci. 2016;185:S203-S204.

Bonuel N, Manjos A, Lockett L, Gray-Becknell T. Best practice fall prevention strategies. CATCH! Crit Care Nurs Q. 2011;34(2):154-8.

Boothe DL, Harris SA. Fall scene investigation: a proactive approach to fall prevention. Nursing. 2010;40(8):67.

Boswell DJ, Ramsey J, Smith MA, Wagers B. The cost-effectiveness of a patient-sitter program in an acute care hospital: a test of the impact of sitters on the incidence of falls and patient satisfaction. Qual Manag Health Care. 2001;10(1):10-16.

Bradley SM. Falls in older adults. Mt Sinai J Med. 2011;78(4):590-5.

Brady R, Chester FR, Pierce LL, Salter JP, Schreck S, Radziewicz R. Geriatric falls: prevention strategies for the staff. J Gerontol Nurs. 1993;19(9):26-32.

Brandis S. A collaborative occupational therapy and nursing approach to falls prevention in hospital inpatients. J Qual Clin Pract. 1999;19(4):215-20.

Breimaier HE, Halfens RJG, Lohrmann C. Effectiveness of multifaceted and tailored strategies to implement a fall-prevention guideline into acute care nursing practice: a before-and-after, mixed-method study using a participatory action research approach. BMC Nurs. 2015;14(1):1-12.

Brown AM. Reducing falls after electroconvulsive therapy: a quality improvement project. J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv. 2017;55(7):20-9.

Brown DS. Do leaves have to fall in their autumn? A falls prevention strategy in action in the south east of South Australia. Rural Remote Health. 2004;4(1):12.

Browne C, Kingston C, Keane C. Falls prevention focused medication review by a pharmacist in an acute hospital: implications for future practice. Int J Clin Pharm. 2014;36(5):969-75.

Browne JA, Covington BG, Davila Y. Using information technology to assist in redesign of a fall prevention program. J Nurs Care Qual. 2004;19(3):218-25.

Budinger TF. Biomonitoring with wireless communications. Annu Rev Biomed Eng. 2003;5:383-412.

Bunn F, Dickinson A, Simpson C, Narayanan V, Humphrey D, Griffiths C, *et al.* Preventing falls among older people with mental health problems: a systematic review. BMC Nurs. 2014;13(4):Epub.

Burleigh E, McColl J, Potter J. Does vitamin D stop inpatients falling? A randomised controlled trial. Age Ageing. 2007;36(5):507-13.

Burston S, Chaboyer W, Gillespie B, Carroll R. The effect of a transforming care initiative on patient outcomes in acute surgical units: a time series study. J Adv Nurs. 2015;71(2):417-29.

Cabilan CJ. Falls risk assessment and falls prevention strategies in private oncology and neurosurgical setting: A best practice implementation project. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2014;12(10):218-33.

Cameron ID, Dyer SM, Panagoda CE, Murray GR, Hill KD, Cumming RG, *et al.* Interventions for preventing falls in older people in care facilities and hospitals. Cochrane Database System Rev. 2018;(9):CD005465.

Cameron ID, Gillespie LD, Robertson MC, Murray GR, Hill KD, Cumming RG, *et al.* Interventions for preventing falls in older people in care facilities and hospitals. Cochrane Database System Rev. 2012;(12):CD005465.

Campbell GB, Breisinger TP, Meyers L. Stroke unit fall prevention: an interdisciplinary, data-driven approach. Rehabil Nurs. 2006;31(1):3-9.

Cangany M, Back D, Hamilton-Kelly T, Altman M, Lacey S. Bedside nurses leading the way for falls prevention: an evidence-based approach. Crit Care Nurse. 2015;35(2):82-4.

Capan K, Lynch B. A hospital fall assessment and intervention project. J Clin Outcomes Manag. 2007;14(3):155-60.

Caporusso N, Lasorsa I, Rinaldi O, La Pietra L. A pervasive solution for risk awareness in the context of fall prevention. Pervasive health: Proceedings of the 3d International ICST Conference on Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare, 2009 Aug; London: ICST [cited 2020 Sep 1]. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.4108/ICST.PERVASIVEHEALTH2009.5980.

Carroll DL, Dykes PC, Hurley AC. Patients' perspectives of falling while in an acute care hospital and suggestions for prevention. Appl Nurs Res. 2010;23(4):238-41.

Carroll DL, Dykes PC, Hurley AC. An electronic fall prevention toolkit: effect on documentation quality. Nurs Res. 2012;61(4):309-13.

Carroll D, Pappola L, McNicoll L. Fall prevention interventions in acute care settings: the Rhode Island Hospital experience. Med Health R I. 2009;92(8):280-2.

Chaabane F. Falls prevention for older people with dementia. Nurs Stand. 2007;22(6):50-5.

Chan M, Campo E, Bourennane W, Bettahar F, Charlon Y. Mobility behavior assessment using a smartmonitoring system to care for the elderly in a hospital environment. New York, USA: ACM; 2014.

Changqing X, Ning AT, Hui SS, Ting SY, Marie TJ, Premarani K, *et al.* Effectiveness of interventions for the assessment and prevention of falls in adult psychiatric patients: a systematic review. JBI Libr Syst Rev. 2015;10(9):513-73.

Chattopadhyay T, Wentworth L, Joyce H, James N, Haldone C, Datta A, et al. A simple inpatient falls prevention programme improves patient safety. Age Ageing. 2011;40.

Choi Y, Lawler E, Boenecke CA, Ponatoski ER, Zimring CM. Developing a multi-systemic fall prevention model, incorporating the physical environment, the care process and technology: a systematic review. J Adv Nurs. 2011;67(12):2501-24.

Chou W, Lin W, Lee M, Lei KF. Design and assessment of a real-time accelerometer-based lying-to-sit sensing system for bed fall prevention. 2013 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, 2013 [cited 2020 Sep 1], p.1471-5. Available from: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Design-and-Assessment-of-a-Real-Time-Lying-to-Sit-Chou-Lin/d7e7bcd2fce6abd7918f84dfca854c-b1e94cd3f0.

Christy R. Preventing falls in hospitalized older adults. Nursing. 2017;47(7):1-3.

Clarke HD, Timm VL, Goldberg BR, Hattrup SJ. Preoperative patient education reduces in-hospital falls after total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop. 2012;470(1):244-9.

Clyburn TA, Heydemann JA. Fall prevention in the elderly: analysis and comprehensive review of methods used in the hospital and in the home. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2011;19(7):402-9.

Cohen L, Guin P. Implementation of a patient fall prevention program. J Neurosci Nurs. 1991;23(5):315-19.

Coles G, Fuller B, Nordquist K, Kongslie A. Using failure mode effects and criticality analysis for high-risk processes at three community hospitals. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2005;31(3):132-40.

Coppedge N, Conner K, Se SF. Using a standardized fall prevention tool decreases fall rates. Nursing. 2016;46(3):64-7.

Corbett C, Pennypacker B. Using a quality improvement team to reduce patient falls. J Healthc Qual. 1992;14(5):38-4154.

Cournan M, Fusco-Gessick B, Wright L. Improving patient safety through video monitoring. Rehabil Nurs. 2018;43(3):111-15.

Coussement J, De PL, Schwendimann R, Denhaerynck K, Dejaeger E, Milisen K. Interventions for preventing falls in acute- and chronic-care hospitals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2008;56(1):29-36.

Coussement J, Dejaeger E, Lambert M, Van DN, De Paepe L, Boonen S, *et al.* Translating fall incidence data into fall-preventive measures in geriatric wards-a survey in Belgian hospitals. Gerontol. 2009;55(4):398-404.

Coyle R, Mazaleski A. Initiating and sustaining a fall prevention program. Nursing. 2016;46(5):16-21.

Cozart HT, Cesario SK. Falls aren't us: state of the science. Crit Care Nurs Q. 2009;32(2):116-27.

Cumbler EU, Simpson JR, Rosenthal LD, Likosky DJ. Inpatient falls: defining the problem and identifying possible solutions. Part I: an evidence-based review. Neurohospitalist. 2013;3(3):135-43.

Cumming RG, Sherrington C, Lord SR, Simpson JM, Vogler C, Cameron ID, *et al.* Cluster randomised trial of a targeted multifactorial intervention to prevent falls among older people in hospital. BMJ. 2008;336(7647):758-60.

Dacenko-Grawe L, Holm K. Evidence-based practice: a falls prevention program that continues to work. Medsurg Nurs. 2008;17(4):223.

Dal Molin A, Gatta C, Boggio Gilot C, Ferrua R, Cena T, Manthey M, *et al.* The impact of primary nursing care pattern: Results from a before–after study. J Clin Nurs. 2018;27(5):1094-102.

Danielsen A. Non-intrusive bedside event recognition using infrared array and ultrasonic sensor. In: García C, Caballero-Gil P, Burmester M, Quesada-Arencibia A, editors. Ubiquitous computing and ambient intelligence. UCAmI 2016. Lecture Notes in Computer Science [conference proceedings on the internet]; 2016 Nov 29-Dec 02; Gran Canaria (Spain). Cham: Springer; 2016. p.15-25.

Dean E. Reducing falls among older people in hospital. Nurs Older People. 2012;24(5):16.

Dellasega CA, Salerno FA, Lacko LA, Wasser TE. The impact of a geriatric assessment team on patient problems and outcomes. Medsurg Nurs. 2001;10(4):202-9.

Demontiero O, Gunawardene P, Duque G. Postoperative prevention of falls in older adults with fragility fractures. Clin Geriatr Med. 2014;30(2):333-47.

de Morton NA, Keating JL, Berlowitz DJ, Jackson B, Lim WK. Additional exercise does not change hospital or patient outcomes in older medical patients: a controlled clinical trial. Aust J Physiother. 2007;53(2):105-11.

Dempsey J. Falls prevention revisited: a call for a new approach. J Clin Nurs. 2004;13(4):479-85.

Dibardino D, Cohen ER, Didwania A. Meta-analysis: multidisciplinary fall prevention strategies in the acute care inpatient population. J Hosp Med. 2012;7(6):497-503.

Diduszyn J, Hofmann MT, Naglak M, Smith DG. Use of wireless nurse alert fall monitor to prevent inpatient falls. J Clin Outcomes Manag. 2008;15(6):293-6.

Digby R, Bloomer M, Howard T. Improving call bell response times. Nurs Older People. 2011;23(6):22-7.

Donald IP, Pitt K, Armstrong E, Shuttleworth H. Preventing falls on an elderly care rehabilitation ward. Clin Rehabil. 2000;14(2):178-85.

Dong RS, Tanaka M, Ushijima M, Ishimatsu T. Intelligent monitoring system of bedridden elderly. In: Wei Y, Chong KT, Takahashi T, Liu S, Li Z, Jiang Z, Choi JY, editors. ICMIT 2005: Mechatronics, MEMS, and Smart Materials [conference proceedings on the internet]; 2005 Sep 20-23; Chongqing (China). China: Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE); 2006 [cited 2020 Sep 15]. p.1-4. Available from: https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-spie/6040/604020/Intelligent-monitoring-system-of-bedridden-elderly/10.1117/12.664243.short

Donoghue J, Graham J, Mitten-Lewis S, Murphy M, Gibbs J. A volunteer companion-observer intervention reduces falls on an acute aged care ward. Int J Health Care Qual Assur Inc Leadersh Health Serv. 2005;18(1):24-31.

Dover L. Overcoming organisational barriers to minimising falls in an aged care rehabilitation facility. JARNA. 2006;9(1):10-12.

Dowding DW, Turley M, Garrido T. The impact of an electronic health record on nurse sensitive patient outcomes: an interrupted time series analysis. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2012;19(4):615-20.

Dyer D, Bouman B, Davey M, Ismond KP. An intervention program to reduce falls for adult in-patients following major lower limb amputation. Healthc Q. 2008;11(3):117-21.

Dykes PC, Carroll DL, Hurley A, Gersh-Zaremski R, Kennedy A, Kurowski J, *et al.* Fall TIPS: strategies to promote adoption and use of a fall prevention toolkit. AMIA Ann Symp Proc. 2009:153-7.

Dykes PC, Duckworth M, Cunningham S, Dubois S, Driscoll M, Feliciano Z, *et al.* Pilot Testing Fall TIPS (Tailoring Interventions for Patient Safety): a patient-centered fall prevention toolkit. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2017;43(8):403-13.

Dykes PC, Carroll DL, Hurley AC, Benoit A, Middleton B. Why do patients in acute care hospitals fall? Can falls be prevented? J Nurs Adm. 2009;39(6):299-304.

Dykes PC, Carroll DL, Hurley A, Lipsitz S, Benoit A, Chang F, *et al*. Fall prevention in acute care hospitals: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2010;304(17):1912-18.

Eckstrom E, Neal MB, Cotrell V, Casey CM, McKenzie G, Morgove MW, *et al.* An interprofessional approach to reducing the risk of falls through enhanced collaborative practice. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2016;64(8):1701-7.

Emory SL, Silva SG, Christopher EJ, Edwards PB, Wahl LE. Stepping to stability and fall prevention in adult psychiatric patients. J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv. 2011;49(12):30-6.

Enayati M, Banerjee T, Popescu M, Skubic M, Rantz M. A novel web-based depth video rewind approach toward fall preventive interventions in hospitals. Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2014;2014:4511-14.

Evans D, Hodgkinson B, Lambert L, Wood J, Kowanka I. Falls in acute hospitals; a systematic review. JBI Libr Syst Rev. 1998;1(1):1-56.

Evans D, Hodgkinson B, Lambert L, Wood J. Fall prevention: a systematic review. Clin Eff Nurs. 1999;3(3):106-11.

Ferguson A, Uldall K, Dunn J, Blackmore CC, Williams B. Effectiveness of a multifaceted delirium screening, prevention, and treatment initiative on the rate of delirium falls in the acute care setting. J Nurs Care Qual. 2018;33(3): 213-20.

Ferrari M, Harrison B, Rawashdeh O, Hammond R, Avery Y, Rawashdeh M, *et al.* Clinical feasibility trial of a motion detection system for fall prevention in hospitalized older adult patients. Geriatr Nurs. 2012;33(3):177-83.

Flanders SA, Harrington L, Fowler RJ. Falls and patient mobility in critical care: keeping patients and staff safe. AACN Adv Crit Care. 2009;20(3):267-76.

Foley C. Geriatric interdisciplinary approach to fall prevention in the hospital. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2014;62:S212.

Fonda D, Cook J, Sandler V, Bailey M. Sustained reduction in serious fall-related injuries in older people in hospital. Med J Aust. 2006;184(8):379-82.

France D, Slayton J, Moore S, Domenico H, Matthews J, Steaban RL, *et al.* A multicomponent fall prevention strategy reduces falls at an academic medical center. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2017;43(9):460-70.

Galbraith JG, Butler JS, Memon AR, Dolan MA, Harty JA. Cost analysis of a falls-prevention program in an orthopaedic setting. Clin Orthop. 2011;469(12):3462-8.

Gallinagh R, Nevin R, Campbell L, Mitchell F, Ludwick R. Relatives' perceptions of side rail use on the older person in hospital. Br J Nurs. 2001;10(6):391.

Garman DA, Brennan JS, Dufault M, Ehmann JM, Hehl RM, Towers NH. Translating fall prevention best practices in the hospital setting: reflecting on McInnes and Askie's (2004) evidence review. Worldview Evid Based Nurs. 2005;2(2):94-7.

Gibbons V, Esselink T, McHugh S. Assessing practice relating to fall risk management among nurses in an acute ward setting: a best practice implementation report. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2013;11(6):275-85.

Gibson RM, Amira A, Ramzan N, Casaseca-de-la-Higuera P, Pervez Z. Multiple comparator classifier framework for accelerometer-based fall detection and diagnostic. Appl Soft Comput. 2016;39:94-103.

Giles K, Stephenson M, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Prevention of in-hospital falls: development of criteria for the conduct of a multi-site audit. Int J Evid Based Healthc. 2015;13(2):104-11.

Giles LC, Bolch D, Rouvray R, McErlean B, Whitehead CH, Phillips PA, *et al.* Can volunteer companions prevent falls among inpatients? A feasibility study using a pre-post comparative design. BMC Geriatr. 2006;6:11.

Godlock G, Christiansen M, Feider L. Implementation of an evidence-based patient safety team to prevent falls in inpatient medical units. Medsurg Nurs. 2016;25(1):17-23.

Goldsmith D, Zuyev L, Benoit A, Chang FY, Horsky J, Dykes P. Usability testing of a Falls Prevention Tool Kit for an inpatient acute care setting. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2009;146:801-2.

Goljar N, Globokar D, Puzić N, Kopitar N, Vrabič M, Ivanovski M, *et al.* Effectiveness of a fall-risk reduction programme for inpatient rehabilitation after stroke. Disabil Rehabil. 2016;38(18):1811-19.

Gooday HMK, Hunter J. Preventing falls and stump injuries in lower limb amputees during inpatient rehabilitation: completion of the audit cycle. Clin Rehabil 2004;18(4):379-90.

Gould M, Mann M, Martin H, Erwin R, Swanson K. Caring cards: preventing patient harm through the heart of nursing. Nurs Adm Q. 2018;42(3):254-60.

Gowdy M, Godfrey S. Using tools to assess and prevent inpatient falls. Jt Comm J Qual Saf. 2003;29(7):363-8.

Graham BC. Examining evidence-based interventions to prevent inpatient falls. Medsurg Nurs. 2012;21(5):267-70.

Gravina R, Ma C, Pace P, Aloi G, Russo W, Li W, *et al.* Cloud-based Activity-aaService cyberphysical framework for human activity monitoring in mobility. Future Generation Comput Syst 2017;75:158-71.

Gray K. Hospitalized stroke patient falls rates reduced by 50% after stroke survivor focus group. Stroke. 2013;44(2):AWP354.

Gray-Miceli D, Mazzia L, Crane G. Advanced practice nurse-led statewide collaborative to reduce falls in hospitals. J Nurs Care Qual. 2017;32(2):120-5.

Grenier-Sennelier C, Lombard I, Jeny-Loeper C, Maillet-Gouret M, Minvielle E. Designing adverse event prevention programs using quality management methods: the case of falls in hospital. Int J Qual Health Care 2002;14(5):419-26.

Gustafson SE. Patient safety. Assess for fall risk, intervene - and bump up patient safety. Nursing. 2007;37(12):24-5.

Gutierrez F, Smith K. Reducing falls in a definitive observation unit: an evidence-based practice institute consortium project. Crit Care Nurs Q. 2008;31(2):127-39.

Guzzo AS, Meggiolaro A, Mannocci A, Tecca M, Salomone I, La TG. Conley scale: Assessment of a fall risk prevention tool in a General Hospital. J Prevent Med Hygiene. 2015;56(2):E77-E87.

Haggqvist B, Stenvall M, Fjellman-Wiklund A, Westerberg K, Lundin-Olsson L. "The balancing act"-licensed practical nurse experiences of falls and fall prevention: a qualitative study. BMC Geriatr. 2012;12:62.

Haider F, Shaker G. Wearable-free wireless fall detection system. 2017 IEEE International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation & USNC/URSI National Radio Science Meeting, 2017, p. 2457-8.

Hain D. Fall Prevention in adults undergoing incenter hemodialysis. Nephrol Nurs J. 2012;39(3):251-5.

Haines TP, Bennell KL, Osborne RH, Hill KD. Effectiveness of targeted falls prevention programme in subacute hospital setting: randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2004;328(7441):676.

Haines TP, Bennell KL, Osborne RH, Hill KD. A new instrument for targeting falls prevention interventions was accurate and clinically applicable in a hospital setting. J Clin Epidemiol. 2006;59(2):168-75.

Haines TP, Hill KD, Bennell KL, Osborne RH. Patient education to prevent falls in subacute care. Clin Rehabil. 2006;20(11):970-9.

Haines TP, Hill KD, Bennell KL, Osborne RH. Additional exercise for older subacute hospital inpatients to prevent falls: benefits and barriers to implementation and evaluation. Clin Rehabil. 2007;21(8):742-53.

Haines T, Kuys SS, Morrison G, Clarke J, Bew P. Cost-effectiveness analysis of screening for risk of inhospital falls using physiotherapist clinical judgement. Med Care. 2009;47(4):448-56.

Haines TP, Bell RAR, Varghese PN. Pragmatic, cluster randomized trial of a policy to introduce low-low beds to hospital wards for the prevention of falls and fall injuries. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2010;58(3):435-41.

Haines TP, Hill A, Hill KD, Brauer SG, Hoffmann T, Etherton-Beer C, *et al.* Cost effectiveness of patient education for the prevention of falls in hospital: economic evaluation from a randomized controlled trial. BMC Med. 2013;11:135.

Hakenson D, Kidd A, Plemmons J. Preventing falls among psychiatric patients. Va Nurse 2014;22(3):12-13.

Hamm J, Money AG, Atwal A, Paraskevopoulos I. Fall prevention intervention technologies: a conceptual framework and survey of the state of the art. J Biomed Inform. 2016;59:319-45.

Han H, Ma H, Oyama K. Towards detecting and predicting fall events in elderly care using bidirectional electromyographic sensor network. 2016 IEEE/ACIS 15th International Conference on Computer and Information Science (ICIS), 2016, p.1-6.

Hanada E, Miyamoto M, Seo T, Hata H. A trial of an improved sleep activity monitoring system with a biosensor for urine. 2014 IEEE Fourth International Conference on Consumer Electronics Berlin (ICCE-Berlin), 2014, p.26-8.

Hardin SR, Dienemann J, Rudisill P, Mills KK. Inpatient fall prevention: use of in-room Webcams. J Patient Saf. 2013;9(1):29-35.

Hartung B, Lalonde M. The use of non-slip socks to prevent falls among hospitalized older adults: a literature review. Geriatr Nurs. 2017;38(5):412-16.

Hathaway J, Walsh J, Lacey C, Saenger H. Insights obtained from an evaluation of a falls prevention program set in a rural hospital. Aust J Rural Health. 2001;9(4):172-7.

Haumschild MJ, Karfonta TL, Haumschild MS, Phillips SE. Clinical and economic outcomes of a fall-focused pharmaceutical intervention program. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2003;60(10):1029-32.

Hayes N. Prevention of falls among older patients in the hospital environment. Br J Nurs. 2004;13(15):896-901.

Healey F, Monro A, Cockram A, Adams V, Heseltine D. Using targeted risk factor reduction to prevent falls in older in-patients: a randomised controlled trial. Age Ageing. 2004;33(4):390-5.

Healey F, Oliver D, Milne A, Connelly JB. The effect of bedrails on falls and injury: a systematic review of clinical studies. Age Ageing. 2008;37(4):368-78.

Healey F. A guide on how to prevent falls and injury in hospitals. Nurs Older People. 2010;22(9):16-22.

Healey F, Darowski A. Older patients and falls in hospital. Clin Risk. 2012;18(5):170-6.

Healey F, Lowe D, Darowski A, Windsor J, Treml J, Byrne L, *et al.* Falls prevention in hospitals and mental health units: an extended evaluation of the FallSafe quality improvement project. Age Ageing. 2014;43(4):484-91.

Hefner JL, McAlearney AS, Mansfield J, Knupp AM, Moffatt-Bruce SD. A Falls Wheel in a large academic medical center: an intervention to reduce patient falls with harm. J Healthc Qual. 2015;37(6):374-80.

Hempel S, Newberry S, Wang Z, Booth M, Shanman R, Johnsen B, *et al.* Hospital fall prevention: a systematic review of implementation, components, adherence, and effectiveness. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2013;61(4):483-94.

Hendrich AL. An effective unit-based fall prevention plan. J Nurs Qual Assur 1988;3(1):28-36.

Hidaya SN, Jenopaul P. Wireless embedded device (PIC) based on MiWi technology for monitoring physiological parameters. 2013 Fourth International Conference on Computing, Communications and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT), 2013, p.1-6.

Hignett SM, Masud T. A review of environmental hazards associated with in-patient falls. Ergonomics 2006;49(5-6):605-16.

Hignett S. Technology and building design: initiatives to reduce inpatient falls among the elderly. HERD 2010;3(4):93-105.

Hilbe J, Schulc E, Linder B, Them C. Development and alarm threshold evaluation of a side rail integrated sensor technology for the prevention of falls. Int J Med Inf 2010;79(3):173-80.

Hill E, Fauerbach LA. Falls and fall prevention in older adults. J Legal Nurs Consult. 2014;25(2):24-9.

Hill A, McPhail SM, Waldron N, Etherton-Beer C, Ingram K, Flicker L, *et al.* Fall rates in hospital rehabilitation units after individualised patient and staff education programmes: a pragmatic, stepped-wedge, cluster-randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2015;385(9987):2592-9.

Hill A, McPhail SM, Francis-Coad J, Waldron N, Etherton-Beer C, Flicker L, *et al.* Educators' perspectives about how older hospital patients can engage in a falls prevention education programme: a qualitative process evaluation. BMJ Open. 2015;5(12):e009780.

Hill A, Waldron N, Francis-Coad J, Haines T, Etherton-Beer C, Flicker L, *et al.* 'It promoted a positive culture around falls prevention': Staff response to a patient education programme-a qualitative evaluation. BMJ Open. 2016;6(12):e013414.

Hoke LM, Guarracino D. Beyond socks, signs, and alarms: a reflective accountability model for fall prevention. Am J Nurs. 2016;116(1):42-7.

Horan E, O'Shea D, McLoughlin C, Kinahan A. Alert chart-improving communication within the MDT & reducing risk of falls. Ir J Med Sci. 2014;183(7):S308.

Horov J, Brabcov I, Krocov J. The effectiveness of intervention programs for preventing patients from falls. Kontakt. 2017;19(2):e105-e115.

Hubscher M, Thiel C, Schmidt J, Bach M, Banzer W, Vogt L. Slip resistance of non-slip socks - an accelerometer-based approach. Gait Posture. 2011;33(4):740-2.

Huda A, Wise LC. Evolution of compliance within a fall prevention program. J Nurs Care Qual 1998;12(3):55-63.

Tzeng HM. A multihospital survey on effective interventions to prevent hospital falls in adults. Nurs Econ 2017;35(6):304-13.

Hurley AC, Dykes PC, Carroll DL, Dykes JS, Middleton B. Fall TIP: validation of icons to communicate fall risk status and tailored interventions to prevent patient falls. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2009;146:455-9.

Iijima S, Toyokawa S, Morita E, Quigley PA. Estimating the effects of fall prevention interventions by adjusting for the risk of falling with a propensity score. Int J Qual Health Care. 2016;28:11-12.

Incalcaterra E. Fall Safety Agreement: a new twist on education in the hospitalized older adult. N J Nurse 2015;45(1):11.

Ireland S, Lazar T, Mavrak C, Morgan B, Pizzacalla A, Reis C, *et al.* Designing a falls prevention strategy that works. J Nurs Care Qual. 2010;25(3):198-207.

Ireland S, Kirkpatrick H, Boblin S, Robertson K. The real world journey of implementing fall prevention best practices in three acute care hospitals: a case study. Worldviews Evid Based Nurs. 2013;10(2):95-103.

Isaac LM, Buggy E, Sharma A, Karberis A, Maddock KM, Weston KM. Enhancing hospital care of patients with cognitive impairment. Int J Health Care Qual Assur. 2018;31(2):173-86.

Jähne-Raden N, Kulau U, Marschollek M, Wolf K-H. INBED: a highly specialized system for bed-exitdetection and fall prevention on a geriatric ward. Sensors. 2019;19:1017

Jeffers S, Searcey P, Boyle K, Herring C, Lester K, Goetz-Smith H, et al. Centralized video monitoring for patient safety: a Denver Health Lean journey. Nurs Econ. 2013;31(6):298-306.

Jenkins TL. Successful reduction in Bmt patient falls. Transplant Cell Ther. 2012;18(2):S245.

Jeon S, Nho Y, Park S, Kim W, Tcho I, Kim D, *et al.* Self-powered fall detection system using pressure sensing triboelectric nanogenerators. Nano Energy. 2017;41:139-47.

Jeske L, Kolmer V, Muth M, Cerns S, Moldenhaur S, Hook ML. Partnering with patients and families in designing visual cues to prevent falls in hospitalized elders. J Nurs Care Qual. 2006;21(3):236-41.

Johnson JE, Veneziano T, Green J, Howarth E, Malast T, Mastro K, *et al.* Breaking the fall. J Nurs Adm. 2011;41(12):538-45.

Johnson M, Kelly L, Siric K, Tran DT, Overs B. Improving falls risk screening and prevention using an elearning approach. J Nurs Manag. 2015;23(7):910-19.

Johnston M, Magnan MA. Using a fall prevention checklist to reduce hospital falls: results of a quality improvement project. Am J Nurs. 2019;119(3):43-9.

Jones KJ, Venema DM, Nailon R, Skinner AM, High R, Kennel V. Shifting the paradigm: an assessment of the quality of fall risk reduction in Nebraska hospitals. J Rural Health. 2015;31(2):135-45.

Kangas M, Korpelainen R, Vikman I, Nyberg L, Jamsa T. Sensitivity and false alarm rate of a fall sensor in long-term fall detection in the elderly. Gerontol. 2015;61(1):61-8.

Kato S, Tsuru S, Iizuka Y. Framework for preventing accidental falls in hospitals - management plan for ADL, medication and medical conditions. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2009;146:450-4.

Katsulis Z, Ergai A, Leung WY, Schenkel L, Rai A, Adelman J, *et al.* Iterative user centered design for development of a patient-centered fall prevention toolkit. Appl Ergon. 2016;56:117-26.

Khosravi P, Ghapanchi AH. Investigating the effectiveness of technologies applied to assist seniors: a systematic literature review. Int J Med Inf. 2016;85(1):17-26.

Kido S, Miyasaka T, Tanaka T, Shimizu T, Saga T. Fall detection in toilet rooms using thermal imaging sensors. 2009 IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System Integration (SII), 2009, p.83-8.

Kilpack V, Boehm J, Smith N, Mudge B. Using research-based interventions to decrease patient falls. Appl Nurs Res. 1991;4(2):50-5.

Kim YL, Jeong SH. Effects of nursing interventions for fall prevention in hospitalized patients: a metaanalysis. J Korean Acad Nurs. 2015;45(4):469-82.

Kinn S, Hood K. A falls risk-assessment tool in an elderly care environment. Br J Nurs. 2001;10(7):440.

Kitson A. The identification and management of patients at high risk of falls in the acute care setting: a best practice implementation project. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2014;12(10):282-95.

Kittipanya-Ngam P, Guat OS, Lung EH, Computer vision applications for patients monitoring system. 2012 15th International Conference on Information Fusion, 2012, p.2201-8.

Kiyoshi-Teo H, Carter N, Rose A. Fall prevention practice gap analysis: aiming for targeted improvements. Medsurg Nurs 2017;26(5):332-5.

Kiyoshi-Teo H, Northup-Snyder K, Cohen DJ, Dieckmann N, Stoyles S, Eckstrom E, Winters-Stone K. Feasibility of motivational interviewing to engage older inpatients in fall prevention: a pilot randomized controlled trial. J Gerontol Nurs. 2019;45(9):19-29.

Knight H, Lee JK, Ma H. Chair alarm for patient fall prevention based on gesture recognition and interactivity. Ann Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2008;2008:3698-701.

Knight M, Coakley C. Fall risk in patients with acute psychosis. J Nurs Care Qual. 2010;25(3):208-15.

Koh C. A systematic literature review to ascertain the effectiveness of using bed exit alarm in the prevention of bed falls in a psychogeriatric ward. Ann Acad Med Singap. 2015;44(10):S498.

Koh SL, Hafizah N, Lee JY, Loo YL, Muthu R. Impact of a fall prevention programme in acute hospital settings in Singapore. Singapore Med J. 2009;50(4):425-32.

Kosse NM, Brands K, Bauer JM, Hortobagyi T, Lamoth CJC. Sensor technologies aiming at fall prevention in institutionalized old adults: a synthesis of current knowledge. Int J Med Inf. 2013;82(9):743-52.

Krauss MJ, Tutlam N, Costantinou E, Johnson S, Jackson D, Fraser VJ. Intervention to prevent falls on the medical service in a teaching hospital. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2008;29(6):539-45.

Kruger N, Hurley AC, Gustafson M. Framing patient safety initiatives: working model and case example. J Nurs Adm. 2006;36(4):200-4.

Kwolek B, Kepski M. Human fall detection on embedded platform using depth maps and wireless accelerometer. Comput Methods Programs Biomed. 2014;117(3):489-501.

Kwolek B, Kepski M. Improving fall detection by the use of depth sensor and accelerometer. Neuro-computing. 2015;168:637-45.

Lancaster AD, Ayers A, Belbot B, Goldner V, Kress L, Stanton D, *et al.* Preventing falls and eliminating injury at Ascension Health. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2007;33(7):367-75.

Lange J, Wallace M, Gerard S, Lovanio K, Fausty N, Rychlewicz S. Effect of an acute care geriatric educational program on fall rates and nurse work satisfaction. J Contin Educ Nurs. 2009;40(8):371-9.

Lapierre N, Neubauer N, Miguel-Cruz A, Rios Rincon A, Liu L, Rousseau J. The state of knowledge on technologies and their use for fall detection: a scoping review. Int J Med Inf. 2018;111:58-71.

Leake J, Harris N, Keogh E, Eccleston C. Is photoplethysmography-derived pulse shape useful for fall detection? ACM. 2014;6:1-8.

LeCuyer M, Lockwood B, Locklin M. Development of a fall prevention program in the ambulatory surgery setting. J POST Anesth Nurs. 2017;32(5):472-9.

Lee DA, McDermott F, Hoffmann T, Haines TP. 'They will tell me if there is a problem': limited discussion between health professionals, older adults and their caregivers on falls prevention during and after hospitalization. Health Educ Res. 2013;28(6):1051-66.

Lee D-A, Pritchard E, McDermott F, Haines TP. Falls prevention education for older adults during and after hospitalization: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Health Educ J. 2014;73(5):530-44.

Lee FK, Chang AM, Mackenzie AE. A pilot project to evaluate implementation of clinical guidelines. J Nurs Care Qual. 2002;16(2):50-9.

Lee JY, Jin Y, Piao J, Lee S. Development and evaluation of an automated fall risk assessment system. Qual Assur Health Care. 2016;28(2):175-82.

Lee S, Staffileno BA, Fogg L. Influence of staff education on the function of hospitalized elders. Nurs Outlook. 2013;61(1):e2-e8.

Lee T, Mihailidis A. An intelligent emergency response system: preliminary development and testing of automated fall detection. J Telemed Telecare. 2005;11(4):194-8.

Leone RM, Adams RJ. Safety standards: implementing fall prevention interventions and sustaining lower fall rates by promoting the culture of safety on an inpatient rehabilitation unit. Rehabil Nurs. 2016;41(1):26-32.

Lim ML, Ang SGM, Teo KY, Wee YHC, Yee SP, Lim SH, *et al.* Patients' experience after a fall and their perceptions of fall prevention: a qualitative study. J Nurs Care Qual. 2018;33(1):46-52.

Lindsay R, James EL, Kippen S. The timed up and go test: unable to predict falls on the acute medical ward. Aust J Physiother. 2004;50(4):249-51.

Lloyd T. Creation of a multi-interventional fall-prevention program: using evidence-based practice to identify high-risk units and tailor interventions. Orthop Nurs. 2011;30(4).

Lockwood S, Anderson K. Postpartum safety: a patient-centered approach to fall prevention. MCN Am J Matern Child Nurs. 2013;38(1):15-18.

Lohse GR, Leopold SS, Theiler S, Sayre C, Cizik A, Lee MJ. Systems-based safety intervention: reducing falls with injury and total falls on an orthopaedic ward. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2012;94(13):1217-22.

Loria G, Bhargava A. Prevention of patient falls: a case study. Apollo Med. 2013;10(2):175-80.

Lovarini M, Bawden J. Falls prevention education delivered via digital video disc results in greater confidence and motivation to engage in falls prevention strategies by hospitalised older people when compared with education delivered in written format. Aust Occup Ther J. 2010;57(5):351.

MacCulloch PA, Gardner T, Bonner A. Comprehensive fall prevention programs across settings: a review of the literature. Geriatr Nurs. 2007;28(5):306-11.

MacIntosh G, Joy J. Assessing falls in older people. Nurs Older People. 2007;19(7):33-6.

Majumder AKMJA, Rahman F, Zerin I, Ebel W, Ahamed, SI. iPrevention: towards a novel real-time smartphone-based fall prevention system. 28th Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing, New York, NY, USA: ACM; 2013:513-518.

Malik A, Patterson N. Patient safety: step up to prevent falls in acute mental health settings. Nursing 2012;42(7):65-6.

Marques P, Queiros C, Apostolo J, Cardoso D. Effectiveness of bedrails in preventing falls among hospitalized older adults: 6 systematic review. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2017;15(10):2527-54.

Martinez-Velilla N, Casa-Herrero A, Zambom-Ferraresi F, Saez de Asteasu ML, Lucia A, Galbete A *et al.* Effect of exercise intervention on functional decline in very elderly patients during acute hospitalization: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Intern Med. 2019,179(1): 28-36.

Mashta O. Slow and steady wins. Nurs Stand. 2010;25(12):18-19.

Masuda Y, Yoshimura T, Nakajima K, Nambu M, Hayakawa T, Tamura T. Unconstrained monitoring of prevention of wandering the elderly. Houston, TX, United states: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc; 2002.

Matarese M, Ivziku D, Bartolozzi F, Piredda M, De Marinis MG. Systematic review of fall risk screening tools for older patients in acute hospitals. J Adv Nurs. 2014;71(6):1198-209.

Mayo NE, Gloutney L, Levy AR. A randomized trial of identification bracelets to prevent falls among patients in a rehabilitation hospital. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 1994;75(12):1302-8.

Mazurek P, Wagner J, Morawski RZ. Use of kinematic and mel-cepstrum-related features for fall detection based on data from infrared depth sensors. Biomed Signal Process Control. 2018;40:102-10.

McCabe DE, Alvarez CD, McNulty SR, Fitzpatrick JJ. Perceptions of physical restraints use in the elderly among registered nurses and nurse assistants in a single acute care hospital. Geriatr Nurs. 2011;32(1):39-45.

McCarter-Bayer A, Bayer F, Hall K. Preventing falls in acute care: an innovative approach. J Gerontol Nurs. 2005;31(3):25-33.

McCarty CA, Woehrle TA, Waring SC, Taran AM, Kitch LA. Implementation of the MEDFRAT to promote quality care and decrease falls in community hospital emergency rooms. J Emerg Nurs. 2018;44(3):280-4.

McFarlane-Kolb H. Falls risk assessment, multitargeted interventions and the impact on hospital falls. Int J Nurs Pract. 2004;10(5):199-206.

McKinley C, Fletcher A, Biggins A, McMurray A, Birtwhistle S, Gardiner L, et al. Evidence-based management practice: reducing falls in hospital. Collegian. 2007;14(2):20-5.

McNamara SA. Reducing fall risk for surgical patients. AORN J. 2011;93(3):390-4.

McQuaid-Bascon K, Royal M, Sinno M, Ramsden R, Baxter K, Peladeau N, *et al.* Evolving a multi-factorial, data driven, interprofessional approach to prevent falls and associated injuries during a system-level integration. J Interprof Educ Pract. 2018;12:8-12.

Mecocci A, Michel, F, Zoppett, C, Baghini A. Automatic falls detection in hospital-room context. Proceedings of the 7th IEEE International Conference on Cognitive Infocommunications (CogInfoCom), 2016 Oct 16-18; Wroclaw, Poland: p.127-32

Meissner BA. Patient fall prevention. Nurs Manage. 1988;19(6):78.

Melin CM. Reducing falls in the inpatient hospital setting. Int J Evid Based Healthc. 2018;16(1):25-31.

Miake-Lye IM, Hempel S, Ganz DA, Shekelle PG. Inpatient fall prevention programs as a patient safety strategy: a systematic review. Ann Intern Med. 2013;158(5):390-6.

Milisen K, Coussement J, Arnout H, Vanlerberghe V, De PL, Schoevaerdts D, *et al.* Feasibility of implementing a practice guideline for fall prevention on geriatric wards: a multicentre study. Int J Nurs Stud. 2013;50(4):495-507.

Miller L, Limbaugh CM. Applying evidence to develop a medical oncology fall-prevention program. Clin J Oncol Nurs. 2008;12(1):158-60.

Mitchell A, Jones N. Striving to prevent falls in an acute care setting–action to enhance quality. J Clin Nurs. 1996;5(4):213-20.

Mitchell D, Raymond M, Jellett J, Mart MW, Boyd L, Botti M, *et al.* Where are falls prevention resources allocated by hospitals and what do they cost? A cross sectional survey using semi-structured interviews of key informants at six Australian health services. Int J Nurs Stud. 2018; 86:52-9

Moore A. 'Throne project' could be a life-changer. Nurs Stan. 2015;29(27):25.

Morello RT, Barker AL, Ayton DR, Landgren F, Kamar J, Hill KD, *et al.* Implementation fidelity of a nurseled falls prevention program in acute hospitals during the 6-PACK trial. BMC Health Serv Res. 2017;17(1):383.

Morgan L, Flynn L, Robertson E, New S, Forde-Johnston C, McCulloch P. Intentional rounding: a staff-led quality improvement intervention in the prevention of patient falls. J Clin Nurs. 2017;26(1):115-24.

Morse JM. Enhancing the safety of hospitalization by reducing patient falls. Am J Infect Control. 2002;30(6):376-80.

Mosley A, Galindo-Ciocon D, Peak N, West MJ. Initiation and evaluation of a research-based fall prevention program. J Nurs Care Qual. 1998;13(2):38-44.

Mullin SG, Chrostowski W, Waszynski C. Promoting safety in the cardiac intensive care unit: the role of the geriatric resource nurse in early identification of patient risk for falls and delirium. Dimens Crit Care Nurs. 2011;30(3):150-9.

Murphy LM, Murphy SO, Hastings MA, Olberding A. Are interprofessional roundtable debriefings useful in decreasing ed fall rates? Findings From a quality-improvement project. J Emerg Nurs. 2015;41(5):375-80.

Murphy TH, Labonte P, Klock M, Houser L. Falls prevention for elders in acute care: an evidence-based nursing practice initiative. Crit Care Nurs Q. 2008;31(1):33-9.

Nawaz A, Helbostad JL, Chiari L, Chesani F, Cattelani L. User experience (UX) of the Fall Risk Assessment Tool (FRAT-up). In the 28th IEEE International Symposium on Computer-Based Medical Systems (CBMS) Sao Carlo; 2015 June 22-25. p.19-22

Nelson A, Powell-Cope G, Gavin-Dreschnack D, Quigley P, Bulat T, Baptiste AS, *et al.* Technology to promote safe mobility in the elderly. Nurs Clin North Am. 2004;39(3):649-71.

Ng KP, McMaster FR, Heng BH. The effectiveness of bedrails in preventing falls. Sing Nurs J. 2008;35(4):10-17.

Nho Y, Lim JG, Kim D, Kwon D. User-adaptive fall detection for patients using wristband. 2016 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, IROS; Daejeon, Korea; 2016. p.480–6.

Nicolas MA, Gayanilo C, Bellas K, Boivin J. Fall prevention: a contract with patients and families. Am Nurse Today. 2016;11(9):23-4.

Nnodim JO, Alexander NB. Assessing falls in older adults: a comprehensive fall evaluation to reduce fall risk in older adults. Geriatrics. 2005;60(10):24-8.

Noel S, Coleman R, VandenBurgh N, Lamb C, Jones J, Rice A, *et al.* Results of the implementation of a falls prevention strategy in St. Columcille's Hospital (SCH). Ir J Med Sci. 2013;182:S268.

Noury N, Fleury A, Rumeau P, Bourke AK, Laighin GO, Rialle V et al. Fall detection - principles and methods. Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc, 2007; 2007:1663-6.

Nuckols TK, Needleman J, Grogan TR, Liang L, Worobel-Luk P, Anderson L, *et al.* Clinical effectiveness and cost of a hospital-based fall prevention intervention: the importance of time nurses spend on the front line of implementation. J Nurs Adm. 2017;47(11):571-80.

Nyan MN, Tay FEH, Murugasu E. A wearable system for pre-impact fall detection. J Biomech. 2008;41(16):3475-81.

O'Connor P, Creager J, Mooney S, Laizner AM, Ritchie JA. Taking aim at fall injury adverse events: best practices and organizational change. Healthc Q. 2006;9:43-9.

Ohde S, Terai M, Oizumi A, Takahashi O, Deshpande GA, Takekata M, *et al.* The effectiveness of a multidisciplinary QI activity for accidental fall prevention: staff compliance is critical. BMC Health Serv Res. 2012;12:197.

Olivares A, Olivares G, Mula F, Grriz JM, Ramrez J. Wagyromag: wireless sensor network for monitoring and processing human body movement in healthcare applications. J Syst Archit. 2011;57(10):905-15.

Oliver D, Hopper A, Seed P. Do hospital fall prevention programs work? A systematic review. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2000;48(12):1679-89.

Oliver D, Connelly JB, Victor CR, Shaw FE, Whitehead A, Genc Y, *et al.* Strategies to prevent falls and fractures in hospitals and care homes and effect of cognitive impairment: systematic review and meta-analyses. BMJ. 2007;334(7584):82.

Oliver D, Healey F, Haines TP. Preventing falls and fall-related injuries in hospitals. Clin Geriatr Med. 2010;26(4):645-92.

Opsahl AG, Ebright P, Cangany M, Lowder M, Scott D, Shaner T. Outcomes of adding patient and family engagement education to fall prevention bundled interventions. J Nurs Care Qual. 2017;32(3):252-8.

Padula CA, Disano C, Ruggiero C, Carpentier M, Reppucci M, Forloney B, *et al.* Impact of lower extremity strengthening exercises and mobility on fall rates in hospitalized adults. J Nurs Care Qual. 2011;26(3):279-85.

Palmerini L, Bagala F, Zanetti A, Klenk J, Becker C, Cappello A. A wavelet-based approach to fall detection. Sensors (Basel). 2015;15(5):11575-86.

Pappas S, Davidson N, Woodard J, Davis J, Welton JM. Risk-adjusted staffing to improve patient value. Nurs Econ. 2015;33(2):73-8.

Parsons LC, Revell MA. Orthopedic injuries: protocols to prevent and manage patient falls. Nurs Clin North Am. 2015;50(4):645-61.

Patrick L, Leber M, Scrim C, Gendron I, Eisener-Parsche P. Interdisciplinary care. A standardized assessment and intervention protocol for managing risk for falls on a geriatric rehabilitation unit. J Gerontol Nurs. 1999;25(4):40-7.

Petrucci P. Falls prevention in the acute care setting with a focus on the accurate completion of a Falls Risk assessment tool: A best practice implementation project. JBI Database of System Rev Implement Rep. 2014;12(10):234-45.

Pinto K, Buchheit J. Call, don't fall! decreasing falls in an inpatient hematology unit. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2017;23(3):S383-S384.

Poe SS, Cvach MM, Gartrelu DG, Radzik BR, Joy TL. An evidence-based approach to fall risk assessment, prevention, and management: lessons learned. J Nurs Care Qual. 2005;20(2):107-16.

Pond M. Fall prevention safety bundle: collaboration leads to fewer falls. Am Nurs Today. 2017;12(7):25-6.

Potter P, Allen K, Costantinou E, Klinkenberg WD, Malen J, Norris T, *et al.* Evaluation of sensor technology to detect fall risk and prevent falls in acute care. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2017;43(8):414-21.

Potter P, Allen K, Costantinou E, Klinkenberg D, Malen J, Norris T, *et al.* Anatomy of inpatient falls: examining fall events captured by depth-sensor technology. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2016;42(5):225-31.

Primmer P, Borenstein KK, Downing MT, Fochesto D, Reilly L, Santos R, *et al.* Reducing falls with a safety spotter program. Nursing. 2015;45(8):16-19.

Putnam K. Preventing patient falls. AORN J. 2015;102(6):P7-P9.

Quigley PA, Barnett SD, Bulat T, Friedman Y. Reducing falls and fall-related injuries in mental health: a 1-year multihospital falls collaborative. J Nurs Care Qual. 2014;29(1):51-9.

Quigley PA, White SV. Hospital-based fall program measurement and improvement in high reliability organizations. Online J Issues Nurs. 2013;18(2):5.

Quigley P, Neily J, Watson M, Wright M, Strobe K. Measuring fall program outcomes. Online J Issues Nurs. 2007;12(2):8.

Quigley PA. Evidence levels: applied to select fall and fall injury prevention practices. Rehabil Nurs. 2016;41(1):5-15.

Quigley PA, Hahm B, Collazo S, Gibson W, Janzen S, Powell-Cope G, *et al.* Reducing serious injury from falls in two veterans' hospital medical-surgical units. J Nurs Care Qual. 2009;24(1):33-41.

Rabadi MH, Rabadi FM, Peterson M. An analysis of falls occurring in patients with stroke on an acute rehabilitation unit. Rehabil Nurs. 2008;33(3):104-9.

Rakhecha, S, Hsu K. Reliable and secure body fall detection algorithm in a wireless mesh network. Conference proceedings: Annual International Conference on Body Area Networks. 2013;420-26.

Ranasinghe DC, Shinmoto Torres RL, Hill K, Visvanathan R. Low cost and batteryless sensor-enabled radio frequency identification tag based approaches to identify patient bed entry and exit posture transitions. Gait Posture. 2014;39(1):118-23.

Ranasinghe DC, Shinmoto TR, Sample AP, Smith JR, Hill K, Visvanathan R. Towards falls prevention: a wearable wireless and battery-less sensing and automatic identification tag for real time monitoring of human movements. Conference proceedings: Ann Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2012;2012:6402-5.

Rantz MJ, Banerjee TS, Cattoor E, Scott SD, Skubic M, Popescu M. Automated fall detection with quality improvement "rewind" to reduce falls in hospital rooms. J Gerontol Nurs. 2014;40(1):13-17.

Rauch K, Balascio J, Gilbert P. Excellence in action: developing and implementing a fall prevention program. J Healthc Qual. 2009;31(1):36-42.

Rawashdeh O, Sa'Deh W, Rawashdeh M, Qu G, Ferrari M, Harrison B, *et al.* Development of a low-cost fall intervention system for hospitalized dementia patients. Conference proceedings: Annual International Conference of the IEEE Electro/Information Technology. 2012:6402-5.

Razjouyan J, Grewal GS, Rishel C, Parthasarathy S, Mohler J, Najafi B. Activity monitoring and heart rate variability as indicators of fall risk: proof-of-concept for application of wearable sensors in the acute care setting. J Gerontol Nurs. 2017;43(7):53-62.

Reich J, Farrell K, Maloney C, Drayton D, Johnson T. Decreasing falls with injury rates: development of a support staff falls advocate program. J Nurs Care Qual. 2017;32(1):40-6.

Rescio G, Leone A, Siciliano P. Supervised machine learning scheme for electromyography-based pre-fall detection system. Expert Syst Appl. 2018;100(1):95-105.

Rialle V, Lauvernay N, Franco A, Piquard JF, Couturier P. A smart room for hospitalised elderly people: essay of modelling and first steps of an experiment. Technol Health Care. 1999;7(5):343-57.

Rimland JM, Abraha I, DellAquila G, Cruz-Jentoft A, Soiza RL, Gudmundsson A, *et al.* Non-pharmacological interventions to prevent falls in older patients: clinical practice recommendations the SENATOR ONTOP Series. Eur Geriatr Med. 2017;8(5):413-18.

Rimland JM, Abraha I, Dell'Aquila G, Cruz-Jentoft A, Soiza R, Gudmusson A, *et al.* Effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions to prevent falls in older people: a systematic overview. The SENATOR Project ONTOP Series. PLoS One. 2016;11(8):e0161579.

Ringquist K. A fall prevention program's key to success: multidisciplinary teamwork. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2015;96(10):e40.

Robinson C, Gautreaux J, Bordelon S, Smith F, Brennan D, Martin L, *et al.* Early outcomes following implementation of a multimodal intervention program to reduce falls in medical/surgical patients. Ochsner J. 2016;16(3):402.

Rosete J, Neil W, Button J, Buccigrossi D, Forde K, Mallett K. A successful fall prevention program in a mixed nursing unit does not translate to decreased fall rates for stroke patients. Stroke. 2015;46.

Ross MK, Egan E, Zaman M, Aziz B, Dewald T, Mohammed S. Falls in the inpatient rehabilitation facility; Falls in the inpatient rehabilitation facility. Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am. 2012;23(2):305.

Rossy D, Jourdain-Grand S, Lamb G, Armstrong E, Athrens S, Berry J. Preventing falls in high-risk patients. Can Nurse. 1997;93(4):53-4.

Røyset B, Talseth-Palmer BA, Lydersen S, Farup PG. Effects of a fall prevention program in elderly: a pragmatic observational study in two orthopedic departments. Clin Interv Aging. 2019;14:145-54

Rutledge DN, Donaldson NE, Pravikoff DS. Fall risk assessment and prevention in healthcare facilities. Online J Clin Innov. 1998;1(9):1-33.

Ryu YM, Roche JP, Brunton M. Patient and family education for fall prevention: involving patients and families in a fall prevention program on a neuroscience unit. J Nurs Care Qual. 2009;24(3):243-9.

Sahota O, Drummond A, Kendrick D, Grainge MJ, Vass C, Sach T, *et al.* REFINE (REducing Falls in InpatieNt Elderly) using bed and bedside chair pressure sensors linked to radio-pagers in acute hospital care: a randomised controlled trial. Age Ageing. 2014;43(2):247-53.

Salem JMH. Jana's bed belt for high risk fall patients in long-term stay and wards: descriptive study. J Infect Public Health. 2015;8(4):400.

Sand-Jecklin K, Johnson J, Tringhese A, Daniels C, White F. Video monitoring for fall prevention and patient safety. J Nurs Care Qual. 2019;34(2):145-50.

Satoh H, Takeda F, Saeki Y, Ikeda R, Shiraishi Y. Proposal of awakening behavior detection system using neural network. Conference proceedings: Annual International Conference on Computational Intelligence. 2006;2006:164-9.

Savage T, Matheis-Kraft C. Fall occurrence in a geriatric psychiatry setting before and after a fall prevention program. J Gerontol Nurs. 2001;27(10):49-53.

Schwarzmeier A, Weigel R, Fischer G, Kissinger D. A low power fall detection and activity monitoring system for nursing facilities and hospitals. Conference proceedings: IEEE Topical Conference on Bio-medical Wireless Technologies, Networks, and Sensing. 2014;2014:28-30.

Schwendimann R, Bühler H, De Geest S, Milisen K. Falls and consequent injuries in hospitalized patients: effects of an interdisciplinary falls prevention program. BMC Health Serv Res. 2006;6:69.

Schwendimann R, Milisen K, Bühler H, De Geest S. Fall prevention in a Swiss acute care hospital setting reducing multiple falls. J Gerontol Nurs. 2006;32(3):13-22.

Schwickert L, Becker C, Lindemann U, Marechal C, Bourke A, Chiari L, *et al*. Fall detection with body-worn sensors: a systematic review. Z Gerentol Geriatr. 2013;46(8):706-19.

Selvabala VS, Ganesh AB. Implementation of wireless sensor network based human fall detection system. Procedia Eng 2012;30:767-73.

Semin-Goossens A, van dH, Bossuyt PMM. A failed model-based attempt to implement an evidence-based nursing guideline for fall prevention. J Nurs Care Qual. 2003;18(3):217-25.

Shever LL, Titler MG, Mackin ML, Kueny A. Fall prevention practices in adult medical-surgical nursing units described by nurse managers. West J Nurs Res. 2011;33(3):385-97.

Shever LL, Titler MG, Kerr P, Qin R, Kim T, Picone DM. The effect of high nursing surveillance on hospital cost. J Nurs Schol. 2008;40(2):161-9.

Shim J, Shim M, Baek Y, Han T. The Development of a detection system for seniors' accidental fall from bed using cameras. Conference proceedings: Annual International Conference on Ubiquitous Information Management and Communication. 2011;102:1-4.

Shinmoto Torres RL, Shi Q, van dH, Ranasinghe DC. A hierarchical model for recognizing alarming states in a batteryless sensor alarm intervention for preventing falls in older people. Pervasive Mob Comput. 2017;40:1-16.

Shinmoto Torres RL, Visvanathan R, Hoskins S, Van dH, Ranasinghe DC. Effectiveness of a batteryless and wireless wearable sensor system for identifying bed and chair exits in healthy older people. Sensors (Basel). 2016;16(4):546.

Shorr RI, Chandler AM, Mion LC, Waters TM, Liu M, Daniels MJ, *et al.* Effects of an intervention to increase bed alarm use to prevent falls in hospitalized patients: a cluster randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2012;157(10):692-9.

Shuey KM, Balch C. Fall prevention in high-risk patients. Crit Care Nurs Clin North Am. 2014;26(4):569-80.

Shuman C, Liu J, Montie M, Galinato JG, Todd MA, Hegstad M, *et al.* Patient perceptions and experiences with falls during hospitalization and after discharge. Appl Nurs Res. 2016;31:79-85.

Silkworth AL, Baker J, Ferrara J, Wagner M, Gevaart M, Morin K. Nursing staff develop a video to prevent falls: a quality improvement Project. J Nurs Care Qual. 2016;31(1):40-5.

Spano-Szekely L, Winkler A, Waters C, Dealmedia S, Brandt K, Williamson M, *et al.* Individualized fall prevention program in an acute care setting: An evidence-based practice improvement. J Nurs Care Qual. 2019;34(2):127-32.

Spetz J, Brown DS, Aydin C. The economics of preventing hospital falls: demonstrating ROI through a simple model. J Nurs Adm. 2015;45(1):50-7.

Spiva L, Robertson B, Delk ML, Patrick S, Kimrey MM, Green B, *et al.* Effectiveness of team training on fall prevention. J Nurs Care Qual. 2014;29(2):164-73.

Spoelstra SL, Given BA, Given CW. Fall prevention in hospitals: an integrative review. Clin Nurs Res. 2012;21(1):92-112.

Spritzer SD, Riordan KC, Berry J, Corbett BM, Gerke JK, Hoerth MT, *et al.* Fall prevention and bathroom safety in the epilepsy monitoring unit. Epilepsy Behav. 2015;48:75-8.

Staranowicz AN, Ray C, Mariottini G. Easy-to-use, general, and accurate multi-Kinect calibration and its application to gait monitoring for fall prediction. Conference Proceedings: Annual International Conference Of The IEEE Engineering In Medicine And Biology Society. 2015;2015:4994-8.

Stenvall M, Olofsson B, Lundström M, Englund U, Borssén B, Svensson O, *et al.* A multidisciplinary, multifactorial intervention program reduces postoperative falls and injuries after femoral neck fracture. Osteoporosis Int. 2006;18(2):167-75.

Stephenson M, Mcarthur A, Giles K, Lockwood C, Aromataris E, Pearson A. Prevention of falls in acute hospital settings: a multi-site audit and best practice implementation project. Int J Qual Health Care. 2016;28(1):92-8.

Stern C, Jayasekara R. Interventions to reduce the incidence of falls in older adult patients in acute care hospitals: a systematic review. Int J Evid Based Healthc. 2009;7(4):243-9.

Stoeckle A, Iseler JI, Havey R, Aebersold C. Catching quality before it falls: preventing falls and injuries in the adult emergency department. J Emerg Nurs. 2019;45(3):257-64.

Stubbs B, Denkinger MD, Brefka S, Dallmeier D. What works to prevent falls in older adults dwelling in long term care facilities and hospitals? An umbrella review of meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. Maturitas. 2015;81(3):335-42.

Su MC, Liao JW, Wang PC, Wang CH. A smart ward with a fall detection system. In: Araneo R, Martirano L, editors. IEEE International Conference on Environment and Electrical Engineering and 2017 IEEE Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Europe, 2017 Jun 6-9; Milan (Italy) [conference proceedings on the Internet]. Danvers (MA): IEEE; 2017. [cited 2020 Sep 9] p.1-4. Available from: https://ieeexplore. ieee.org/document/7977515.

Sutton D, Windsor J, Husk J. A care bundle approach to falls prevention. Nurs Times. 2014;110(20):21-3.

Sweeting HL. Patient fall prevention - a structured approach. J Nurs Manag. 1994;2(4):187-92.

Swift CG, Iliffe S. Assessment and prevention of falls in older people-concise guidance. Clin Med 2014;14(6):658-62.

Szumlas S, Groszek J, Kitt S, Payson C, Stack K. Take a second glance: a novel approach to inpatient fall prevention. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2004;30(6):295-302.

Takanokura M, Miyake M, Kawakami M, Yamada T, Taki S, Kakehi M. Systems approach for preventing falls in hospitals and nursing homes using sensing devices surrounding the patients bed. In: Matta A, Sahin E, Li J, Guinet A, Vandaele N, editors. Health Care Systems Engineering for Scientists and Practitioners [conference proceedings on the internet]; 2015 May; Lyon (France). New York: Springer; 2016;(169):1-11. [cited 2020 Sept 5]. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-35132-2\_1.

Takeda F. Proposal of an awakening behavior detection system for medical use and adaptation for fluctuation of the brightness quantity with infrared camera device kinect. In: Yetongnon K, Dipanda A, Chbeir R, editors. 2013 International Conference on Signal-Image Technology & Internet-Based Systems [conference proceedings on the internet]; 2013 Dec 2-5; Kyoto (Japan). Danvers (MA): IEEE Computer Society; 2013. [cited 2020 Sept 5]. p. 714-19. Availabe from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6727266.

Tartu I. Falls prevention practices amongst nurses and physiotherapists in an orthopedic unit, within the acute care setting in Sydney: a best practice implementation project. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2014;12(10):187-98.

Teh RC, Visvanathan R, Ranasighe D, Wislon A. Evaluation and refinement of a handheld information technology tool to support the timely update of bedside visual cues to prevent falls in hospitals. Int J Evid Based Healthc. 2018;16(2):90-100.

Thomas L, Euliarte MA, Davis K. Centralized telemonitoring camera use decreases fall rates in inpatient rehabilitation facilities. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2017;98(10):e40.

Tideiksaar R, Feiner CF, Maby J. Falls prevention: the efficacy of a bed alarm system in an acute-care setting. Mt Sinai J Med. 1993;60(6):522-7.

Tiessen B, Deter C, Snowdon AW, Kolga C. Continuing the journey to a culture of patient safety: from falls prevention to falls management. Healthc Q. 2010;13(1):79-83.

Titler MG, Conlon P, Reynolds MA, Ripley R, Tsodikov A, Wilson DS, *et al.* The effect of a translating research into practice intervention to promote use of evidence-based fall prevention interventions in hospitalized adults: a prospective pre-post implementation study in the U.S. Appl Nurs Res. 2016;31:52-9.

Trepanier S, Hilsenbeck J. A hospital system approach at decreasing falls with injuries and cost. Nurs Econ. 2014;32(3):135-41.

Trombetti A, Hars M, Herrmann F, Rizzoli R, Ferrari S. Effect of a multifactorial fall-and-fracture risk assessment and management program on gait and balance performances and disability in hospitalized older adults: a controlled study. Osteoporosis Int. 2013;24(3):867-76.

Trummer KH, Foster BB, Hartman L, Lewis-Vais C, Sullivan H. Hospital extra. Protecting confused patients from falls. AJN. 1996;96(7):16R-16X.

Tucker SJ, Bieber PL, Attlesey-Pries JM, Olson ME, Dierkhising RA. Outcomes and challenges in implementing hourly rounds to reduce falls in orthopedic units. Worldviews Evid Based Nurs. 2012;9(1):18-29.

Tung EE, Newman JS. Fall prevention in hospitalized patients. Hosp Med Clin. 2014;3(2):e189-e201.

Tyrer HW, Muheidat F. Adding intelligence to a floor-based array personnel detector. Alzheimer's and dementia 2016;12(7):P599-P600.

Tzeng H. A feasibility study of providing folding commode chairs in patient bathrooms to reduce toiletingrelated falls in an adult acute medical-surgical unit. J Nurs Care Qual. 2011;26(1):61-8.

Tzeng H, Yin C, Anderson A, Prakash A. Nursing staff's awareness of keeping beds in the lowest position to prevent falls and fall injuries in an adult acute surgical inpatient care setting. Medsurg Nurs. 2012;21(5):271-4.

Tzeng H, Prakash A, Brehob M, Anderson A, Devecsery DA, Yin C. How feasible was a bed-height alert system? Clin Nurs Res. 2013;22(3):300-9.

Tzeng H-, Yin C-. i Engaging as an innovative approach to engage patients in their own fall prevention care. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2014;8:693-700.

Tzeng H, Yin C, Fitzgerald K, Graham K. i Engaging user testing: lessons learned from inpatients and health care providers. J Nurs Care Qual. 2015;30(3):275-82.

van der HJ, Goossens A, Bossuyt P. When implementation fails: the case of a nursing guideline for fall prevention. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2006;32(3):152-60.

van Gaal BGI, Schoonhoven L, Mintjes JAJ, Borm GF, Hulscher MEJL, Defloor T, *et al.* Fewer adverse events as a result of the SAFE or SORRY? programme in hospitals and nursing homes. Part I: Primary outcome of a cluster randomised trial. Int J Nurs Stud. 2011;48(9):1040-8.

van Gaal BGI, Schoonhoven L, Mintjes JAJ, Borm GF, Koopmans, Raymond TCM, van Achterberg T. The SAFE or SORRY? programme. part II: effect on preventive care. Int J Nurs Stud. 2011;48(9):1049-57.

van Leeuwen M, Bennett L, West S, Wiles V, Grasso J. Patient falls from bed and the role of bedrails in the acute care setting. Aust J Adv Nurs. 2001;19(2):8-13.

Vassallo M, Vignaraja R, Sharma JC, Hallam H, Binns K, Briggs R, *et al.* The effect of changing practice on fall prevention in a rehabilitative hospital: the Hospital Injury Prevention Study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2004;52(3):335-9.

Vassallo M, Wilkinson C, Stockdale R, Malik N, Baker R, Allen S. Attitudes to restraint for the prevention of falls in hospital. Gerontology. 2005;51(1):66-70.

Vieira ER, Berean C, Paches D, Caveny P, Yuen D, Ballash L, *et al.* Reducing falls among geriatric rehabilitation patients: a controlled clinical trial. Clin Rehabil. 2013;27(4):325-35.

Vilarinho T, Farshchian B, Bajer DG, Dahl OH, Egge I, Hegdal SS, *et al.* A combined smartphone and smartwatch fall detection system. In: Wu Y, Min G, Georgalas N, Hu J, Atzori L, Jin X, *et al.*, editors. 2015 IEEE International Conference on Computer and Information Technology; Ubiquitous Computing and Communications; Dependable, Autonomic and Secure Computing; Pervasive Intelligence and Computing, [conference proceedings on the internet]; 2015 Oct 26-28; Liverpool (UK). Danvers (MA): IEEE; 2015 [cited 2020 Sep 5] p.1443-8. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7363260.

Vilas-Boas M, Silva P, Cunha SR, Correia MV. Monitoring of bedridden patients: development of a fall detection tool. Ann Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2013;2013:4742-5.

Villafane JH, Pirali C, Buraschi R, Arienti C, Corbellini C, Negrini S. Moving forward in fall prevention: an intervention to improve balance among patients in a quasi-experimental study of hospitalized patients. Int J Rehabil Res. 2015;38(4):313-19.

Visvanathan R, Ranasinghe DC, Shinmoto TR, Hill K. Framework for preventing falls in acute hospitals using passive sensor enabled radio frequency identification technology. Ann Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2012;2012:5858-62.

von Renteln-Kruse W, Krause T. Incidence of in-hospital falls in geriatric patients before and after the introduction of an interdisciplinary team-based fall-prevention intervention. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2007;55(12):2068-74.

Votruba L, Graham B, Wisinski J, Syed A. Video monitoring to reduce falls and patient companion costs for adult inpatients. Nurs Econ. 2016;34(4):185-9.

Walsh CM, Liang L-, Grogan T, Coles C, McNair N, Nuckols TK. Temporal trends in fall rates with the implementation of a multifaceted fall prevention program: persistence pays off. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2018;44(2):75-83.

Walsh W, Hill KD, Bennell K, Vu M, Haines TP. Local adaptation and evaluation of a falls risk prevention approach in acute hospitals. Int J Qual Health Care. 2011;23(2):134-41.

Ward A, Candela L, Mahoney J. Developing a unit-specific falls reduction program. J Healthc Qual. 2004;26(2):36-40.

Weinberg J, Proske D, Szerszen A, Lefkovic K, Cline C, El-Sayegh S, *et al.* An inpatient fall prevention initiative in a tertiary care hospital. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2011;37(7):317-25.

Wexler SS, D'Amico CO, Foster N, Cataldo KA, Brody P, Huang Z. The Ruby Red Slipper Program: an interdisciplinary fall management program in a community academic medical center. Medsurg Nurs. 2011;20(3):129-33.

Wickramasinghe A, Ranasinghe DC. Ambulatory monitoring using passive computational RFID sensors. IEEE Sens J. 2015;15(10):5859-69.

Wickramasinghe A, Ranasinghe DC, Fumeaux C, Hill KD, Visvanathan R. Sequence learning with passive RFID sensors for real-time bed-egress recognition in older people. IEEE J Biomed Health Inform. 2017;21(4):917-29.

Williams TA, King G, Hill A, Rajagopal M, Barnes T, Basu A, *et al.* Evaluation of a falls prevention programme in an acute tertiary care hospital. J Clin Nurs. 2007;16(2):316-24.

Williams T, Szekendi M, Thomas S. An analysis of patient falls and fall prevention programs across academic medical centers. J Nurs Care Qual. 2014;29(1):19-29.

Wolf K, Hetzer K, zu Schwabedissen HM, Wiese B, Marschollek M. Development and pilot study of a bedexit alarm based on a body-worn accelerometer. Z Gerontol Geriatr. 2013;46(8):727-33.

Wong SA, Phillips B, Hill K, Dodd K. Feasibility, acceptability, and effectiveness of an electronic sensor bed/ chair alarm in reducing falls in patients with cognitive impairment in a subacute ward. J Nurs Care Qual. 2014;29(3):253-62.

Wood VJ, Vindrola-Padros C, Swart N, McIntosh M, Crowe S, Morris S, *et al.* One to one specialling and sitters in acute care hospitals: a scoping review. Int J Nurs Stud. 2018;84:61-77.

Xu C, Audrey TXN, Loh S, Shanel YWT, Tan J, Premarani K, *et al.* Effectiveness of interventions for the assessment and prevention of falls in adult psychiatric patients: a systematic review. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2011;(9):1-17.

Xu C, Xie H. Translating evidence from a systematic review to the development of an evidence-based fall prevention program in a tertiary psychiatric hospital. Nurs Rep. 2015;5(1):13-18.

Yacchirema D, de Puga JS, Palau C, Esteve M. Fall detection system for elderly people using IoT and Big Data. Procedia Comput Sci. 2018;130:603-10

Yamanaka N, Satoh H, Takeda F. Development of an awakening detection system with the NN and adaptation for fluctuation of brightness quantity in the captured image. Int J Intell Syst Technol Appl. 2010;9(3/4):286-99.

Yates KM, Creech Tart R. Acute care patient falls: evaluation of a revised fall prevention program following comparative analysis of psychiatric and medical patient falls. Appl Nurs Res. 2012;25(2):68-74.

Yonezawa Y, Miyamoto Y, Maki H, Ogawa H, Ninomiya I, Sada K, *et al.* A new intelligent bed care system for hospital and home patients. Biomed Instrum Technol. 2005;39(4):313-19.

Yun Y, Gu IY. Human fall detection in videos by fusing statistical features of shape and motion dynamics on Riemannian manifolds. Neurocomputing. 2016;207:726-34.

Zammit L. The prevention of falls in a general medical and orthopedic surgical ward within an acute care setting: a best practice implementation project. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2014;12(10):246-66.

Zhao YL, Bott M, He J. Evidence on fall and injurious fall prevention interventions in acute care hospitals. J Nurs Adm. 2019;49(2):86-92.

Zuyev L, Benoit AN, Chang FY, Dykes PC. Tailored prevention of inpatient falls: development and usability testing of the fall TIPS toolkit. Comput Inform Nurs. 2011;29(2):93-100.

## Appendix V: Characteristics of included studies

Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Abdalla 2017	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	Evaluate whether an ACE unit at a community-based teaching hospital decreased the risk of falls in patients over 65 years old compared to general and medical surgical units.	Aged 65+, admitted to ACE or general medical/ surgical unit	Multifactorial intervention	ACE unit includes specially trained nursing staff and senior- friendly amenities: special light- ing, non-skid flooring, low beds, soothing sounds/low noise policies. Staff address seniors' specific needs regarding nutrition, proper sleep patterning, early delirium detection, skin integrity, and medication management. Early physical and occupational rehabilitation, patient-centered care, and senior-friendly environ- ment. Falls-risk assessment. Morse Fall Score (assessed on admission, every eight hours, after fall or change in medical status, after any transfers between units).	Patients in the ACE unit had a 73% decreased incidence of falls, after adjusting for potential confounders.
Adame 2018	Spain	Emerging technol- ogy development	To describe RFID and wireless sensor networks in tracking patients.	Nursing, surgery and reha- bilitation departments of a Barcelona Hospital	Wearable detection device	A wristband was worn that allows tracking and location of patients with an integrated fall alarm. Tested on patients over two days.	Patient tracking was reported to be successful. Health care staff found the technology useful and easy to use and that it allowed them time to concentrate on other tasks. Need for future work identified.
Aizen 2015	Israel	RCT	To evaluate the effective- ness of a targeted individu- alized FPP in reducing the rate of falls in a geriatric rehabilitation hospital.	Patients aged 65+ consec- utively admitted to geriat- ric rehabilitation ward	Multifactorial intervention	Weekly falls-risk assessment (tool) and individual manage- ment (medical, behavioral, cogni- tive and environmental modifications) based on falls-risk level. Mild risk: medical interventions, environmental modifications, toi- lets and shower-room adjust- ment, mobility care, bed and wheelchair adjustment, behav- ioral and cognitive treatment, and patient and family guidance. Moderate risk: + supervised mobility assistance. High risk: + placed in visible location on ward, permanent supervision, hip protection, mul- tidisciplinary discussion on fall prevention.	No differences in fall rates between groups.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Albornos-Munoz 2018	Spain	Before-after design	To promote evidence-based practice in fall prevention and management in a Span- ish hospital, and to reduce fall rates and associated injuries.	Patients aged 65+ admit- ted for at least 24 hours and discharged during the measurement period	Multicomponent intervention	Multicomponent strategies, including regular falls-risk assess- ments (on admission and after a fall), nurse-led multifaceted fall- prevention interventions, and patient/staff education.	Patient falls increased in the medical wards but decreased in the surgical ward.
Alexander 2013	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	Develop a falls-risk assess- ment tool for use in an emergency department, compare with standard tool and implement in practice.	Patients admitted to emer- gency department	Multicomponent intervention	A fall-risk screening tool (KIN- DER1 Fall Risk Assessment Tool) revised especially for the emer- gency department was imple- mented, following department- wide staff education. Involves four risk factors: i) patient is identified as high risk once there is a "yes" for any one factor; ii) monthly staff meetings; iii) poster on bulletin board along with fall rates; and iv) days without a fall. Improving practice: Staff encour- aged to input and ask questions. Nurses encouraged to take own- ership of the safety of their patients.	55% improvement in falls- risk identification, compared to the old tool, following implementation of the KIN- DER1 falls-risk tool.
Ali 2018	ИК	Cohort study (Prospective)	Evaluate whether monthly inpatient falls rate changed after "Stay in the Bay" intervention aimed at increasing nurse-patient contact time.	Adult inpatients	Environment design	Portable nursing station on wheels with computer system and secure drawer for patient records. One station placed in each bay on the ward. In wards with single rooms, station placed outside each room where possi- ble. Staff encouraged to use portable stations rather than main nursing station.	Relative reduction of 26.71% in monthly falls rate.
Amador 2007	USA	Review - Narrative	Review the literature on aging-related changes pre- disposing older adults to falls; risk factors associated with hospital falls; and the consequences, management, and prevention of falls for older adults after surgery.	N/A	Multiple technologies	No intervention employed. Reviews interventions from the literature.	Recommend frequent falls- risk assessment together with multifactorial preven- tion. Avoid bed rest, inactiv- ity, and restraints.

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)	Continued)										
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations				
Anderson 2012	UK	Review - Systematic	To assess the effectiveness of interventions designed to prevent patient injuries and falls from their beds.	Studies on adult patients from nursing care facilities or rehabilitation units	Multiple technologies	Reviewed studies including the following interventions: low height beds, bed-exit alarms.	The reviewed studies found no significant change in fall number following implement tation of low beds or bed- exit alarms. Authors con- clude that evidence for the effectiveness of these inter- ventions remains unclear; suggest improvements for future studies.				
Andreoli 2010	Canada	Before-after design	To implement the Situation- Background-Assessment- Recommendation tool in rehabilitation hospital wards and evaluate its processes and outcomes.	Clinical and non-clinical staff in the geriatric and rehabilitation wards (admitting older adults with multiple comorbidities)	Multicomponent intervention	Staff were provided with educa- tional sessions, including role play, regarding the use of the Situation- Background-Assessment- Recommendation tool to facilitate communication with other staff regarding patient care. Local cham- pions and reminders (pocket cards, posters, telephone prompts, edu- cational binders) also used.	Number of reported falls increased, although "major falls" had a decreasing trend.				
Ang 2011	Singapore	RCT	To evaluate the effective- ness of a targeted multiple intervention in reducing fall numbers in patients identi- fied as high falls risk by the Hendrich II Falls Risk Model.	Patients newly admitted to medical wards, age $\geq$ 21 years, score of $\geq$ 5 on Hendrich II Fall Risk Model	Multifactorial intervention	In addition to usual care, intervention group (patients identified as high risk on the Hendrich II Falls Risk Model) received a riskappropriate, targeted multiple intervention, including an educational session ( $\leq$ 30 minutes) on their specific intervention.	Using targeted multiple interventions in addition to usual care reduced the num- ber of falls, relative risk of falls, and risk of falls com- pared to usual care in an acute care hospital.				
Apold 2012	USA	Cohort study (Retrospective)	Present the outcomes of a large-scale fall and injury reduction program and summarize lessons learned.	Minnesota hospitals (state-wide implementation)	Multifactorial intervention	Implement SAFE from FALLS pro- gram in hospitals following an intense one-day learning session. Program is a "road map," which includes practice and implemen- tation recommendations. S = SAFE teams (eg, interdisci- plinary team, physician cham- pion); A = Access to information (eg, sharing data with team mem- bers, physicians, and administra- tion); F = Facility expectations (eg establishing clear roles in poli- cies and procedures); E = Educate staff and patients (eg, educate patients on their role and what they can expect; F = Fail risk screening; A = Assessment of risk factors; $L = Linked$ interventions; L = Learn from events; and $S = Safe$ environment.	Number of reported falls decreased with the use of the SAFE from FALLS pro- gram. Authors recommend using a smaller number of targeted tools; minimize burden of a risk tool to maximize prevention imple- mentation time; collabora- tion with colleagues and ongoing learning/enhancing.				

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Aud 2010	USA	Emerging technol- ogy development	To describe the develop- ment of a prototype Smart Carpet, for detecting a fall and signalling for help.	Aimed at older adults and dementia patients	Stationary fall- detection device	Smart Carpet with imbedded pressure sensors connected to a computer. The Smart Carpet can detect walking/standing and aims to identify falls in order to send a signal for assistance.	The Smart Carpet correctly identified steps most of the time and was able to detect varying pressures and walk- ing characteristics. Further work required before appli- cation in practice.
Avanecean 2017	USA	Review - Systematic	To evaluate the effective- ness of patient-centered interventions on falls in the acute care setting.	Included all adults admit- ted to medical or surgical acute care units for any condition or illness	Multifactorial interventions	Review focused on RCT studies of patient-centered intervention strategies to reduce falls (com- pared to usual care)	Of the five identified stud- ies, three studies showed significant reduction in fall rates; and involved personal- ized care plans and patient- centered education based on their assessed fall risk.
Baig 2016	New Zealand	Emerging technol- ogy development	Develop a robust falls-risk assessment system to pre- dict and prevent falls and related long-term disability in hospitals; compare with adopted MFS.	General application testing but aimed at older adults in hospital	Wearable detection device	Wearable detection device together with other input. Falls- risk assessment model using motion data (accelerometer device attached to patient's arm), vital signs (medical devices), falls history, medication information, weighted parame- ters input into a falls prediction algorithm. Identification of abnormal or unstable motion data. Accuracy of fall identifica- tion was tested.	The model accurately identified all forward falls and over 85% of backward, left- and right-side falls. Achieved 75% accuracy, 88% sensitivity and 83% predictability against MFS.
Balaguera 2017	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To conduct a technology evaluation, including feasi- bility, usability, and user experience, of a medical sensor-based IoT system in facilitating nursing response to bed exits in an acute care hospital.	Patients over 18 years old with high fall risk (MFS $\geq$ 45) from a surgical ward	Stationary fall-detec- tion device	SensableCare System. Sensor pad placed on the bed to moni- tor patient's upper body move- ment; when system recognizes attempts to exit the bed, nurses are alerted via a mobile device and a message is played on a bedside monitor to the patient to remind them to wait for the nurse before exiting.	No falls were reported dur- ing the study period from patients using the system. Focus groups reported the system to be easy to use and effective.
Banerjee 2014	USA	Emerging technol- ogy development	To reduce false fall alerts from a patient activity rec- ognition system to be used in hospital.	Hospital patients	Stationary fall- detection device	Microsoft Kinect sensor mounted near the hospital bed used depth-imagery to detect activity and used an algorithm to detect falls.	False fall alerts were still generated. Need for further work is identified.

© 2021 JBI. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

K. Cooper et al.

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Barker 2009	Australia	Audit	Evaluate the effectiveness of a multifactorial FPP in reducing falls and fall injuries in an acute care hospital.	Patients admitted to the acute hospital between 1999 and 2007	Multicomponent intervention	Patients were assessed using a modified STRATIFY risk tool, and targeted interventions (selected from a predefined list) were given to high-risk patients. List included: "falls risk" alert sign above bed; supervision in the bathroom; high-low bed, low- ered to floor level; walking aid within reach at all times; two- hourly or four-hourly toileting regime; bed/chair alarm.	Fall rates fluctuated during the study and in the follow- up period, with no consis- tent decreases.			
Barker 2013	Australia	Cohort study (Retrospective)	Investigate associations between serious fall-related injuries and use of low-low beds.	Northern Hospital inpati- ents admitted between 1999 and 2009	Multicomponent intervention	6-PACK FPP with main focus being low-low beds. FLOORCARE beds placed in medical and sur- gical wards; increasing number of low-low beds as the study progressed. Intervention also included: falls alert sign; supervi- sion in the bathroom; low-low beds; walking aid within reach; toileting regimes; bed/chair alarm.	The rate of falls increased during the study, however, rate of fall-related injuries decreased.			
Barker 2016	Australia	RCT	To evaluate the effect of the 6-PACK program on falls and fall injuries in acute wards using a RCT.	Patients admitted to 24 acute wards	Multifactorial inter- vention	The 6-PACK program involved using a falls-risk tool and tar- geted individualized intervention of one or more of six interven- tions: falls alert sign; supervision in the bathroom; low-low beds; walking aid within reach; toilet- ing regimes; bed/chair alarm.	Fall rates were higher (7.46) in the intervention wards than control wards (7.03) during the trial period but not significantly different.			
Barker 2017	Australia	Other	To explore acceptability of the 6-PACK program by nurses and senior staff.	Nurses working a mini- mum of 7.5 hours per week two months prior to the survey and senior hos- pital staff, including: nurse unit managers, senior phy- sicians, directors of nurs- ing, and senior "falls prevention" personnel	Education/training	Acceptability of 6-PACK interven- tion tested via survey (sustain- ability, practicality, benefits), focus groups, and interviews.	Staff perceived the 6-PACK suitable as mostly practical and beneficial.			

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Barry 2001	Ireland	Cohort study (Retrospective)	Develop and implement a fall-prevention strategy for elderly patients and to improve safety awareness. among patients and staff.	Older patients; average age 81 years; varying levels of dependency	Multifactorial intervention	Staff education. Environmental changes including: handrails in corridors; handrails, grab rails and arm rests in bathrooms; no polishing of floors; suitable chairs with armrests; removal of obstructive furniture; rubber outdoor tiling on patios. Environ- mental changes tailored to patients (eg, commodes without wheels, braces fitted to men's trousers). Emergency call bells maintained. Fall-risk factors were addressed individually, including vision, medication, and mobility. Hip protector pads provided to high-risk patients.	Overall, falls reduced follow- ing the intervention. Recom- mend that environmental risk factors be monitored regularly and corrected when needed.
Bauer 2017	USA	Emerging technol- ogy development	To reduce the risk of falls for patients by developing a 3D camera-based system (Ocuvera) for monitoring patients at risk of falling without requiring human supervision.	Patients	Stationary fall- detection device	Video-based monitoring system (including Microsoft Kinect) that can predict behavior, which can lead to a fall from the bed and sound an alarm to warn staff.	Good system sensitivity (95%) Needs tested in hospital setting.
Belita 2013	Canada	Quality improvement	Describe the process used to design, develop, and implement a change initia- tive that specifically focused on cardiac-related falls and injuries.	Cardiology patients	Multifactorial intervention	Falls-risk assessment and tar- geted interventions. Specialized "Assessment and Intervention Falls Guide" for older inpatients with arrhythmia and syncopal episodes: screens for fall risk using "trigger" questions. Inter- ventions include: cardiology-spe- cific initial nursing interventions; fall interventions, including low- low bed, belongings within reach, call bell within reach, mobility aids, clear pathway, and clean dry surface; ongoing medi- cal assessments; additional inter- ventions, including close supervision, up with supervision, consulting physiotherapist/occu- pational therapist for mobility, patient/family education; and medication treatment strategies.	Found useful by nurses and provided a new way to assess patients' risk for falls taking into account specific cardiac problems that may increase falls risk in this population.

K. Cooper et al.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Belshaw 2011	Canada	Emerging technol- ogy development	To describe a camera-based system that detects a fall, outline the algorithms used, and present empirical vali- dation of effectiveness.	Aimed at older adults but piloted by "able-bodied": volunteers	Stationary fall- detection device	Camera-based Personal Emer- gency Response System device was piloted. A single camera with a wide range lens was mounted on the ceiling in three office rooms and over three weeks volunteers simulated fall postures on the floor. The sys- tem identifies a fall using machine learning techniques on the silhouette and lighting fea- tures detected. A fall alarm is activated if a fall is detected.	During training the system resulted in a true positive rate of 92% and false posi- tive rate of 5% on a test set of falls. However, in tests of 33 fall sequences, 115 false events were identified. Authors identify the need for further system development.
Bemis-Dougherty 2008	USA	Other	Educational series aimed at presenting issues relating to patient falls in the inpatient setting.	Aimed at older inpatients	N/A	Discussed several interventions: patient and family education, staff education, individualized interventions, bed/chair alarms, hip protectors, patient risk iden- tification (color bands), exercise, restraints/bedrail.	A multifactorial and multi- faceted intervention is recommended. Physiothera- pists' role is highlighted as being important for fall prevention.
Bhandari 2010	Canada	Cohort study (Prospective)	Development of a symbiotic simulation decision support system for use in preventing patient falls in hospitals.	Elderly patients in small rural hospital	Other	Decision support system that takes into account many factors, including falls, staffing, time of day and nurses' speed/ability of response to patient call, to help make decisions about staffing levels, patient positioning in relation to nurses stations, and general falls-management approach to reduce falls.	Fall rates remain consistently lower than published rates after the hospital success- fully implemented a falls- management approach.
Blake 2013	New Zealand	Text and opinion	Overview and opinion of the "Open for better care" campaign.	N/A	Multifactorial intervention	Paper discusses the "Open for better care" campaign. Uses "Ask, Assess, Act" to prevent falls by first using screening questions, then assessing the patients falls risk together with patient/family input and deter- mining interventions. Mentions individualized interventions, intentional grounding, call bell nearby, personal belongings nearby, familiarization with new environments, bed/chair height appropriate, mobility equipment within reach, clear pathways, good light, locked wheels on furniture, wheelchairs, toilet chairs and hoists, prompt clean- ing of spills.	Recommends that risk fac- tors and interventions be well matched/linked in an individualized care plan.

(Continued)	)						
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Bloch 2011	France	Cohort study (Prospective)	To evaluate the effective- ness and acceptability of a fall-detection system Vigi'Fall in elderly subjects.	Patients aged 75+, geriatrics ward, at risk of falling	Wearable detection device	Patients wore the Vigi'Fall accel- erometer device and were placed in rooms with infrared sensors. When a fall was detected, a signal was sent to a nurse.	Authors suggest that the system is promising for patient fall detection but more work needs to be done to improve sensitivity in real-life scenarios to avoid false alarms.
Bock 2017	USA	Text and opinion	Discusses the use of sitters in hospitals and other inter- ventions that can be used in place, commenting on effectiveness.	N/A	Other	Discuss sitters; interventions related to physical care environ- ment (unit layout, room design, room clutter, footwear, ambula- tory assist devices, chair alarms, and commodes), care process (toileting needs) and safety cul- ture; intentional rounding; deci- sion algorithm guiding; team approach and individual needs assessment; post-fall review ses- sions, staff/patient education.	Suggest that the use of sit- ters in hospitals can be greatly reduced but only by using evidence-based and individualized fall-prevention approaches that take into account the patient's envi- ronment as well as patient care plans. Highlight the importance of post-fall reviews as a learning experi- ence essential for maintain- ing improvement of fall prevention.
Bolger 2016	Ireland	Cohort study (Prospective)	To determine adherence with falls-prevention educa- tion in an acute setting.	Patients aged 50+	Multicomponent intervention	Audit of the hospital and patient's compliance with the principles taught by the falls- prevention mnemonic FALLS (Footwear, call bell, glasses, toi- leting, and walking aids).	A morning audit of hospital practices revealed that, in many cases, aspects of fall prevention were not adhered to, including unsafe footwear, glasses and walk- ing aids out of reach, and call bells not working.
Bonuel 2011	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To implement the CATCH falls-prevention approach at a veterans' hospital.	Patients in the veteran hospital	Multicomponent intervention	A "fall bundle" with five main principle elements "CATCH": i) Collaborative interdisciplinary practice, ii) Active leadership engagement, iii) use of Technol- ogy to support processes, iv) Carefully executed communica- tion strategy, and v) House-wide change. Unit fall champions.	Compared to hospitals of similar size and bed num- bers they showed lower number of falls per 1000 days after implementing the CATCH program house-wide culture change.
Boothe 2010	USA	Text and opinion	Describe how a fall scene investigation as a perfor- mance-improvement strat- egy to prevent falls enhances patient safety and quality of care.	Hospital patients	Multifactorial intervention	Fall scene investigation approach: analyze each fall (with the aid of a documentation tool) and implement new initiatives to avoid future falls.	Using the fall scene investi- gation approach has resulted in the nursing staff begin- ning to take a proactive rather than reactive approach to fall prevention. 11% reduction in falls that year.

# SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Boswell 2001	USA	Cohort study (Retrospective)	Analyze the costs and bene- fits of a patient-sitter pro- gram in relation to falls and patient satisfaction.	Adult inpatients from medical and surgical units	Sitters	Sitters placed in patients' rooms.	Falls marginally increased. Authors conclude that the sitter program is still impor- tant but must be used in conjunction with other fall interventions for when sitter has to step away.
Bradley 2011	USA	Review - Narrative	To discuss falls risk, falls-risk assessment, and interven- tions to prevent falls.	N/A	Other	Discusses the following: exercise, Vitamin D supplementation, withdrawal of psychotropic med- ications, expedited first cataract surgery, medication review and reduction, management of orthostasis, environmental adap- tation, rails, restraints, fall-alert bracelets, and bed alarms.	Recommend the use of mul- tifactorial risk assessment and interventions.
Brady 1993	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To describe the quality assurance efforts to reduce the incidence of geriatric falls in a 172-bed rehabilita- tion center and present an FPP.	Elderly patients from a geriatric rehab unit	Multifactorial intervention	1. Brief two-week intervention on 25 patients: nurses rounded on patients 30 mins prior to identified peak fall times, offer- ing assistance with ambulating, toileting or fluids/food. 2. Multi- factorial intervention based on patient's fall-risk rating: call bell within reach; lowering bed; lock wheels; assess cognitive, sensory, and mobility deficits every shift; side rails; reinforcing patient calls for assistance; assisted toileting and mobility; colored wristband; fall-risk sign above bed and on door; patient leaflet.	Percentage of falls were reduced following a brief two-week intervention that pre-empted the reasons for falling during peak fall times.
Brandis 1999	Australia	Cohort study (Prospective)	To present the "Fall STOP" FPP, implemented to pre- vent falls in an acute care hospital.	Hospital patients, aged 65+	Multifactorial intervention	Falls-management taskforce led by a nurse manager. Multifacto- rial intervention "Fall STOP" including: colored arm band, hip protectors, falls-management plan decision tree, ward posters incorporating decision trees, staff education. Environment modifications.	The number of patient falls decreased in the two-year period following implemen- tation of the Fall STOP program.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Breimaier 2015	Austria	Before-after design	Assess the effectiveness and required time investment of multifaceted and tailored strategies for implementing an evidence-based fall-pre- vention clinial practice guideline into nursing prac- tice in an acute care hospi- tal setting.	Graduate and assistant nurses, ophthalmic, and accident surgery departments	Multicomponent intervention	Multicomponent intervention aimed at staff including six implementation strategies: i) educational meetings (for staff), ii) distribution of written materi- als, iii) local opinion leaders, iv) audit and feedback, v) adapta- tion of nursing record systems, vi) and changes in physical struc- ture, facilities, and equipment.	Tailored multifaceted strate- gies were found to be effec- tive in implementing clinical practice guidelines into nurs ing practice in an acute hospital.
Brown 2004	Australia	Cohort study (Prospective)	To present the development and implementation of a fall and fall-injury prevention strategy in a South Austra- lian country region.	Adults aged 65+ living in the Limestone Coast region of South Australia. Intervention targeted vari- ous settings, including hospitals	Multicomponent intervention	Falls-prevention policy; falls-risk assessment; multidisciplinary assessment of "high-risk fallers"; hip protectors; regular review of medication.	Although number of falls were not compared with a pre-intervention figure, the authors reported a decrease in hospital admissions after a fall. Authors make recom- mendations including "fall- prevention champions," stakeholder committee meetings, good collabora- tion, and skilled admin officer.
Brown 2017	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	A quality improvement project to reduce falls in patients undergoing electro- convulsive therapy by enhancing safety measures through education and a post-treatment protocol.	Patients aged 60+ in the psychiatric ward who had received electroconvulsive therapy	Multicomponent intervention	Multicomponent intervention, including staff and patient edu- cation, observation rounds, part- nerships between psychiatric nurses and mental health techni- cians, and dissemination of patient outcome data to inform nursing practice. Close proximity to nursing station, colored wrist- bands, calling for assistance. Por- table call bells, continual assessment, assistance with ambulation/toileting.	Fall rate was decreasing/ increasing inconsistently. Authors report that this is the first time this interven- tion has been used in elec- troconvulsive therapy patients.
Browne 2004	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To present the redesign of an FPP using a computer- ized information system, the ADAPT Fall Tool, which assesses patient fall risk.	Patients from pediatric, adult, rehabilitation, maternal-child, and psychi- atric services inpatient facilities	Falls-risk assessment	ADAPT Fall Assessment Tool for the acronym: Assess, Disorienta- tion, Activity Post-medication, and Toileting.	Preliminary results show a decrease in fall rates but monitoring is continuing. The ADAPT tool accurately reflected the patients' fall risk and reduced paperwork.
Browne 2014	Ireland	Cohort study (Prospective)	To implement an FPP focused on medication review by a pharmacist in an acute hospital and to evaluate the scope to dose reduce, discontinue, or switch falls-risk medicines to safer alternatives.	Patients from general medical and from care of the elderly wards, with a STRATIFY score of two or more	Other	Medication review with a clinical pharmacist focused on fall pre- vention, specifically to minimize use of medicines associated with falls.	Identifying medicines associ- ated with falls was straight- forward, however, switching to safer alternatives proved challenging. Authors suggest scope for further research.

(Continued)	Continued)										
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations				
Budinger 2003	USA	Review - Narrative	To review technologies for monitoring physiological parameters, including for fall detection.	Aimed at hospital patients	Wearable detection device	Several fall-detection systems reviewed.	Wireless telemetry will make monitoring more efficient and more reliable, and in some cases, bring improved health care at a major reduction in cost.				
Bunn 2014	UK	Review - Systematic	A systematic review to eval- uate the effectiveness of fall-prevention interventions for older people with men- tal health problems being cared for across all settings.	Older people with mental health conditions	Other	Patient assessment, non-pharma- cological management plan, staff education, minimizing restraint use, communication, behavioral strategies and education, written and video-based intervention materials and one-to-one follow- up with a physiotherapist, joined up assessments by OT and dietician.	Single interventions were not effective in reducing fall incidence in older people with cognitive impairment. One multifactorial interven- tion was identified and found a reduction in falls in people with dementia.				
Burleigh 2007	UK	RCT	To determine whether vita- min D supplementation is effective in reducing fall numbers in older hospital inpatients.	Patients admitted to gen- eral assessment and reha- bilitation in the acute geriatric unit. Aged 65+	Other	Supplementation with 800 IU cholecalciferol (Vitamin D) and 1200 mg of calcium carbonate once daily.	Supplementation with vita- min D and calcium did not result in a decrease in falls. Authors suggest this may be due to the short treatment period and insufficient par- ticipant numbers.				
Burston 2015	Australia	Cohort study (Prospective)	To examine the relationship between the implementa- tion of a transforming care initiative and inpatient falls.	Patients from acute care surgical units	Multicomponent intervention	A "bundle of interventions" was used, including behind-the-bed whiteboards, bedside handover, color coded charts, multidisci- plinary team meetings, clinical communication strategies, staff identification signs, protected meal times, staff reward/recogni- tion, poster showing acceptable staff behavior, staff resource traffic light.	Inconsistent results regard- ing falls and practice changes between surgical units. More focus on how each unit adapts the prac- tice changes.				
Cabilan 2014	Australia	Before-after design	Implementation project aim- ing to highlight importance of accurate falls-risk assess- ment, promptness of risk- assessment, implementa- tion, and adherence to falls- prevention strategies.	Patients and staff in the medical oncology and neu- rosurgical departments	Multicomponent intervention	Prompt falls-risk assessment using STRATIFY tool, identify high-risk patients, review falls- prevention strategy frequently, medication review, routine uri- nary tract infection screening, toileting plan of care, routine physiotherapy review, mobility limitations communicated to staff and patients, education, encourage functional activities and exercise, safe environment, minimize use of restraints and bedrails. Shift falls champion nominated; falls badges worn by staff and educational pamphlet.	Appears to be a small reduc- tion in falls pre-post project implementation, however, no statistical tests were per- formed.				

(Continued)	Continued)										
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations				
Cameron 2012	Australia	Review - Systematic	A systematic review to assess the effectiveness of interventions designed to reduce falls by older people in case facilities and hospi- tals; specifically RCTs.	Older individuals (average age 65)	Other	Hospital single interventions included: exercise; medication interventions (vitamin D and cal- cium supplementation); furnish- ing adaptations (carpeted floors, low-low beds); communication aids (colored high-risk ID brace- let, bed-exit alarms); staff train- ing; service model changes (computer-based fall-prevention toolkit, behavioral advisory ser- vice); education. Hospital multi- factorial interventions.	In relation to hospital patients, providing physio- therapy in subacute wards, patient education on falls risk and risk reduction strat- egies, and multifactorial interventions can reduce the risk of falling.				
Cameron 2018	Australia	Review - Systematic	To assess the effectiveness of interventions designed to reduce the incidence of falls in older people in care facil- ities and hospitals.	Older people (most 65+) in residential or nursing care facilities, or hospitals	Multiple technologies	RCTs were reviewed and the following interventions are dis- cussed: exercise; medication review; Vitamin D supplementa- tion; additional physiotherapy; environment/assistive technology (furniture adaptations, bed-exit alarms, ID bracelet); service model change; knowledge inter- ventions; multifactorial interventions.	Multifactorial interventions in hospital and vitamin D supplementation in care facilities may reduce the rate of falls. The evidence regarding exercise, additional physiotherapy, and bed-sen- sor alarms is unclear.				
Campbell 2006	USA	Other	To describe the identifica- tion and multidisciplinary approach to reduce trans- fer-related falls in hospital patients.	Patients and staff from a stoke unit	Education/training	A "transfer clinic" was set up once weekly for multidisciplinary staff to meet together with the patient requiring transfer, and together discuss and practice a safe transfer technique. Includes formal communication of results (techniques, recommended equipment, level of assistance for each type of transfer).	Falls related to patient trans- fers decreased, with anec- dotal evidence of positive feedback from patients and families.				
Cangany 2015	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To describe the Clinical Scene Investigator academy project "No Fall Zone," which aimed to determine whether improved educa- tion, together with a falls contract and bed fall-pre- vention signs, would reduce fall numbers.	All staff and patients in the medical progressive care unit	Multicomponent intervention	Staff education related to falls policy, documentation require- ments, the MFS; education via video examples. Development and implementation of a patient/family fall teaching con- tract. Fall signs on ceiling above patient's bed.	The total number of falls, fall rates, and cost per fall all decreased after imple- menting the intervention.				

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Capan 2007	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To describe the processes involved in implementing an inpatient FPP.	Hospital-wide patients	Multifactorial intervention	Fall-risk assessment (seven risk factors) and prevention tool with suggested fall-prevention inter- ventions to choose from. These include: colored wrist bands, door sign, family/patient educa- tion, hip protector, assess for orthostatic hypotension, low bed, bed/chair alarm, physio- therapy/occupational therapy, self-release belt (restraint), assessment of and assistance with toileting, medication review.	Fall rates have continued to decrease since the imple- mentation of the fall-risk assessment and prevention tool.
Caporusso 2009	Italy	Emerging technol- ogy development	To introduce the 'Fallarm', a system for remote manage- ment of a person's risk of falling and fall prevention, and demonstrate its applica- bility in clinical and home settings.	Piloted on hospital and community patients	Wearable detection device	Fallarm of a wearable device fitted to the wrist and waist. It contains an activity monitor (acceleration sensor) and a sys- tem that monitors and assesses activity to continually assess risk of falling; providing continual feedback to the patient regard- ing their level of risk. It also detects and reports any occur- ring falls.	There were no falls reported over the short 10-hour test period. Patients were more accepting of the wrist worn device.
Carroll 2009	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To minimize modifiable risk factors and identify patients at risk of falling in a hospital setting.	Hospital patients	Multifactorial intervention	A mixture of multicomponent and multifactorial interventions following a falls-risk assessment. Interventions included: identifi- cation of person at risk (door sign, armband, star on assign- ment board and not on com- puter), monitoring (hourly rounding, frequent ambulation, encouragement to call for help, frequent toileting), physical envi- ronment (call light within reach, bed/chair alarms, low beds, min- imizing clutter), patient-specific interventions (avoidance of blad- der catheters, double-sided slip- pers, removal of unnecessary intravenous lines, activity apron for dementia patients, avoid sedatives, back rub and warm milk at bedtime). Intervention implemented following educa- tional sessions for staff regarding the FPP.	Fall rates have been fluctu- ating since the beginning of the project, with a signifi- cant decrease in the three months after implementa- tion. Authors highlight the need to remain vigilant and reassess frequently to main- tain fall prevention.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Carroll 2010	USA	Qualitative study	To explore patients' experi- ence of a fall and discuss ways of preventing falls in acute care hospitals.	Hospital patients within 48 hours of falling	N/A	Patients who fell were inter- viewed (semi-structured), within 48 hours post fall, regarding their fall experience, injury, being informed or not about their fall risk, and their thoughts on fall- prevention methods.	The need to toilet coupled with loss of balance and unexpected weakness were the main reasons for falling. Patients identified that they wanted to be more involved in their fall-prevention strat- egy. Authors highlight need for nurses to clarify that patients are not "bothering" them when they call for help.
Carroll 2012	USA	Historically con- trolled trial	To evaluate the effective- ness of an electronic fall- prevention toolkit for pro- moting documentation of fall-risk status and fall-pre- vention interventions.	Adult inpatients	Other	Reviewed records from interven- tion hospitals which received the Fall TIPS prevention toolkit. This uses the MFS and provides fall- prevention decision support, communication at the point-of- care and nursing documentation. Control group had usual care.	Fall TIPS system facilitated better documentation of a fall-prevention plan of care.
Chaabane 2007	UK	Text and opinion	To discuss issues relating to falls prevention in older adults with dementia and strategies for prevention.	Aimed at hospital patients	Other	Discusses risk assessment, including balance, mobility, phys- ical presentation, sleep patterns, falls history, medication, compre- hension and co-operation, use of aids/physical interventions, diag- nosis. Interventions discussed include changes to environment (quick clean up of spills, cordon- ing off wet areas, avoid bed rail use and instead have better night time supervision, noise reduction), ward design (use of primary colors, daylight, low and higher level spot lighting, shock absorbing floors and floor cover- ings, non-slip flooring, eye level age-appropriate signs, central observation nursing stations, visual aids and adequate provi- sion and use of space), patient supervision, medication review, recreational social or educational activities, exercise, and post-fall review.	Identifies importance of risk assessment and discusses interventions aimed at dementia patients, specifi- cally considering cognitive functioning.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Chan 2014	France	Emerging technol- ogy development	To present a wireless mobil- ity monitoring system and report on pilot trials in an Alzheimer's unit to deter- mine mobility behavior of patients.	Aimed at hospital patients and community	Wearable detection device	Wearable and stationary fall- detection device. The wireless ZigBee tag (connected to a wire- less network linked to a PC) was worn by participants to monitor their location and movements in relation infrared presence multi- sensor monitoring system set up in their room and on the ward.	Estimated accuracy of 80% for activity detection by the system.
Changqing 2015	Singapore	Review - Systematic	A review to identify best available evidence for effec- tiveness of nursing fall-risk assessment tools, interven- tions to reduce incidence of falls, and common risk fac- tors of adult psychiatric falls patients.	Patients aged 18 to 65 years old and diagnosed with a mental illness	Falls-risk assessment	Present evidence relating to fall- risk assessment tools and pre- vention strategies.	Evidence for the effective- ness of fall-risk assessment tools and prevention strate- gies in psychiatric patients was inconclusive. Reviewing medications may be impor- tant in this group. Authors identify need for more research.
Chattopadhyay 2011	UK	Cohort study (Prospective)	To report on an FPP intro- duced in an aged care ward.	Patients in an aged ward	Multicomponent intervention	Used four prevention principles: i) falls history, ii) medication review, iii) appropriate footwear, and iv) call bell in sight and reach. Practice reviewed on monthly basis.	After four months of imple- mentation, over 50% of patients were receiving all the components of the pre- vention strategy. Monthly fall number reduced.
Choi 2011	USA	Review - Systematic	A systematic review to assess the effectiveness and characteristics of fall-preven- tion interventions imple- mented in hospitals.	Hospital patients	Multiple technologies	Three main characteristics identi- fied: i) the physical environment, ii) the care process and culture, and ii) technology-related inter- ventions. Others include car- peted flooring, bedrail reduction, nightlight, regular toileting, med- ication review and modification, identification bracelets, vitamin D and calcium supplementation, exercise, patient education, vol- unteer companions, bed alarm, unit and patient room design, flooring.	Authors conclude that multi- systemic interventions, which take into account environment related inter- ventions, can be more efficient.
Chou 2013	Taiwan	Emerging technol- ogy development	To design a real-time, accel- erometer-based, lying-to-sit sensing system to detect patients at risk of falling.	Aimed at hospital and community	Wearable detection device	Accelerometer attached to the chest that senses when a patient is moving from lying to sitting (using a tilt sensing technology). An alarm is triggered when a patient's tilt angle suggest that they are sitting up (potentially to get up).	The system was successful in sensing when the tilt angle changed from lying to sitting and sending out alerts. Needs to be tested on patients.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Christy 2017	USA	Text and opinion	To examine falls in older adults and discuss nursing interventions that can be implemented to prevent falls.	N/A	Multiple technologies	Multiple interventions reported, including: bed brakes always locked, remove clutter, keep floors dry, bed in lowest posi- tion, personal items in reach, bedside commodes, assisted toi- leting, transfer devices, call light within reach, sitter, targeted interventions, cognitive, conti- nence, and orthostatic hypoten- sion assessments and medication reviews, non-skid socks, asses- sing patient/family fear of falling (education on how to decrease this), walking aids.	Standard interventions, such as socks and low beds, can be effective but should be used together with individu- ally targeted interventions.
Clarke 2012	USA	Cohort study (Retrospective)	To determine if a nurse-led, pre-operative patient educa- tion program reduced patient falls after primary total knee replacement.	Hospital patients who underwent primary total knee replacement surgery	Education/training	Nurse-led, pre-operative patient education session focusing on fall prevention after surgery.	Patients in the intervention group had fewer falls than controls. Identified need for further research to deter- mine whether this beneficial effect is maintained after discharge.
Clyburn 2011	USA	Review - Narrative	A review comparing falls in community and in hospitals and comparing interven- tions.	Aimed at hospital and community	Multiple technologies	Multiple interventions discussed, including: medical interventions (delirium prevention, nutrition, medication review, vision/eye care) and physical interventions (bedrails, electronic bed, elec- tronic bed sensors/alarms, bed height, toilet seat height, foot- wear, flooring, identification bra- celets, bed trapeze, grab rails, room and floor illumination, scheduled toileting, access to call light, bedside commode, unobstructed environment, exer- cise, and balance training).	The authors conclude that although many of these interventions are effective, they are not evidence based (but rather designed using expert opinion or statistical trends) and further investi- gation is needed.

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)	)						
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Cohen 1991	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To reduce fall numbers among neuroscience patients with the use of an FPP and to increase awareness.	Hospital patients	Multifactorial intervention	Fall-risk assessment to identify patients, implementation of pre- vention techniques and re-evalu- ation of patients for continued or new risk factors. Fall-preven- tion techniques include: fall pre- caution sign on door and bed, kardex flagged, patient/family education, orientation to envi- ronment, instruction on call for help, ensuring a clutter-free safe environment, assistance with toi- leting on hourly rounds, provid- ing opportunities for mobility under strict supervision, call bell and personal articles in reach, side rails up at all times, night light, mediation review, low bed. Interventions for patients with altered mental status included chest or limb restraint use, a high visibility room. Staff education.	Following implementation of the FPP, the fall rates reduced and continue to be lower. Authors suggest that increasing staff awareness through education had a positive outcome.
Coles 2005	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To apply the Failure Mode Effects and Criticality Analy- sis to reduce the occurrence of falls in hospital.	Hospital patients	Multicomponent intervention	Following a Failure Mode Effects and Criticality Analysis approach, improvements to a patient FPP were identified and applied including: staff orientation and training procedures on patient fall risk; fall-risk assessment and reassessment; fall-prevention patient care plan developed; patient care implementation (educate patient/family in fall prevention and on patient fall risk, fall-risk tag on door and patients chart, orient patient to surroundings, prompt respond to call lights, bed alarm, toileting assistance): and incident reports after a fall.	There was a 42% reduction in the number of patient falls following implementa- tion of the improvements identified using the Failure Mode Effects and Criticality Analysis.

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Coppedge 2016	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To describe the develop- ment and implementation of a falls-prevention tool in hospital.	Piloted on hospital patients from medical- renal unit and oncology unit	Multicomponent intervention	A yellow fall-prevention tool in the form of a poster placed at the patient's bed, was designed to facilitate patient/family fall- prevention education, fall-risk assessment, individualized fall- prevention plan of care, commu- nication of the fall risk, and plan of care. Four key risk factors are addressed: i) history of falls, ii) toileting needs, iii) mobility pro- blems/assistive devices needed, iv) condition changes that may contribute to falls.	The yellow fall-prevention tool facilitated good commu nication and guided discus- sion of individual patient's risk and plan of care and resulted in a reduction in fa rate on both wards.
Corbett 1992	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To describe the application of systematic methods and principles of continuous quality improvement to establish an FPP.	Hospital patients	Multicomponent intervention	Quality improvement team pro- cess used to identify risks for falls and corrective actions to be taken. Risk assessment on admission with flow chart com- pleted every eight hours to reas- sess patient risk and nursing interventions. Colored wrist- bands, chair stickers, and signs on doors and beds of at-risk patients. Pamphlet on fall pre- vention given to patient on admission and to take home. All employees given a card review- ing their role in fall prevention.	The fall numbers reduced dramatically after the first year, however, they began to increase in the second year. Following a further review and corrective actions, the number of falls decreased again.
Cournan 2016	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	Compare falls rates before and after implementation of video monitoring system.	Adults with brain injury	Stationary fall-detec- tion device	Ten ceiling-fixed cameras and five portable cameras + video monitoring room with techni- cian. Technician can speak to patients over system and alert staff.	Annual falls reduced from 97 to 65 following introduc- tion of the system. Staff and families reported satisfac- tion.
Coussement 2008	Belgium	Review - Systematic	To determine characteristics and effectiveness of hospital FPPs.	Any hospital setting	Single, multicompo- nent and multifacto- rial interventions	Vitamin D; alarm bracelet; bed alarm; flooring; multicomponent and multifactorial interventions	No conclusive evidence on effectiveness. Further research required.

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)				1			
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Coussement 2009	Belgium	Other - survey	To present findings relating to fall-prevention practices in Belgian hospitals.	Staff (geriatricians and head nurses) from Belgian hospitals with a geriatric department	Multiple technologies	Fall-risk screening (Tinetti test, Timed Up and Go test, STRAT- IFY); multidisciplinary fall meet- ings; restraints (fixed tray table, bedrails, belts, geriatric chairs, ankle or wristbands, restraint vests); patient interventions (information, mobility/balance, medication, shoe assessment, hip protector, education, occupa- tional therapy); infrastructure (anti-skid floor, balustrades, lighting, low-low beds, chairs or benches in hallways, room close to nurses station).	Although most hospitals recorded falls, many of the did not use the informatio to help improve their pre- vention strategies. Few hos pitals used a standard fall- assessment plan (for after fall). Almost all hospitals used physical restraints. Authors advise against "set tling" for current practices and recommend regular update of practice.
Coyle 2016	USA	Before-after design	To describe one hospital's process improvement model to change culture and pre- vent patient falls.	Hospital staff and patients	Multicomponent intervention	An improvement strategy was used called DMAIC (define, mea- sure, analyze, improve, and con- trol) to improve the process and effectiveness of a fall-prevention strategy. Interventions included: bed and chair alarms for high risk; reinforcing a "no-pass zone" ensuring all call lights are answered; supervised toileting for high-risk patients; hourly rounding; diversional activity bags for confused high-risk fall- ers; standard set of communica- tion aids (falling star on door, colored wristband, stop sign within view of patient/family as reminder to call for assistance, fall-risk stickers on medical notes and records); daily huddles; con- tinuous education; access to and training on high-low beds; updating fall-prevention policy; multidisciplinary involvement; post-fall debriefs.	Following implementation the FPP, fall rates have decreased. The program w so successful that other he pitals have now adopted tl approach. The authors emphasize the importance of a strong partnership between patients, family, and medical team.

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Cozart 2009	USA	Review - Narrative	To evaluate, summarize and synthesize literature on the incidence of falls and the effectiveness of preventive strategies for hospitalized elderly.	Hospitalized elderly patients	Other	Environmental changes (low- position beds, hipsters, bed/chair sensors/alarms, enclosure beds, fall T-shirts, motion detectors, video cameras, lighted grab rails, recessed flooring, non-skid shower mats, non-skid floorings and waxes, strip lighting similar to movie theatres or jet planes, beveled-edged floor mats, non- slip bathroom and shower mats, along with conventional fall-pre- vention modalities such as room/bedside poster, chart fall- alert sticker, fall-alert bracelet, non-skid double-sided socks, non-exit side rails [raised for support], exit side rail up for support and foot rail down at all times, movable hand rail [Hemi- walker within reach], bed tra- peze, and grab rails).	Authors suggest that the cost of "fall-proofing" a room is more cost effective compared to the cost of a fall.
Cumbler 2013	USA	Review - Systematic	A systematic review of patient fall-risk stratification methods and fall-prevention interventions.	Hospital patients	Multiple technologies	Risk stratification tools (STRAT- IFY, the MFS, and the Hendrich Fall Risk Model); staff and patient education; displaying patient fall risk (wristband, poster); exercise; safety while ambulating (assistive devices, footwear, eye wear); medication use (calcium, vitamin D); toilet- ing; sock alarm; carpet flooring; bed-chair alarms.	Authors conclude that there is no consensus on risk stratification tools and more research is required. They were not able to identify one intervention type that was most efficient.
Cumming 2008	Australia	RCT	To determine the efficacy of a targeted multifactorial FPP in elderly care wards with relatively short lengths of stay.	Patients from elderly care wards	Multifactorial intervention	Targeted multifactorial interven- tion, delivered by nurse and physiotherapist, that included a falls-risk assessment, staff and patient education, drug review, modification of bedside and ward environments, walking aids, an exercise programme (balance and functional), eyewear, increased supervision and sock alarms for selected patients.	There were no differences in fall rates between interven- tion and control elderly care wards following a targeted multifactorial intervention for fall prevention. Authors suggest that innovative approaches are needed as well as a whole system approach to prevention strategies.

# $\textcircled{\sc 0}$ 2021 JBI. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

2569

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Dacenko-Grawe 2008	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To describe the develop- ment of the Saint Francis Hospital Fall Prevention Tool and report on the effect on fall rates.	Hospital patients	Multicomponent intervention	The Saint Francis Hospital safety assessment tool scored patients on each of 10 areas: i) history of falls, ii) age 65 and older, iii) impaired cognition, iv) active bowel preparation, v) activity intolerance, vi) elimination, viii) impaired mobility, viii) sensory deficits, ix) medications, and x) sleep patterns. This was reas- sessed on every shift. Other interventions: fall-risk colored bracelet and door sign; patient accompanied to toilet and bed; bed alarm; non-skid footwear; multilingual safety instruction handout to patients/families; signs advising not to get out of bed alone.	Fall number decreased fol- lowing implementation of the fall-prevention protocol. The number of falls per 1000 patient days decreased by 50% without rebound over five years.			
DalMolin 2018	Italy	Before-after design	To explore the effect of "primary nursing" on nurs- ing-sensitive patient out- comes, staff-related outcomes, and organization- related outcomes.	Adult patients (aged 18+) and nurses in the wards where the care plan was implemented	Multifactorial intervention	Implementation of a "primary nursing" strategy whereby one "primary" nurse is responsible for the nursing care of certain patients throughout their entire hospital stay. Every patient was allocated a primary nurse; an individual nursing care plan was drawn up; and, where necessary, an individualized discharge plan developed.	Fall percentage decreased from 2.4% to 1.9% following the implementation of a patient-focused care strat- egy, "primary nursing," how- ever, not significantly. There were positive outcomes for staff and organization also.			
Danielsen 2016	Norway	Emerging technol- ogy development	To present an experimental approach for recognizing bedside events, and prevent patient falls, using a ceiling- mounted, longwave infrared array combined with an ultrasonic sensor device.	Aimed at hospital patients	Stationary fall- detection device	Ceiling-mounted, infrared sen- sors and ultrasonic processing device placed above the hospital bed. System can register when a person moves from lying to sitting and attempts to get out of bed.	The ceiling-mounted, infra- red sensor and ultrasonic sensor system correctly iden- tified 113 out of 130 posi- tion change events and the sensitivity and accuracy fig- ures suggest this to be a promising emerging technol- ogy for fall detection.			

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Dean 2012	UK	Text and opinion	To discuss the FallSafe proj- ect aimed at reducing falls in inpatients settings.	Aimed at hospital patients	Multifactorial intervention	Care bundles gradually imple- mented in practice, consisting of: a basic care bundle (ask about falls history and fear of falling, check if footwear is safe, call bell in reach and able to use, clear communication of mobility status, personal items in reach, walking aids in reach, check for new night sedation, cognitive screen if aged over 70 years). Check use/not use of bedrails, no trip or slip hazards and second-level bundle (delir- ium screen, medication review, urinary tract infection test, conti- nence assessment/toilet, offering routine, supine and standing blood pressure, manual pulse for arrhythmias, review for medical causes and osteoporosis, physio- therapy and occupational ther- apy review, eyesight basic screen, depression screen, bed in optimal position [observable bay or nearer a toilet]).	The author reports that fol- lowing implementation of the FallSafe project there was an average 25% decrease in falls across the participating wards, as reported by the Health Foundation. High staff turn- over and number of tempo- rary staff was highlighted as a challenge in the project.
Dellasega 2001	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To use a consultative model geriatric assessment team to identify specific patient problems amendable to intervention, rather than diagnoses, and evaluate the outcomes of tailored interventions.	Patients aged 70+ admit- ted to hospital for treat- ment of an acute medical condition	Multifactorial intervention	Geriatric assessment team con- sisting of a master's-prepared geriatric clinical nurse specialist, pharmacist, nutritionist, social worker, and primary physician. Each performed a comprehen- sive assessment with patients, identifying discipline-specific pro- blems and approaches to address them. Team met to dis- cuss interventions, including for fall prevention: nursing mea- sures, bed check, physical ther- apy evaluation, orthostatic blood pressure, assistive devices.	Although it is unclear from the results, the authors state that there was an improve- ment in the problem codes, including fall risk, for patients receiving the intervention.
Demontiero 2014	Australia	Review - Narrative	To review evidence relating to postoperative prevention of falls in older adults with fragility fractures.	Aimed at hospital patients	N/A	Extensive number of technolo- gies reviewed and discussed in relation to postoperative falls prevention.	Authors conclude that falls- risk assessment should be common practice in the pre- and postoperative peri- ods and suggest areas of focus for interventions tar- geting secondary fracture prevention.

2571

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
deMorton 2007	Australia	RCT	To examine the effects of additional exercise on hospi- tal and patient outcomes for acutely hospitalized older medical patients.	Patients aged 65+, with a general medical condition, admitted to acute care hospital	Other	In addition to usual care, inter- vention group received exercise individually tailored by a physio- therapist, lasting 20 to 30 min- utes, twice a day, throughout their hospital stay. Exercise tar- geted upper limb, lower limb, and trunk.	No significant differences between the number of falls in control and intervention groups following an exercise intervention. Authors sug- gest that the inclusion of more physiotherapy care as standard in many hospitals may have precluded the effect associated with addi- tional exercise.
Dempsey 2004	Australia	Other	To perform a practice review of an FPP in an acute care hospital, five years after implementation to determine the sustain- ability of the effect.	NA	Multifactorial intervention	Reassessment of fall-prevention strategy following a period of five years. Original intervention involved: risk assessment tool (injury risk assessment form); choice of interventions matching individual risk factors; graphic alerting of "at risk patients"; patient and staff education.	Rise in fall rates in the five years after initial program implementation that exceeded the pre-implemen- tation fall rate. Nurse com- pliance appeared to be one of the main contributors. The authors recommend that the approach to fall prevention should be one that fosters patient care and increases nursing satisfac- tion, in the hope of sustain- ing fall-reduction rates.
Dibardino 2012	USA	Review - Systematic	To examine the available data evaluating multidisci- plinary fall-prevention strat- egies in the acute inpatient setting.	Studies involving acute care hospital inpatients	Multiple technologies	Multidisciplinary fall preventions reviewed. These included: fall- risk assessment; mobility assess- ment and assistance; mobility aid; medication modification; education; fall-risk sign/warning in chart; bedside interventions (bed alarm, bedrails, bed loca- tion/position); toileting schedule; exercise program; frequent bed checks; documented fall-preven- tion plan; ward modifications to patient rooms and bathrooms; hip protectors; sitter; reassess- ment of fallers.	Multidisciplinary fall-preven- tion strategies had a statisti- cally significant impact resulting in reduced fall rates, with a combined odds ratio of 0.9. However, the authors question the clinical relevance of these reduc- tions and whether they are cost effective.
Diduszyn 2008	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To describe the hospital's experience using the Posey Sitter II wireless nurse call fall monitor to reduce falls among elderly inpatients.	Adult hospital patients and nurses	Stationary fall- detection device	A bed alarm system (Posey Sitter II, consisting of alarm unit, mat- tress pad sensor, wireless trans- mitter, beeper carried by nurse) was used on patients at high risk of falls, which alerted the nurse if a patient tried to sit up but also played a recorded message to the patient (such as "please stay in bed").	18% reduction in fall num- ber following the use of the bed alarm system, compared to one year earlier. The majority of nurses thought the device helped to prevent falls.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Digby 2011	Australia	Before-after design	To explore and compare call bell response times between two geriatric facili- ties before and after the introduction of several interventions aimed at fall prevention.	Aimed at nurses and adult patients, hospital	Multicomponent intervention	Interventions were implemented with the aim of answering call bells promptly while at the same time reducing the need for patients to call for nurses. Call bell response data was displayed and discussed frequently to increase awareness; other non- nursing staff were encouraged to answer calls and tend to minor queries not requiring a nurse; scheduled nurse breaks; hourly rounding (pain relief, change in position, toileting); nursing hand- over performed at bedside, including the patient; physiother- apy assistants used in peak morn- ing time for extra assistance.	Only one ward had a decrease in the rate of falls (from 69 to 54). A greater percentage of calls were answered in less than five minutes' post-intervention compared to pre-intervention
Donald 2000	UK	RCT	To compare two flooring types and two modes of physiotherapy in avoiding falls.	All patients admitted for rehabilitation were targeted	Other	Flooring (carpet vs vinyl) and physiotherapy (conventional physiotherapy or additional exer- cise). A hospital-duty carpet (Flo- tex 200) was compared to latex vinyl floor tiling. Conventional physiotherapy, involving once- to twice-daily treatment with func- tional therapy (eg, transfers, walking exercises, dynamic bal- ance) tailored to the patients was compared with specific strengthening exercises (3x10 lifts using hip flexors and ankle dorsiflexors at personal maxi- mum weight twice daily) in addi- tion conventional physiotherapy.	More patients fell in the carpeted group than in the vinyl, favoring vinyl as more protective against falls. Those receiving strength exercises in addition to usual physio- therapy were less likely to fall, but not significantly.
Dong 2005	Japan	Emerging technol- ogy development	To propose a wearable mon- itoring system that mea- sures body movements of bedridden patients.	Aimed at elderly patients but piloted on volunteers	Wearable detection device	A wearable wireless sensor placed on the chest of a volun- teer tracked acceleration and alerted the master computer if "risky" movements potentially leading to a fall or a fall were detected. The volunteer lay on the bed, rolled over, woke up, and walked around the bed.	A system monitoring move- ment of bedridden elderly patients was proposed and tested on a volunteer. The authors suggest this system can be expanded to track multiple patients.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Donoghue 2005	Australia	Cohort study (Prospective)	To determine whether introducing a companion- observer intervention in high-risk inpatients on an acute aged care ward would reduce the rate of falls.	High-risk patients from the acute aged care ward	Sitters	High-risk patients allocated trained volunteer sitters (com- panion observers) in their room who reassured patients and engaged them in conversation, played cards, read aloud, played music, helped with meals, aided with getting personal belongings, and used call bell if patients attempted to ambulate without assistance. Each volunteer stayed for approximately two hours.	Following the introduction of companion observers (or sitters), there was a decrease in the falls rate equating to a 44% reduction in risk. Feedback from fami- lies of patients was positive.
Dover 2006	Australia	Before-after design	To ensure ongoing success and sustainability of a locally driven, multidisciplinary falls-minimization process.	Staff from the aged care and rehabilitation wards	Multicomponent intervention	Education of all staff in the use of FRAT, falls-minimization inter- ventions, and further resources. Falls data reports split up by individual wards to encourage ownership of fall prevention and displayed on noticeboards on wards. Falls minimization steer- ing committee.	Decrease in falls following the implementation of a multidisciplinary approach to fall prevention, although the numbers are not stated.
Dowding 2012	USA	Other	To examine the impact of KP HealthConnect, an elec- tronic health record system, implementation on nursing care process, and outcome measures in hospitals in South California.	Kaiser Permanente–associ- ated hospitals in the north and south California region	Other	An electronic health record sys- tem called KP HealthConnect was implemented across 29 hos- pitals. The system includes com- puterized physician order entry; computerized decision support; communication and documenta- tion of all inpatient and outpa- tient laboratory, pharmacy, and clinical care activities. A secure patient portal allows members to view parts of their record and securely email service providers, order repeat prescriptions, and book appointments.	No changes in fall rates at hospitals following the implementation of an elec- tronic health record system KP HealthConnect. Comple- tion of risk assessment for falls did not change although documentation of falls improved. Identify need for further research on this.
Dyer 2008	Canada	Cohort study (Prospective)	To develop an effective FPP and a falls-risk assessment specific for adult amputee patients.	Patients and staff in the amputee rehabilitation ward	Multifactorial intervention	A revised falls assessment tool including clear process to cus- tomize a prompt intervention plan for those at risk of falling; multidisciplinary review following a fall and plan for future preven- tion; falls data reviewed regu- larly and intervention effectiveness monitored; educa- tion for nursing staff on falls specific to amputees.	Following three months of intervention implementation, there was a 5% decrease in the incidence of falls. Nurs- ing staff reported positively on the usefulness and effec- tiveness of the tools.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Dykes 2009	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To describe the Fall TIPS Toolkit and to report on strategies used to drive adoption of the toolkit in four acute care hospitals.	Acute care hospitals, staff	Multifactorial intervention	Fall TIPS is a web-based applica- tion that aims to establish evi- dence-based linkages between routine nursing fall-risk assess- ment, and structured communi- cation and tailored interventions to prevent patient falls in acute care hospitals. Fall-risk assess- ment performed online and the system suggests evidence-based interventions individualized to the patient-specific risk factors, which the nurse can edit and add to. Generates a bed poster, a plan of care, and an educa- tional handout for patients and family members. A toolkit for spreading the use of Fall TIPS was employed.	There was an improvement in the mean number of fall- risk assessments completed using the toolkit following the intervention as well as other adoption measures. No fall-related data.
Dykes 2009	USA	Qualitative study	Record views of nurses and assistants as to why patients in acute care hospitals fall.	Nursing and assistance staff from different hospi- tal settings but in the same hospital system	N/A	Focus group interviews were performed to gain opinions on fall prevention.	Authors summarize nurses and assistant views and insight on fall prevention with some future recom- mendations for practice.
Dykes 2010	USA	RCT	To investigate whether a FPTK using health informa- tion technology decreases patient falls in hospitals.	Staff and patients from four urban US hospitals	Multifactorial intervention	Fall-prevention toolkit software used information from a fall-risk assessment (MFS), filled out by nurses, to create a tailored set of fall-prevention interventions specific to the patients' risk. The toolkit produced a bed poster, patient education handouts and plans of care.	Using a FPTK resulted in a lower rate of hospital falls compared to usual care. Authors report that the tool was particularly useful in patients over 65. Adherence to protocol outcomes mea- sured above 81%.
Dykes 2017	USA	Before-after design	To describe the process used for pilot testing and promoting adoption and spread of Fall TIPS toolkit.	Piloted on staff/patients at two medical centers	Multifactorial intervention	Strategies to integrate the Fall TIPS toolkit into practice were implemented including engaging stakeholders by leveraging exist- ing shared governance struc- tures, identifying unit champions, holding training ses- sions for all staff, and imple- menting auditing to assess and provide feedback on protocol adherence and patient out- comes. Fall TIPS is a bedside poster to aid clinical decision- making by linking falls risk with evidence-based interventions.	Fall rates decreased in one hospital but slightly increased in the other (which had a low baseline fall rate) following imple- mentation. Staff adherence to the protocol was over 82% and patients became more aware of their falls risk.

(Continued)	Continued)										
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations				
Eckstrom 2016	USA	Before-after design	To describe a project engag- ing an interprofessional teaching team to support interprofessional clinical teams to reduce falls risk in older adults using the Amer- ican Geriatrics Society/Brit- ish Geriatrics Society (AGS/ BGS) guidelines.	Staff from ambulatory, long-term care, hospital, and home health settings	Multifactorial intervention	Interprofessional clinical teams with representatives from medi- cine, nursing, pharmacy, and social work (and others) were given a four-hour training work- shop, developed using AGS/BGS guidelines and the Stopping Elderly Accidents, Deaths and Injuries (STEADI) toolkit, and "coaching" over one year for implementation. Education included evidence-based strate- gies, including fall-risk screening; assessment of gait, balance, orthostatics and other medical conditions; vitamin D supple- mentation; exercise (tai chi); environment assessment; eye- wear and footwear recommen- dations; and medication review and reduction. Clinician teams brainstormed a plan to imple- ment their strategies.	Implementing an interprofes- sional education and fall- prevention protocol had no significant effect on fall rates after nine to 12 months, although they tended to reduce. Most clinical teams were effective in implement- ing all strategies except for vitamin D supplementation.				
Emory 2011	USA	Before-after design	To examine the feasibility of implementing a daily exer- cise program with addition of line dancing in an adult general psychiatric inpatient setting and potential impact on fall rates.	Patients admitted to the hospital during the six months prior to and dur- ing the project	Other	Line dancing for 40 minutes was introduced to the already exist- ing daily "varied exercise pro- gram" in the unit.	The introduction of line dancing to a daily exercise program had a reduced per- centage of patients falling, although the fall rate was not significantly different. Authors suggest their sample size was too small to detect an effect. The program was accessed by 68% of patients, with the only barriers to participation being other medical appointments or religious beliefs.				
Enayati 2014	USA	Emerging technol- ogy development	To implement a web-based application with the ability to review in-depth videos of hospital rooms to investi- gate the chain of events leading to a fall.	Aimed at nurses in hospitals	Stationary fall- detection device	A web-based user interface was developed to aid nurses in post- fall analysis and potential causes by providing an easy-to-use interface for reviewing in-depth video footage from patients' hospital rooms. Nurses simply chose a time and date of inter- est and available footage is displayed.	The new web-based inter- face allowed easier search and review of in-depth video footage for analysis of patient falls.				

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Evans 1998	Australia	Review - Systematic	A systematic review to pres- ent the best available infor- mation for the effectiveness of interventions designed to reduce the incidence of falls in patients during hospitalization.	Review included adult patients in acute care hos- pitals or similar	Multiple technologies	Pressure alarms; identification bracelets; multifactorial interven- tions; learning from evaluation of patient fall data; fall-risk assessment; education; risk of falling diagnosis given; environ- mental issues (reducing clutter, nightlights at bedside and toilet, stabilizing beds and furniture, vertical grab bars near toilets); elimination (frequent toileting, placing near toilets, sitting down to toilet, review laxatives and diuretics); mobility (non-skid footwear, physiotherapy, rise slowly, aided walking, reinforcing activity limits to patients/family, assisted transfer, frequent walk- ing with patients), mental state (sitters and support, low beds), bedrest (breaks on, half bedrails, possessions within reach), medi- cations, wheelchair issues.	The review concluded that the most common approach for fall prevention was the use of multifactorial inter- ventions based on individual risk factors, although evi- dence for their effectiveness is contradicting. No one risk assessment tool could be recommended and those created by institutions were no less accurate than generic tools.			
Evans 1999	Australia	Review - Systematic	To summarize the best avail- able evidence on the effec- tiveness of interventions designed to reduce the inci- dence of patient falls in hospitals.	Adult patients	Multiple technologies	Evidence for the following is presented: bed alarm, ambula- tory alarms (ambularms attached to the patient's leg and are triggered if the leg is shifted from the horizontal position to a dependent angle of 45); fall alarms; patient identification bracelets; falls-risk ID; individu- ally tailored multifactorial inter- ventions based on fall risk.	Overall, the methodological quality of studies was found to be poor and there appeared to be little evi- dence of the effective inter- ventions. Bed alarms and identification bracelets did not reduce falls while multi- factorial interventions had contradictory results.			
Ferguson 2018	USA	Quality improvement	Evaluation of a multi-year, multidisciplinary organiza- tion-wide delirium initiative.	Adult patients	Multicomponent	Delirium prevention, identifica- tion, and treatment intervention.	Delirium falls reduced over study period.			
Ferrari 2012	USA	Emerging technol- ogy development	To determine if a motion detection system, the 5S- MDS, was clinically feasible for hospitalized older adult patients.	Adults aged 65+ admitted to hospital	Wearable detection device	Patients wore wrist, ankle, and chest sensors over a period of four hours in their hospital room while performing a series of prescribed movements. The wire- less sensors transmitted informa- tion on patient movement, which was displayed as an avatar on screen.	The system was accurate in monitoring movement with- out time delay. Following wear of the sensors, the skin integrity was maintained and on average the accep- tance of the sensors was high (score of 4.77 out of 5).			

© 2021 JBI. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

K. Cooper et al.

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Flanders 2009	USA	Review - Narrative	A review of current evi- dence about falls in inten- sive care, including the link between falls, early mobility, and safe patient handling.	Hospital patients	Multiple technologies	Authors discuss general fall- reduction efforts, including: risk assessment; ensuring the patient is routinely oriented to the envi- ronment; call light is within ready reach; maintaining beds in the lowest possible position; providing adequate lighting; non- skid footwear; assistive devices. Also discuss safety strategies in specific cases of patients as well as workplace safety for critical care staff.	Authors conclude that evi- dence of effective fall-pre- vention strategies is minima and emphasize the impor- tance of monitoring falls an effectiveness of prevention strategies as well as publish ing research.			
Foley 2014	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	A pilot study to determine whether interdisciplinary recommendations provided by the Acute Care for Elders team were effective in pre- venting falls.	Patients aged 65+	Multifactorial intervention	The Acute Care for Elders team evaluates patients using an inter- disciplinary approach and gives recommendations for fall pre- vention as part of the geriatric syndromes evaluation. Interven- tions include medication changes, increased mobility, and consideration of cognitive defi- cits.	There were no falls recorded during the month of data collection, with patients being assessed by an inter- disciplinary team.			
Fonda 2006	Australia	Quality improvement	To determine whether the rate of falls and associated serious injuries in a hospital aged care setting can be reduced with a multi-strat- egy prevention approach.	Patients from ACE, geriat- ric evaluation and man- agement, and restorative care wards	Multifactorial intervention	A multifactorial intervention was implemented including the fol- lowing areas: bedside falls (toi- leting review, fitted bed sheets, bedside mats, extended call bell chord, non-slip chair mats, low beds, bed alarms, bed poles for easy self-transfer); increasing surveillance (falls information for family, volunteer program, early feeding of dependent patients, wristbands, bed chart); reducing night falls (glow in the dark commodes and toilet signs, night sensor light); education (fall-pre- vention folder on ward, compli- ance audits, staff brochures, falls-risk assessment and alter sticker, promote team ownership of falls, protocol for post-fall review, fall reporting at meet- ings); general environment (review footwear, reduce clutter, bathroom door magnets, non- slip bathroom flooring, alter floor cleaning, appropriate seat height).	Following the implementa- tion of a multifactorial inter- vention, there was a 19% decrease in falls over a three-year period. Staff com- pliance increased as well as staff satisfaction.			

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
France 2017	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To describe the develop- ment and implementation of a multicomponent, fall- prevention strategy in a Tennessee hospital.	Hospital patients from neuroscience acute care, stem cell transplant, ACE units	Multicomponent intervention	Multidisciplinary quality improvement teams formed to implement intervention using Plan-Do-Study-Act cycles. Follow- ing six cycles, a series of inter- ventions were implemented. Signage was provided targeting both patients and staff to com- municate the aims of the inter- vention and intervention specifics, including purposeful rounding and targeted toileting.	A multidisciplinary approach to falls prevention resulted in a reduction in the num- ber and rate of falls, with a 47% reduction in falls with harm in the year following hospital-wide rollout of the intervention.			
Galbraith 2011	Ireland	Cohort study (Prospective)	To examine the impact of a multidisciplinary FPP on the incidence of inpatient falls and fall-related injuries in an orthopedic hospital dur- ing a six-year period, and perform a cost analysis.	All patients admitted to hospital within a five-year period and for one year post-intervention	Multifactorial intervention	A multidisciplinary taskforce established to develop and implement an FPP. The interven- tion involved fall-risk assessment on admission (Falls Risk Assess- ment Scale for the Elderly); at risk patients placed close to nursing stations; staff education and training (role outlines, patient transfer, mobility device, and adequate footwear provi- sion); frequent toileting ward modifications (non-slip mats, hand rails, better lighting); infor- mation leaflets on wards; risk alert signs; assistance to ambu- late; gait and balance physio- therapy; commodes and urinals; family/patient education; two- monthly review of FPP.	There was a 30.6% reduction in the relative risk of falls from the year before to year after the tailored FPP was implemented in an orthope- dic patient population. There was a reduction in the cost of care as a result of falls in the post-intervention years.			
Gallinagh 2001	Ireland	Qualitative study	To explore the perceptions of relatives whose family had side rails used during their care in an older-person ward.	Family (sibling, spouse/ partner or offspring) of patients in elderly care ward who were provided with side rails	Other	Families' perceptions of the use of side rails (cot sides and bedrails). Simplified version of the family interview guide used to gain perceptions.	There were mixed percep- tions towards side rail use. Most comments were relat- ing to their perceived safety and usefulness rather than real past events. Some nega- tivity was expressed in terms of freedom and comfort, and suggestions were made.			

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)	Continued)										
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations				
Garman 2005	USA	Other	To examine the evidence on fall-prevention strategies related to older people's views and experiences raised in McInnes and Askie's review to assist nurses in informing their practice related to patient teaching and prevention fol- lowing discharge.	Elderly patients	Multiple technologies	Risk assessment tools; increased socialization; gait and strength training; reinforcement of safety practices for all staff; non-skid footwear; wristband and poster to ID fall risk; frequent toileting; larger doors; handrails in hall- ways; non-glare flooring; varying colors of walls and floors to aid visual and perceptual impairment; night light; creative education; patients can call nurses directly on their work mobiles.	A discussion of potential interventions is given. Asses- sing patients' reluctance for change/compliance and involving patients in the FPP were recommended.				
Gibbons 2013	New Zealand	Audit	To audit current practice in relation to the utilization of the Fall Risk Management Process, implement a plan of action based on findings, and to re-audit practice post-implementation to identify change and to inform further work.	Patients in the acute assessment unit of the internal medicine department	Education/training	Focus board located on the ward showing the fall-prevention strat- egies and hospital policies, and containing fall resources (to be used in discussions with staff, patients, and family). Staff train- ing (two hours) addressing the 3Ps (predict, prevent, protect) along with a self-directed train- ing package.	Following a re-audit of prac- tice, there were improve- ments in most audit criteria relating to fall prevention. This approach was successful in improving practice.				
Gibson 2016	UK	Emerging technol- ogy development	To present and evaluate an accelerometer-based, multi- ple classifier, fall detection and diagnostic system implemented on a single wearable Shimmer device for remote health monitor- ing.	Aimed at hospital and community	Wearable detection device	A wireless accelerometer device (Shimmer) was worn on the chest, which transmits 3D accel- eration data to a base station receiver and can raise an alarm to a hospital or designated carer if a patient has fallen.	The system showed high accuracy and discrimination of fall events.				
Giles 2006	Australia	Before-after design	To evaluate a fall-prevention strategy that had volunteers "sit" with patients identified as being at high risk of falling.	Volunteers from the com- munity	Sitters	Patients identified as high falls risk were placed in a four bed "safety bay" where trained vol- unteer companions (sitters) were placed to closely observe them. Volunteers provided social inter- action, diversional, and engaging activities; alerted staff when patients were at risk of falling; and generally complemented the roles of paid staff. A multidisci- plinary team, including volunteer coordinators, developed clear guidelines and role outline for the volunteer companion role.	There was a slight increase in the rate of falls after volunteer companions were introduced, however, no falls occurred on the volunteers' watch. There was an overall positive response from fam- ily, staff, and volunteers regarding satisfaction and their role in fall prevention. The volunteers donated a total of AU\$56,866 over the implementation period.				

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Giles 2015	Australia	Review - Systematic	To describe the process used to determine what constitutes best practice in the area of fall prevention in acute care settings, and the development of audit criteria for use in acute hospital settings.	Patients aged over 18 years in acute hospital settings	Multiple technologies	A systematic review was per- formed to inform development of audit criteria for fall preven- tion in acute hospital settings. Complex domains were identi- fied that required attention in fall prevention: the physical envi- ronment, hospital culture and care processes, and the use of technology. Fall-risk assessment tools and post-fall assessment tools also important.	Eight audit criteria were developed as a result of a systematic review of system- atic reviews of fall-preven- tion literature.			
Godlock 2016	USA	Before-after design	Report on a project empha- sizing shared accountability and adherence to a stan- dardized process by a patient safety team to pre- vent falls in inpatients.	Staff from six inpatient nursing hospital units, including RNs, licensed vocational nurses, and cer- tified nursing assistants	Multicomponent intervention	A Fall Safety Team was formed, including a fall champion. The team were educated on fall- prevention interventions and regular meetings were held to review the team's progress. Interventions included a post-fall checklist and multidisciplinary review including the family/ patient.	Following the implementa- tion of a patient safety team, the fall rate fell from a baseline rate of 1.9 to 0.69 falls per 1000 bed days soon after the intervention and remained just below the baseline rate after a year. The authors conclude that the safety team were suc- cessful in implementing change.			
Goldsmith 2009	USA	Other	To test performance usabil- ity of a web-based FPTK to identify errors and/or pro- blems with the system that may negatively impact cur- rent acute care workflows.	Clinical nurses using the toolkit	Multifactorial intervention	Evaluation of a web-based FPTK, which takes into account an individual's fall risk and provides decision support that creates a tailored evidence-based plan of care for use across acute care settings.	Nurses provided useful and positive information for the application developers to improve the systems accep- tance for future end users.			

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Goljar 2016	Slovenia	Cohort study (Prospective)	To evaluate the effective- ness of an FPP, based on a fall-risk assessment, for stroke rehabilitation patients.	Patients admitted to the stroke rehabilitation ward during the study period (Sept 1, 2010–Sept 30, 2011)	Multifactorial intervention	A stroke patient-specific fall-risk assessment (Assessment Sheet for Fall Prediction in Stroke Inpa- tients) was performed on admis- sion and high-risk patients were identified. In addition to general fall-prevention activities, the fol- lowing interventions were intro- duced for high-risk patients: discrete risk ID; physician-agreed safety restraints and psychologist assistance when explaining the need for these; balance training; speech therapist assistance dur- ing explanation of fall risk to patients with impaired commu- nication; staff-family consulta- tions; accompanied transfer to therapy locations; hourly round- ing; patient close to nurses sta- tion; alerting visitors to fall- prevention measures.	There was no association between falling and the fall- risk assessment score. Com- pleting fall-risk assessments together with targeted fall- prevention measures resulted in a decrease in the yearly fall incidence, which remained stable in the three years following the interven- tion.
Gooday 2004	UK	Other	To study factors contributing to falls in recent lower-limb amputees and to reduce falls and resulting injuries during inpatient rehabilita- tion.	All lower-limb amputees admitted to the rehabilita- tion unit	Multicomponent intervention	Simple risk assessment per- formed on admission; education (notices on wards about safe transfers, patients educated on safe transfers); environmental modifications (patients with cog- nitive impairment were not given wheelchairs and placed in safe rooms where possible); bivalve plaster stump protection given to transtibial amputees.	The number of falls on an amputee rehabilitation ward remained consistent despite the introduction of fall-pre- vention interventions; how- ever, the proportion of falls leading to injury and stump trauma were significantly reduced.
Gould 2018	USA	Quality improvement	Quality improvement proj- ect using lean methodology to implement and evaluate use of "Caring Cards" for falls prevention.	Adult neurological patients	Education/training	Caring Cards: "conversations between leaders and staff pro- vide a way for the nurse to describe his or her critical think- ing about fall prevention that is individualized to a patient. Lea- ders collect information on bar- riers to care and demonstrate follow-up actions to staff mem- bers who raise concerns. The system allows for structured leader and staff interactions that are coaching and mentoring in nature." <sup>(p.254)</sup>	Dramatic reduction in falls rate.

K. Cooper et al.

(Continued)	Continued)										
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations				
Gowdy 2003	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To discuss an FPP that entails comprehensive fall- risk assessment, root cause analysis of falls, and proac- tive failure mode and effects analysis of the fall- prevention process.	Patients in the geriatric psychiatric ward	Multifactorial intervention	Interdisciplinary fall team; devel- opment of a fall-risk assessment tool; staff education (in-services, posters, meetings, educational fair); fall-risk assessment tool used to stratify patients into risk groups so appropriate interven- tions were applied. High-risk group interventions include: fall risk on pink ID bracelet and door sign and communicated to team/family/patient; fall educa- tion brochure; encourage family participation in safety; frequent toileting; avoid clutter; call bell and phone in reach; door open; night light; top two side rails up; accompanied to commode or toilet; non-slip socks/shoes; medication review; physiother- apy; diversion activities; walking aids; in wheelchair at desk or hall for observation; sitter volun- teer; soft restraint belt. Further interventions: assistive walking devices; convex mirrors for easy hallway monitoring from nursing station; motion detectors at bed- side; bed-exit alarms; staff edu- cation specific to high-risk population.	Fall rates reduced by 43% following the implementa- tion of a falls team and fall- prevention interventions specific to a high-risk fall group in the geriatric psychi- atric ward. Authors suggest concentrating on high-risk group first and, if successful, implement wider. They also conclude that a culture change takes time and requires administrative support.				
Graham 2012	USA	Text and opinion	To discuss importance of fall prevention, present strate- gies for managing inpatient falls, and to describe the role of fall-prevention com- mittees in the hospital setting.	N/A	Multiple technologies	The following are discussed: falls-risk assessment; medication management and review; envi- ronmental aids (signage, removal of environmental hazards, bath- room grab bars, shower chairs/ benches, non-slip flooring); fall alarms (worn and stationary); developing technologies (video monitoring); multidisciplinary fall-prevention teams.	Authors conclude that well- researched interventions include medication review, fall alarms, and environmen- tal aids, while further research is needed in other areas, particularly emerging technologies. The impor- tance of a multidisciplinary team to bring about change is highlighted.				

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Gravina 2017	Italy	Emerging technol- ogy development	To propose Activity as a Service (Activity-aaService), a fully fledged cyber-physi- cal framework to support community, online and off- line human activity recogni- tion, and monitoring in mobility.	Older adults	Wearable detection device	A system that has a smart fall- detection capability. An Android smartphone and one accelerom- eter placed in a trouser pocket or on the belt. Three levels of alarm (green, yellow, and red) are available depending on fall severity and how quickly the patient recovers to standing. Details of the fall are posted on Facebook for predefined persons or carers to see and, depending on the severity, predefined ser- vices are alerted. Piloted on emulated falls and everyday activities.	The system scored over 83% for specificity, sensitivity, and precision for fall detec- tion. Further development work is ongoing.
Gray 2013	USA	Qualitative study	To perform a falls focus group with one hospital's stroke survivor and care- giver support group, with the aim of reviewing fall- prevention strategies.	Stroke survivors	Multiple technologies	Focus group discussed stroke survivors' experiences with falls, reviewed fall-prevention strate- gies, and discussed any sugges- tions from patients and caregivers. Intervention intro- duced bed alarms and low beds for all stroke patients.	Patients and caregivers sug- gested that best practice would be to have sitters in patient rooms, or otherwise use bed alarms. Following the introduction of bed alarms on admission and low beds, there was a 50% reduction in stoke patient falls.
Gray-Miceli 2017	USA	Before-after design	To deliver an educational health care practice change intervention focused on fall prevention, the Falls Preven- tion Collaborative, to health care professionals in 38 acute care hospitals.	Hospital staff and patients	Multifactorial inter- vention	Varied interventions depending on the fall-prevention team. All teams received team training, coaching, and mentoring in fall prevention, and were encour- aged to develop a fall-prevention strategy for their unit. Interven- tions developed included: falls- risk assessment; staff education; instituted rounding; post-fall assessment intervention; super- vised toileting; responding to call lights, environmental; staff safety awareness; comprehensive.	Following a falls team train- ing initiative, the most com- mon interventions devised were fall-risk assessment, staff education, rounding, and post-fall assessment. These interventions resulted in a decrease in fall rates in all participating units.
Grenier-Sennelier 2002	France	Cohort study (Prospective)	To evaluate the effects of continuous quality improve- ment on fall-prevention strategies in hospital.	Hospital staff and patients	Other	A continuous quality improve- ment project, first performing an assessment of falls in the hospi- tal and then taking a multidisci- plinary approach to developing and implementing fall-prevention recommendations (which are not detailed in the paper).	Authors make recommenda- tions for extending an exist- ing continuous quality improvement strategy for designing and implementing an FPP.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Gustafson 2007	USA	Text and opinion	To give advice on perform- ing a fall-risk assessment and providing interventions for adult patients at risk of falls.	N/A (advice for adult patient)	N/A	The following options are listed: fall risk ID (eg, wristband); physi- cal and occupational therapy; speech consult; medical review; low bed; call bell within reach and reminders about call bell use; room lighting optimal; sit- ter; bed alarm; frequent round- ing; non-slip footwear; adaptive equipment (mobility aids, hip protectors, a bedside commode, cushioned floor pads); rooms free from clutter; supervision of high-risk patients in bathroom and treatment areas.	Recommendations are given with regards to performing a risk assessment and poten- tial interventions. Further- more, nursing staff are encouraged to take a proac- tive approach to fall preven- tion and advice is given on how to accomplish that.
Gutierrez 2008	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To describe a project designed to evaluate obsta- cles to the implementation of a research-based, fall-pre- vention protocol in hospital patients, and an attempt to remove the obstacles and improve outcomes.	Nursing staff and patients on the definitive observa- tion unit (cardiac and high acuity medical surgical patients).	Multifactorial intervention	Fall-champion teams rounded, educated, and trained staff on fall-prevention strategies, includ- ing rounding, toileting, family presence, appropriate lighting, and removing trip hazards. Increased staffing of two RNs and one technical partner for six patients.	Following the implementa- tion of fall champions and a supported educational over- sight, fall rates reduced from 4.87 to 3.59, and further to 1.37. The authors strongly recommend the use of champions to implement and drive a fall-prevention project.
Guzzo 2015	Italy	Other	To identify factors that can significantly influence falls in hospital and describe conditions in which falls occur through monitoring the application of the Conley Scale.	Patients who had a fall in hospital and controls who did not fall	Falls-risk assessment	A retrospective analysis of patients who fell and those who did not, and presence of a completed Conley Scale.	Analysis of patients who had fallen compared to those who had not, revealed sev- eral risk factors predisposing someone to a fall, most notably the use of restraints and failure to complete the Conley Scale form.
Haggqvist 2012	Sweden	Qualitative study	To describe licensed practi- cal nurse experiences of predicting and preventing further falls when working with patients who had experienced a fall-related fracture.	Licensed practical nurses	Multiple technologies	Study looked at nurses' "experi- ences" of prevention and detec- tion. Focus groups and interviews.	Patient safety practices were more structured and promi- nent at the rehabilitation ward. The authors highlight the importance of expecta- tions from leadership for performing fall-risk-preven- tion practices and having a clear structure to fall-pre- vention strategies.

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Haider 2017	Canada	Emerging technol- ogy development	To apply radio frequency radars to developing a device-free, non-invasive fall-detection system.	Aimed at adults in hospital or care settings	Stationary fall- detection device	A low-cost ultra wideband radar, working on radio frequency, was mounted on a wall in the test room. A person's motion was monitored and fall motion detected.	This pilot demonstrated the ability of a wall-mounted ultra wideband radio fre- quency radar to detect a fall. Further work is planned to enable the system to send an alert to the care- givers that a fall has occurred.			
Hain 2012	USA	Text and opinion	To discuss the best available evidence of fall-prevention strategies, which can be used to support evidence- based projects to reduce falls.	Aimed at older adults undergoing in-center hemodialysis	Multiple technologies	The following are discussed: screening and assessment (focused fall history, physical examination, medication review, cognitive status, functional assessment, environmental assessment); exercise and physi- cal therapy; medical manage- ment and medication review; management of chronic illness and acute problems; environ- mental modification; patient/ family/staff education; interpro- fessional approach; addressing vision impairment; appropriate footwear; podiatrist referral; environmental interventions (lighting, grab rails, tractable floor mats, in-ground weighing scales, clutter).	Authors conclude that the evidence supports the use of a multicomponent, inter- professional FPP to address modifiable risk factors and reduce falls.			
Haines 2004	Australia	RCT	To assess the effectiveness of a targeted, multiple-inter- vention FPP in reducing falls and injuries related to falls in a subacute hospital.	Adult patients admitted to one elderly care hospital, referred from an acute hospital, with referral accepted by geriatrician	Multicomponent intervention	Intervention group received a targeted FPP in addition to usual care. Staff completed the PJC-FRAT to determine which interventions to use. Interventions included a falls-risk alert card with information leaflet, an exercise program, education program, and hip protectors.	Patients who received a tar- geted, multiple-intervention FPP in addition to usual care had 30% fewer falls and were less likely to experi- ence more than one fall.			

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Haines 2006	Australia	RCT	To evaluate the effective- ness of a patient education program for preventing falls in the subacute hospital setting.	Older adults subacute care	Education/training	The education program consisted of one-to-one education sessions with an OT working as a part of the research team. Sessions were conducted twice weekly at the participant's bedside. Ses- sions were not intended to be didactic in nature, rather the intention was to facilitate discus- sion between the participant and the research OT so that participants would feel free to disclose difficulties they may have had in complying with specific instructions provided to them by hospital staff members.	Intervention group participants in this subgroup analysis had a significantly lower incidence of falls than their control group counterparts (control: 16.0 falls/1000 participant days, intervention: 8.2 falls/1000 participant days, log-rank test: $P = 0.007$ ). However, the difference in the proportion of fallers was not significant (relative risk 1.21, 95% confidence interval 0.68 to 2.14). Patient education is an important part of a multiple-intervention falls-prevention approach for the subacute hospital setting.
Haines 2006	Australia	Cohort study (Prospective)	To describe the diagnostic accuracy and practical appli- cation of the PJC-FRAT, a multidisciplinary falls-risk screening and intervention deployment instrument.	Metropolitan rehabilitation and aged care hospital	Falls-risk assessment	The accuracy of the PJC-FRAT was prospectively compared to a gold standard (the STRATIFY) on a cohort of subacute hospital patients ( $n = 122$ ).	The PJC-FRAT was practical and relatively accurate as a predictor of falls and a deployment instrument for falls-prevention interven- tions, although continued staff education may be necessary to maintain its accuracy.
Haines 2007	Australia	RCT	To evaluate the clinical effectiveness and implemen- tation of a falls-prevention exercise program for pre- venting falls in the subacute hospital setting.	Older adults subacute care	Multicomponent intervention	Participants in this study were a subgroup of patients $(n = 173)$ participating in a larger RCT $(n = 626)$ of a targeted, multiple- intervention falls-prevention project. These 173 were distrib- uted among control and inter- vention groups and provided with exercise. Additional exercise program sessions were con- ducted three times per week for 45 minutes per session. The content of these sessions was based on combining the thera- peutic principles of tai chi, with functional movements (transfer- ring from chair to chair, weight shifting, reaching, stepping) and activity visualization. A focus was also maintained on patient enjoyment during the exercise sessions.	Intervention group participants in this subgroup analysis had a significantly lower incidence of falls than their control group counterparts (control: 16.0 falls/1000 participant days, intervention: 8.2 falls/1000 participant days, log-rank test: $P = 0.007$ ); however, few differences in secondary balance, strength, and mobility outcomes were evident. This exercise program provided in addition to usual care may assist in the prevention of falls in the subacute hospital setting.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Haines 2009	Australia	Cohort study (Prospective)	To evaluate the ability of physiotherapists working on geriatric rehabilitation wards to accurately predict which patients will fall during their inpatient stay.	Patients admitted for reha- bilitation to geriatric and rehabilitation units and referred for physiotherapy	Falls-risk assessment	At a patient's initial assessment, physiotherapists classified whether a patient would fall or not (yes/no answer) during their hospital stay. The assessment was not standardized but typi- cally involved subjective (patient medical history, mobility, bal- ance, function, and previous falls) and objective (patient bal- ance, gait, and mobility after) measures to aid in classification.	Less than half the patients classified at risk fell and a further 81 patients not clas- sified at risk fell. Resources can be saved if a physiother- apist clinical judgment approach is used to deploy an education-based interven- tion, compared with none.
Haines 2010	Australia	RCT	To evaluate the efficacy of a policy to introduce low-low beds for the prevention of falls and fall injuries on wards that had not previ- ously accessed low-low beds.	Publicly funded hospitals that had not previously had low-low beds	Environment design	A low-low bed (Huntleigh Healthcare "Sorrento" model) was provided for every 12 exist- ing beds on the ward and was used for patients identified at high risk of falling. Staff were educated on how to prioritize patients to be put in low-low beds.	The introduction of low-low beds for patients at high risk of falling did not appear to have an effect on fall rates. Intervention and control hospital wards had compara- ble reductions in fall rates and, therefore, these cannot be attributable to low-low beds. Authors suggest that there may be other benefits to low-low beds and further research is required.
Haines 2013	Australia	Economic evaluation	Economic evaluation (incre- mental cost-effectiveness analysis) conducted in paral- lel with a multicenter RCT from the health service perspective.	Adults aged over 60	Education/training	Two patient-education models were tested in the RCT; provi- sion of multimedia patient edu- cation materials in addition to usual care (that is, materials only); and provision of multime- dia patient education materials combined with trained health professional follow-up (that is, complete program) in addition to usual care. These were com- pared to usual care alone.	Provision of the complete program in addition to usual care will likely both prevent falls and reduce costs for a health service.
Hakenson 2014	USA	Review - Narrative	Review of a study on pre- venting falls among psychi- atric patients.	Psychiatric patients	Risk assessment	Review of study using Edmond- son Psychiatric Fall Risk Scale.	The electronic tool provides the patient care team with a view of the entire unit's risk for falls. By using the tool during the safety huddle, teamwork around fall pre- vention improved.

© 2021 JBI. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

K. Cooper et al.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Hamm 2016	UK	Review - Systematic	Conceptual framework and survey of state-of-the-art, technology-based, fall-pre- vention systems.	N/A	Multicomponent interventions	To develop the conceptual framework and carry out a sur- vey of the state-of-the-art tech- nology-based, fall-prevention systems.	A number of research chal- lenges emerge as a result of surveying the research litera- ture, which include a need for new systems that focus on overcoming extrinsic falls-risk factors; systems that support the environ- mental risk assessment pro- cess; systems that enable patients and practitioners to develop more collaborative relationships and engage in shared decision-making dur- ing falls-risk assessment and prevention activities. Recom- mendations and future research directions are pro- posed to overcome each respective challenge.
Han 2016	Japan	Emerging technol- ogy development	To describe the develop- ment of a sensor network for falls detection.	N/A	Wearable detection device	Four principles are proposed as fundamental criteria for design- ing a sensor network for elder- oriented fall detection and pre- diction. According to these crite- ria, a bidirectional electromyographic sensor net- work model is experimentally constructed, and qualitative analysis is conducted to explain that this solution performs more realistically and rationally.	A simple call/reply commu- nication mechanism was imported, and the EMG data was shown to contribute to a more precise detection and prediction result.
Hanada 2015	Japan	Emerging technol- ogy development	Description of development of sleep activity and urine bio-sensor monitoring system.	8 healthy nursing students	Stationary fall- detection device	"Nezou" sleep activity monitor- ing system (sensor bar) + "Yur- irin USH-052" urine bio-sensor.	Demonstrated the possibility of predicting the need to urinate and monitoring movement in bed using the two sensor-based systems. Needs to be tested in real-life settings with older people.

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

K. Cooper et al.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Hardin 2013	USA	RCT	This study compared inpa- tient falls on medical surgi- cal units with and without webcams and assessed the Morse Risk Assessment for effectiveness in identifying fall risk.	Adults in medical surgical units	Multicomponent intervention	CareView is a system that con- sists of NurseView and Virtual Bed Rails. NurseView is a web- cam that is installed in the room that allows for continuous visual- ization of the patient at a cen- tral location where a touch screen nurse station is placed. Virtual Bed Rails allow the nurse to activate motion-sensitive bor- ders so that if the patient moves across the virtual border, an audible alarm occurs on the central control station.	Consent rate was 20.7% for the intervention group. A significant difference between groups was found in fall rate per 1000 admis- sions, but no significant dif- ference was found in fall rate per 1000 patient days. The Morse Risk Assessment was a significant predictor of risk.
Hartung 2017	Canada	Review - Systematic	Review the literature on the effectiveness of non-slip socks to determine if there is sufficient evidence to sup- port their use in the pre- vention of falls among hospitalized older adults.	Older adults	Other	Non-slip socks as an intervention for falls prevention	The results suggested that there is inconclusive evi- dence to support the use of non-slip socks to prevent falls among hospitalized older adults. Non-slip socks do not possess the proper- ties of adequate footwear and have the potential to spread infection. The patient's personal foot- wear from home is the saf- est footwear option while admitted into hospital.

JBI Evidence Synthesis

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Hathaway 2001	Australia	Cohort study (Prospective)	The aim of the project was to ascertain the overall effectiveness of the FPP and to explore the usefulness of the assessment criteria in predicting falls.	Adults	Multicomponent intervention	The data-collection instrument used in the study included demographic information, medi- cal diagnosis, and risk factors for falling. Staff would choose inter- ventions from a list of fall-pre- vention interventions obtained from the literature. Interventions included placing visual cue cards about the patients' fall risk on the medical record and at patients' bedsides. An education program for staff was also implemented.	The FPP reduced the inci- dence of falls and was found to be effective for those patients requiring minimal assistance with walking. However, it was less effec- tive for those using pick-up frames or forearm support frames. The patients who fell were more likely to be in the high-risk category and it was concluded that while the assessment criteria was use- ful in predicting falls, the FPP could only limit the number of falls but not prevent them altogether. Age, mental status, and mobility of patients in com- bination with the time and location of falls suggested a pattern that was possibly peculiar to this rural hospi- tal, which has implications for funding and staffing.
Haumschild 2003	USA	Before-after design	To compare the number of patient falls in elderly patients before and after pharmaceutical interven- tions; identify cost savings as a result of fall reduction; and to determine whether specific medication classes are related to falls in the elderly.	Adult patients aged 65+ with one of the following diagnoses: orthopedic, respiratory, neurology, infection, cardiovascular	Other	Pharmaceutical intervention developed using the American Society of Consultant Pharma- cists' MDS-Med Guide. Full med- ication review by pharmacist (including any medications caus- ing adverse effects or clinical conditions listed in a table for review by pharmacist, nurse, and physician). Recommendations for dosage reduction and frequency, and precautions for drug admin- istration given to nurses and immediately implemented.	A complete pharmaceutical review of medications resulted in a 47% reduction in falls (30 falls down to 16). This reduction would equate to a saving of \$308,000 per year or \$25,667 a month on fall-related costs. Reductions in cardiovascular drugs, analgesics, and psychoactive medication resulted in reductions in falls.
Hayes 2004	UK	Review - Narrative	Review of various aspects of hospital fall prevention, including policy context, the evidence base, and ethical issues. An FPP in one hospi- tal is described.	N/A	Multicomponent intervention	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Potential for the develop- ment of nurses' roles in falls prevention in hospital. Opportunity for nurse-led research and practice devel- opments.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Healey 2004	υκ	RCT	To test the efficacy of a targeted risk-factor-reduc- tion core care plan in reduc- ing risk of falling while in hospital.	Elderly inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	The intervention consisted of a brief falls-risk factor screen and related interventions in the form of a pre-printed care plan, including risk factors for falls that could be properly addressed in the hospital where the study took place. The reverse of this plan contained a brief summary of evidence, such as medication most likely to be implicated in falls, and local advice, such as optical testing arrangements.	After introduction of the care plan there was a signifi- cant reduction in the rela- tive risk of recorded falls on intervention wards but not on control wards. There was no significant reduction in the incidence of falls-related injuries.
Healey 2008	υκ	Review - Systematic	To summarize and critically evaluate evidence on the effect of bedrails on falls and injury.	Adults	Environment design	The effect of bedrails on falls and injury, including studies of bedrail reduction.	It is difficult to perform conventional clinical trials of an intervention already embedded in practice, and all included studies had methodological limitations. However, this review con- cludes that serious direct injury from bedrails is usu- ally related to use of out- moded designs and incorrect assembly rather than being inherent, and bedrails do not appear to increase the risk of falls or injury from falls.
Healey 2010	UK	Review - Narrative	Outlines the range of inter- ventions that can reduce the likelihood of falls and injury, and explains the value of the Patient Safety First.	N/A	Multicomponent intervention	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Nurses have a critical role to play in falls prevention, and the how-to guide can help them work with their collea- gues in supporting roles and other professions to deliver a multifactorial approach from the board to the ward. The rewards of good falls- prevention policy and prac- tice are not only reduced harm from injury and frac- tures but increased commu- nication and trust between patients, their relatives, and staff, and ultimately improved confidence, mobil- ity and quality of life for the older person.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Healey 2012	UK	Review - Narrative	To discuss organizational culture and processes that can increase the effective- ness of falls prevention. To present learning from quality improvement projects.	N/A	N/A	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Commitment to improve falls prevention is often high in frontline staff, who are motivated by repeatedly observing the harm and dis- tress falls cause to patients and their relatives. However, without central support sys- tems in place to deliver evi- dence-based policy, protocols, training, expertise, equipment, and support, their efforts are unlikely to be effective. Effective falls prevention cannot be delivered by any single professional group working in isolation, but requires genuine multidisci- plinary collaboration to develop local policy and practice.
Healey 2014	UK	Cohort study (Prospective)	An extended evaluation of the FallSafe quality improve- ment project, which pre- sented key components of multifactorial assessment and intervention as a care bundle.	Adults	Multicomponent intervention	FallSafe leads collected process measures for nine care bundle components from up to 20 patients per unit per month as an integral part of their quality improvement efforts, using for- mats that defined collection methods and evidence of com- pliance.	Twelve-month moving aver- age of reported fall rates showed a consistent down- ward trend in FallSafe units but not controls. No significant changes in injurious fall rate were found in FallSafe units (or controls).
Hefner 2015	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	Evaluation of a multifaceted fall-prevention initiative	Adults	Multicomponent intervention	The Falls Wheel was developed by a team of patients, family members, and health system leaders. The Falls Wheel was implemen- ted in all inpatient units of the medical center starting from August 2013 (including cardio- vascular, medical surgical, and progressive care units). The nurs- ing staff were instructed to update the wheel every shift or if the patient's status changed based on the criteria for deter- mining fall risk and injury risk printed on the front of the wheel.	During the year-long imple- mentation, the rate of falls with harm dropped by almost 50%. A process audit revealed that there was high fidelity to the intervention components, including dis- playing the wheel correctly 95% of the time, and the Falls Wheel was updated to match the risk level in the electronic health record 70% of the time.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Hempel 2013	USA	Review - Systematic	To systematically document the implementation, compo- nents, comparators, adher- ence, and effectiveness of published fall-prevention approaches in US acute care hospitals.	Hospitalized individuals	Multicomponent intervention	Extensive number of interven- tions included.	Implementation strategies were sparsely documented (17% not at all) and included staff education, establishing committees, seeking leader- ship support, and occasion- ally continuous quality improvement techniques. Most interventions (81%) included multiple compo- nents (eg, risk assessments [often not validated], visual risk alerts, patient education, care rounds, bed-exit alarms, and post-fall evaluations). Better reporting is necessary to establish evidence on how hospitals can success- fully prevent falls.
Hendrich 1988	USA	Review - Narrative	Review of falls prevention and overview of one unit- based fall-prevention plan.	Not specified	N/A	High-risk fall protocol plan con- sisting of: Identification of at-risk patients; use of restraints; education of patients, families and staff; development of nursing standards.	Nurses can have a major role to play in decreasing cost and improving quality of care in health care facilities.
Hidaya 2013	India	Emerging technol- ogy development	Describe data collection, monitoring, and understand- ing physiological status of patients through a wireless approach for patient health care management.	Not specified	Wearable detection device	A wearable patient-monitoring system was developed and piloted, which detects body tem- perature, heart rate, electrocar- diogram, and falls (impact detected by an accelerometer); wirelessly transmits data to a computer.	Device has potential – further work required.
Hignett 2006	UK	Review - Narrative	Review of falls-prevention literature and proposal of theoretical framework for environmental assessment model.	N/A	Environmental	Bedrails; bed height and alarms; attachment to equipment; foot- wear; flooring; lighting; patient assessment; environmental marking (cues); staffing levels.	Poor evidence base in gen- eral. Most robust evidence relates to bedrails, which may not reduce falls and may increase risk of injury. Environment assessment model proposed.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Hignett 2010	ик	Review - Narrative	Narrative exploration of interventions for inpatient falls among the elderly with respect to the design of technology (equipment and furniture) and buildings.	N/A	Other	Multiple interventions reviewed, including broad areas of commu- nication (with staff/patient, call bells, signs, huddles, labels), monitoring (alarms, sitters, rounding, supervision, etc), mod- ify patient (medication, training, protection vision, etc).	There are many examples of initiatives to improve com- munication and monitoring (systems design) and modify the patient (intrinsic fac- tors), but there are fewer examples of building and technology design initiatives. This offers an exciting chal- lenge to explore and design for the functional needs of this growing elderly frail and/or confused population.
Hilbe 2010	Austria	Emerging technol- ogy development	The aim of this work is to present the research and development process of the integrated, universally appli- cable BUCINATOR bed-exit- alarm system.	N/A	Stationary fall-detec- tion device	BUCINATOR – bed-exit-alarm system using air-filled tubes attached to top of side rails.	After experimental testing, BUCINATOR shows great potential to be a reliable bed-exit alarm system. In general, bed-exit-alarm sys- tems with extended features could play a major role in ambient assisted-living tech- nologies. Needs to be tested in hospital setting.
Hill 2014	Australia	Review - Narrative	Overview of falls and fall prevention in older adults.	Older adults	Multicomponent intervention	Extensive number of interven- tions reviewed.	Fall-risk assessments are not "one-size-fits-all" or the sole answer to fall prevention. When considering a fall-risk assessment for use, facilities or staff must first evaluate the reliability and validity of the tool for use with their population. Once they select a tool to use, they should develop a comprehensive set of evidence-based inter- ventions for each area in the fall-risk assessment. Careful staff education plan- ning and evaluation for peri- odic re-education are also integral to any successful fall-prevention protocol. Coordinated effort involving all interdisciplinary team members is critical to the safety of older adults in all settings.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Hill 2015	Australia	Qualitative study	To explore the educators' perspectives of delivering the Safe Recovery patient education program and to conceptualize how the pro- gram worked to prevent falls among older patients who received the education.	Nursing, allied health, and medical staff.	Education/training	Educators' perspective of deliver- ing falls-prevention education intervention (see Hill 2015 previously).	Patient, staff, and environ- ment must interact effec- tively to facilitate engagement in falls-preven- tion behaviors. Future research should also seek to understand this suc- cessful education program from patient and staff per- spectives, which will provide further understanding of how effective falls-preven- tion education can be deliv- ered on hospital wards.
Hill 2015	Australia	RCT	To evaluate whether individ- ualized patient and staff education, as well as sup- port provided by trained physiotherapist falls educa- tors, could prevent falls.	Adults in rehabilitation units	Education/training	Individualized education from trained falls-prevention physio- therapist plus follow-up support plus usual care. Intervention used behavior change theory and adult learning principles.	Substantial reduction in falls, fall injuries, and proportion of people who fell in hospi- tal rehabilitation units.
Hill 2016	Australia	Qualitative study	To understand how staff responded to individualized patient falls-prevention edu- cation delivered as part of a cluster randomized trial, including how they per- ceived the education con- tributed to falls prevention on their wards.	Nurses, physiotherapists, and quality improvement staff	Education/training	Clinical staff perspectives of the intervention employed by Hill <i>et al.</i> (2015), previously.	Staff perceived that a posi- tive culture was created around falls prevention and that staff and patients could work effectively as a team to engage in falls-prevention strategies.
Hoke 2016	USA	Before-after design	To reduce rate of patient falls and falls with injury on a cardiac intermediate care unit.	Adult patients coming through the cardiac inter- mediate care unit	Multicomponent intervention	Clinical nurses developed an accountability care program that required nurses to use reflective practice to evaluate each fall, including sending reflective emails (covering nurses' and patients' perceptions of the fall; contributing factors, and nurses' self-reflection); post-fall huddle; call bell response; and guidelines for assisting and remaining with fall-risk patients for the duration of their toileting.	Fall rates and falls with injury decreased following the implementation of the Prevent One Fall at a Time intervention. Patients' ratings of staff responsiveness and commu- nication improved.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Horan 2014	Ireland	Qualitative study	A pilot of Alert Charts was performed to identify potential fallers and improve communication within the multidisciplinary team, families, and patients.	Elderly patients	Other	Alert Charts, giving a clear instruction of the level of assis- tance required for transfers and mobility of individual patients, were piloted (unclear where they were placed).	Alert Charts that display individual patients' require- ment for transfer and mobil- ity assistance was found to be easy to use and an excel- lent form of communication by staff. Suggestions for improve- ment were made and new charts are being trialed on other units.
Horov 2017	Czech Republic	Review - Systematic	To summarize the conclu- sions of empirical studies on the effectiveness of preven- tive programs established in hospitals over the last five years.	Majority older adults	Multicomponent intervention	Extensive number of interven- tions included.	Education – for patients and staff – appears to be impor- tant for inclusion in multi- component falls-prevention interventions.
Hubscher 2011	Germany	Other	To investigate the relative slip resistance of commer- cially available non-slip socks during gait.	24 healthy subjects	Other	Assess slip resistance of non-slip socks.	Non-slip socks improved slip-resistance during gait when compared to conven- tional socks and slippers. Future investigations should verify the present findings in hospital populations prone to slip-related falls.
Huda 1998	USA	Quality improvement	The paper describes a series of audits and program mod- ifications performed in a medical surgical unit to reduce falls, evaluate staff compliance with prevention procedures, and increase staff awareness.	Adults	Multicomponent intervention	Nurses completed a 17-item risk assessment and those at risk (above a set threshold) were placed on the fall-risk protocol and were reassessed daily. Protocol includes: bed and room risk signage; orange armband; fall risk insert in all patient care records; inform patient/family/ staff that care plan is in effect. Three audit/intervention phases took place with various staff incentives/motivation in between (including showing fall stats, education, fall-risk check boxes).	The unit fall rate decreased from the first to third audits. Staff compliance improved for all audit criteria. Authors mention that real change can often take longer than expected.
Huey-MingTzeng 2017	USA	Other	To identify highly effective interventions to prevent fall injuries as perceived by RN staff for adult inpatients in acute hospital settings.	RN staff	Multicomponent intervention	Survey to explore use of multiple falls-prevention interventions.	Identified 21 nurse-per- ceived effective interven- tions: 10 interventions related to improving the patient environment and 11 related to increasing regis- tered nurse vigilance. Further work is required.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Hurley 2009	USA	Other	To describe the develop- ment and validation of a set of icons to be used to translate and communicate falls-risk status, and tailored interventions to prevent falls.	Health professionals and assistants (mostly nurses)	Other	As part of the larger Fall TIPS initiative, a set of 17 icons, representing fall risks and inter- ventions, were developed and assessed by endpoint users (pro- fessionals and assistants, mostly nurses). Risk areas taken from the MFS.	Set of icons developed for communicating alerts that can be understood by all stakeholders.
lijima 2016	Токуо	Cohort study (Retrospective)	To examine the effects of fall-prevention interventions by adjusting for the fall risk with a propensity score.	Adult patients	Multicomponent intervention	Falls-risk assessment, standard falls-prevention interventions, and 49 specific interventions listed on a screen displaying the patient's medical records.	The results showed that three interventions to pre- vent falls in accordance with the patients' risks improved the fall ratio by 0.43–0.56 times. However, results also revealed that such interven- tions are implemented at a low rate. Thus, interventions to prevent falls should be implemented at a higher rate.
Incalcaterra 2015	USA	Cohort study (Retrospective)	To compare falls in 18 to 64 and 65+ age groups using pre-existing data.	Adult patients	Falls-risk assessment	The Johns Hopkins FRAT is an instrument that calculates a total risk score by combining scores for known risk parameters: age, fall history, elimination needs, medication usage, patient-care equipment, mobility, cognitive status, and the use of assistive devices.	Overall, the results showed very little statistically signifi- cant differences in falls between the two age groups, although there was a statistically significant rela- tionship between the pres- ence of a fall safety agreement and fall-injury severity. Fall education in the form of a safety agreement, regard- less of age, may reduce the degree of injury sustained from a fall.

JBI Evidence Synthesis

K. Cooper et al.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Ireland 2010	Canada	Text and opinion	Description of how one hos- pital creatively used evi- dence, systems change, staff engagement, expert consul- tation, policy, and protocols to reduce annual fall rates by 20%.	Falls-prevention strategy on 60 clinical units	Multicomponent intervention	Strategy development involved: systems support; intervention development; falls working group; identifying a falls-risk assessment tool; developing a screening tool; policy and proto- col strategy; staff, patient, and family education; communication and marketing; implementation; and evaluation.	The development of a multi faceted, although basic, falls prevention strategy was effective in i) engaging a wide range and number of clinical staff in education, iij reducing fall rates by 20%, and iii) meeting corporate timelines and milestones in the complex environment o a Canadian, multi-site, aca- demic teaching hospital. The strategy was designed to meet organizational needs, while encouraging and supporting its adapta- tion to match patient popu- lation needs and the clinica realities of staff.
Ireland 2013	Canada	Qualitative study	Using case study methodol- ogy, 95 administrative and point-of-care nurses at three hospitals participated in interviews or focus groups and provided documents and artefacts that described their implementation of a falls-prevention guideline.	Nurses	Multicomponent intervention	N/A	Four recommendations with potential to guide others in fall prevention were identi- fied: i) the need to listen to and recognize the expertise and clinical realities of staff ii) the importance of keep- ing the implementation pro cess simple; iii) the need to recognize that what seems simple becomes complex when meeting individual patient needs; and iv) the need to view the process ar one of continuous quality improvement.
Issac 2018	Australia	Cohort study (Prospective)	Evaluation of TOP5 – an intervention that involves engaging carers of people with dementia using five strategies to assist staff to provide person-centered care and communication.	Adults aged 70+ with cog- nitive impairment	Multicomponent intervention	Personalized care strategies (up to five) using non-clinical infor- mation obtained from carers. Strategy form located in promi- nent position for staff to action.	Achieved 45% reduction in falls.
Jähne-Raden 2019	Germany	Emerging technol- ogy development	Overview and initial evalua- tion of INBED sensor system for falls prevention.	Healthy adults	Wearable detection device	Thigh-worn, bed-exit detection system.	System reliable and suitable for testing in clinical settings.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Jeffers 2013	USA	Cohort study (Retrospective)	Evaluation of centralized video monitoring program.	Inpatients in acute care facility	Stationary fall- detection device	Centralized video monitoring program used in Denver hospitals.	Implementing the centralized video monitoring program required tight connections and collaboration with a multidisciplinary team of individuals. Actual program performance exceeded the initial projected benefits. The program supports the high level of vigilance required by nursing staff to ensure patient safety and quality.
Jenkins 2012	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To reduce fall rate below benchmark target.	Bone marrow transplant patients	Multicomponent intervention	Education on fall risk; fall video; packet with door sign, yellow bracelet, socks and blan- ket; hourly rounds; assistance to bathroom at night; environ- mental adaptations; staff communication.	Increase in knowledge and training, with early identifi- cation of high fall risk, made a significant improvement in fall rates and patient safety. Yellow blankets are used for fall-risk patients and surveys showed increased awareness by staff. Audits showed increased compliance and documenta- tion of 90%.
Jeon 2017	Korea	Emerging technol- ogy development	To describe the develop- ment and testing of a non- wearable bedside fall-detec- tion device.	Healthy subjects	Stationary fall-detec- tion device	An ambient-based, fall-detection system based on a pressure- sensing triboelectric nanogen- erator (TENG) array, essentially a large mat with several inte- grated sensors that is placed on the floor at bedside, was tested on healthy volunteers. Volun- teers performed activities of daily living (including getting in/ out of bed) and falls in various directions.	The system showed very high classification accuracy (95.75%). Suggestions for further development are made. Needs tested in hospital setting.

(Continued)				Continued)										
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations							
Jeske 2006	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	As part of a comprehensive program, nursing staff in a medical telemetry unit part- nered with patients and their families to design and implement an educational poster to prevent falls.	Older adults	Multicomponent intervention	Development of educational poster.	The implementation of this poster project, in addition to other fall-prevention inter- ventions, has been associ- ated with a reduction in fall rates. The poster also provides an open door for further patient and family educatior on fall prevention at the hospital and in the home. Patients and family member expressed appreciation at being asked their opinion about what would make an effective poster and the importance of partnering with them to find solutions.							
Johnson 2011	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	Evaluation of: the Helping Hands program, a nurse- directed, FPP designed to support a hospital-wide cul- ture of safety and reduce harm from falls.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Interventions included: removing clutter, keeping personal belong- ings and bedside tables within the patient's reach, ensuring prompt spill clean up, reorganiz- ing wires and cords, using signs to indicate wet floor danger, keeping side rails up, locking beds and wheelchairs, providing adequate light, and encouraging patients and families to call for assistance when needed. A nurs- ing care plan was initiated for patients at moderate or high risk.	The data offer a hopeful perspective on the role of nursing engagement in developing a hospital-wide culture of safety and pro- tecting patients from perma- nent harm caused by fall events.							
Johnson 2015	Australia	Cohort study (Prospective)	This study investigated the impact of an e-learning edu- cation program for nurses on falls-risk screening, falls prevention, and post-falls management.	Inpatients	Education/training	e-learning education program and falls-management flip chart The content of the program focused on four key elements of the falls policy, including i) falls- risk screening using the STRAT- IFY, ii) falls-prevention strategies, iii) post-fall assessment and management procedures, and iv) documentation and accountabil- ity of falls-related clinical issues.	Initial risk screening of patients and improvements in preventive interventions were demonstrated.							
Johnston 2019	USA	Quality improvement	To improve adherence to falls-prevention protocol.	Nursing staff	Education/training	Staff education on falls-preven- tion protocol.	Sharp decline in falls rate.							

# SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

K. Cooper et al.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Jones 2015	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To assess the prevalence of evidence-based, fall-risk reduction structures and processes in Nebraska hospitals.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Multiple interventions across different hospitals.	Hospital type was a signifi- cant predictor of fall rates. However, shifting the para- digm for fall-risk reduction from a nursing-centric approach to one in which teams implement evidence- based practices and learn from data, may decrease fall risk regardless of hospital type.
Kangas 2015	Finland	Cohort study (Prospective)	To evaluate the long-term fall-detection sensitivity and false alarm rate of a fall- detection prototype in real-life use.	Older adults	Wearable detection device	An accelerometry-based sensor system with an implemented fall-detection algorithm.	The fall-detection system detected 12 out of 15 real- life falls, having a sensitivity of 80.0%, with a false alarm rate of 0.049 alarms per usage hour with the imple- mented real-time system. With minor modification of data analysis the false alarm rate was reduced to 0.025 false alarms per hour, equat- ing to one false fall alarm per 40 usage hours.
Kato 2009	Tokyo	Text and opinion	To establish a methodology for preventing accidental falls.	N/A	Multicomponent intervention	Development of a model and prototype system for preventing falls, which uses data from the user and system to determine a falls-prevention plan.	The prototype created suit- able falls-prevention plans in most cases. Needs to be tested in hospi- tal setting with actual patients.
Katsulis 2016	USA	Text and opinion	Developing an FPP.	Older adults	Multicomponent intervention	We examined the use of human factor techniques in the redesign of the existing paper fall-preven- tion tool with the goal of increasing ease of use and decreasing inpatient falls.	The inclusion of patients and clinical staff in the redesign of the existing tool was done to increase adoption of the tool and fall prevention best practices. The redesigned paper Fall TIPS toolkit show- cased a built in clinical deci- sion support system and increased ease of use over the existing version.
Khosravi 2016	Australia	Review - Systematic	Effectiveness of technologies applied to assist seniors – including sensors for falls risk.	Older adults	N/A	Sensor technology to alert patients and caregivers about falls and other behaviors indicat- ing falls risk.	Reduction in falls rate and cost saving compared to sitters.

K. Cooper et al.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Kido 2009	Tokyo	Cohort study (Prospective)	Evaluating a thermal imag- ing sensor to differentiate between normal toilet room activity and simulated falling activity.	Healthy males, simulation environment	Stationary fall- detection device	Thermal imaging camera	The results showed that the thermal imaging sensor could detect various falls in the toilet room with a discriminant ratio of 97.8%. Also, while the room temperature needs to be 31°C or less, falls can be judged in less than one second, and the subject's privacy can be protected.
Kilpack 1991	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To decrease patient falls by applying relevant interven- tions found in the nursing research literature.	Adult inpatients in neuro- science and oncology/ renal units	Multicomponent intervention	An educational program was implemented to increase staff's cognizance of fall prevention. It consisted of initial in-service about patient falls and the proj- ect; posting on the intervention units the number of fails each month compared with the previ- ous year; quarterly in-services to present a summary of fall statis- tics to date; posting of relevant journal articles, and short con- tinuing education programs.	The fall rate decreased dur- ing the study year, while the all-hospital patient fall rate increased.
Kim 2015	Korea	Review - Systematic	To identify which nursing interventions are the most effective in fall prevention for hospitalized patients.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Extensive number of interven- tions reviewed, classed as: edu- cation; environmental; exercise; risk assessment (single or combinations).	Most effective intervention education + environment, followed by education. Multifaceted interventions more effective than unifac- torial.
Kinn 2001	UK	Quasi-experimental (non-randomized)	A risk-assessment tool and care plan were developed and evaluated prospectively.	Elderly inpatients	Multifactorial intervention	Risk assessment + modified falls-prevention intervention including: orientate to environ- ment, ensure area is hazard-free, assess patients for use of cot sides, and place bed in area for close supervision. Use of an alarm system was omitted.	Staff found risk-assessment tool and care plan easy to complete; however, little documentation about whether appropriate inter- ventions carried out. Multidisciplinary group set up because of project to look at fall management.
Kitson 2014	Australia	Audit	To perform a clinical audit to assess current compli- ance with identified best practice falls-prevention strategies and implement strategies to reduce falls rate.	Hospital patients admitted for general medicine or general surgery	N/A	Four phases of auditing took place. Audit criteria included completion of fall-risk assess- ments, reassessment, staff and patient education, implementa- tion of targeted interventions.	There was improvement in compliance with audit crite- ria from the first to last phase of audit.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Kittipanya-Ngam 2012	Singapore	Diagnostic test accuracy	In this project, an algorithm with camera images from a hospital is used to detect falls.	Inpatients	Stationary fall- detection device	Camera system that triggers alarms, including fall-detection alarm.	Privacy issues raised by staff Initial performance of sys- tem encouraging. Further testing required.
Kiyoshi-Teo 2017	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	Identify important practice gaps, gain interest from the staff, and increase the credi- bility of quality improve- ment initiatives that would follow.	Adults on two medical surgical units	Multicomponent intervention	Fall-prevention education, fall risks, call light use, and patient room environment.	Using a multi-method data- collection approach that included patient interviews was critical to identify important practice gaps, gair interest from the staff, and increase the credibility of quality improvement initia- tives that would follow. The Fall Prevention Workgroup continues to explore inter- ventions to address gaps identified in this project.
Kiyoshi-Teo 2019	USA	RCT	To determine the feasibility and efficacy of brief motiva- tional interviewing with hos- pitalized veterans for fall prevention.	Hospital patients over 65 years old at high fall risk	Other	A brief motivational interview- ing intervention: an evidence- based approach to facilitate patient behavior change by engaging patients in the change process through open-ended questions, affirmation, and reflection on patients' beliefs. Treatment and control received routine fall prevention.	Fall rates higher in interven- tion arm; greater decrease in fear of falling and main- tained prevention behaviors.
Knight 2008	USA	Diagnostic test accuracy	Describe and test accuracy of GRiT (Gesture Recogni- tion Interactive Technology) Chair Alarms.	NA	Stationary fall- detection device	Array of capacitive proximity sensors and pressure sensors to create a map of the patient's sitting position, which is then processed using gesture recogni- tion algorithms to determine when a patient is attempting to stand and to alarm the care providers.	Authors concluded that the system can be seamlessly integrated into existing hos- pital wifi networks to send notifications and approxi- mate patient location through existing nurse call systems.
Knight 2010	USA	Cohort (Prospective)	Quality improvement initia- tive for falls in nonelderly psychiatric patients.	Adults	Other	Medication review and enhanced monitoring of patients.	Falls-risk awareness among staff increased. Tachycardia may be a risk factor in psychiatric patients – further study required.
Koh 2009	Singapore	RCT	To develop a multifaceted strategy using tailored inter- ventions to implement an FPP, and to achieve a change in fall-prevention practices and a reduction in fall incidence at an acute care hospital in Singapore.	Nurses and inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Revision of hospital's fall-preven- tion policy, change champions, educational sessions, reminders and identification systems, audit, and feedback.	Nurses' knowledge and use of falls-risk assessment increased. However, no sta- tistically significant reduction in fall rate.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Koh 2015	Singapore	Review - Systematic	Review of bed-exit alarms in psycho-geriatric settings.	Psycho-geriatric inpatients	Stationary fall- detection device	Bed-exit alarms	Evidence inconclusive due to study designs. Authors conclude that the use of bed-exit alarms are useful but should not com- promise staff vigilance in any way.
Kosse 2013	Netherlands	Review - Systematic	Review of sensor systems that prevent falls in geriatric patients.	Geriatric patients	Other	Sensors	The evidence is inconsistent as to whether the current sensor systems can prevent falls and fall-related injuries in institutionalized elderly. Further research should focus more comprehensively on user requirements and effective ways using intelli- gent alarms.
Krauss 2008	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To evaluate an intervention to prevent falls at a hospital.	Nursing staff and medical inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Alert other staff to the patient's risk of falling; reinforce fall-pre- vention teaching with the patient and family; implement a toileting schedule and/or safety rounds (every two hours during the day and every four hours at night); review medications that may contribute to a patient's fall risk, and discuss the effects of these medications with the patient and/or family; ask the doctor to order a physical ther- apy and/or occupational therapy consultation (or to provide the patient with a walking aid if they already use one at home).	Post-intervention fall knowl- edge test scores for the nursing staff were greater than pre-intervention test scores. Use of prevention strategies was greater on intervention floors than control floors. Reduction in fall rates (not statistically significant).
Kruger 2006	USA	Text and opinion	Describe an original Patient Safety Platform Model and give a case example of how the model can be used in an FPP.	N/A	Multicomponent intervention	Safety Platform Model proposed, with patients at center, visitors, and staff overlapping, with phys- ical environment and caregiver competence also included.	Authors suggest that the safety platform model can be used in a multitude of settings.
Kwolek 2014	Poland	Emerging technol- ogy development	Design and implementation of a low-cost system for reliable falls.	Healthy adults	Stationary fall-detec- tion device Wearable detection device	A system with a wearable accel- erometer and a stationary depth (Kinect) camera. Depth video records when the accelerometer detects fast motion (indicative of a fall).	The system performed above 80% on all measures of accuracy, precision, sensi- tivity, specificity. Sunlight appeared to ham- per the system's efficiency and so authors suggest it is most suitable for indoor use

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Kwolek 2015	Poland	Emerging technol- ogy development	Propose a new architecture for a low cost and reliable fall-detection system.	Healthy adults	Stationary fall- detection device Wearable detection device	As above (Kwolek 2014)	A 24/7 fall-detection system is proposed, which scored above 83% on all measures of accuracy, precision, sensi- tivity, specificity. Authors note that placing the accel- erometer on other locations (eg, chest or back) did not noticably reduce the detec- tion performance.
Lancaster 2007	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	Evaluation of falls-preven- tion initiative.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Four key strategies: i) assess- ment and reassessment of patient risk factors for falls; ii) visual identification of patients at high risk; iii) communication of patient fall-risk status; iv) education of patients, families, and staff about fall prevention.	9.9% system-wide reduction in acute care fall rates Com- pared with national rates, falls with serious injury at Ascension Health were less than 10% of the expected rate.
Lange 2009	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	This study educated nurses in best geriatric nursing practices to enhance effec- tive management of com- mon geriatric problems.	Nurses	Education/training	Thirty-hour online training pro- gram consisting of 10 modules: i) the aging of America; ii) geri- atric assessment; iii) health pol- icy, reimbursement, and cultural shifts in aging; iv) health promo- tion in the elderly; v) common problems of aging i (falls, restraints, and nutrition); vi) common problems of aging ii (sexuality); vii) cognitive and psy- chological disorders among older adults; viii) pathological changes of aging; ix) pharmacological considerations in the elderly; and x) spirituality and end-of-life care.	Declining trend in falls observed.

JBI Evidence Synthesis

K. Cooper et al.

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Lapierre 2018	Canada	Review - Systematic	Scoping review: to examine the extent and the diversity of current technologies for fall detection in older adults.	N/A	Other	Extensive number of fall-detec- tion technologies discussed.	Ten types of technologies were identified, ranging from wearable to ambient sensors. Their technology readiness level was low. Outcomes were typically evaluated on technological basis and in controlled environments. Few were evaluated in home settings or care units with older adults. Acceptability, implementation costs, and barriers were seldom addressed. Conclusions: Fur- ther research should focus on increasing technology readiness levels of fall-detect tion technologies by testing them in real-life settings with older adults.
Leake 2014	UK	Emerging technol- ogy development	To examine whether the reliability of fall detectors might be improved by using photoplethysmography.	Healthy adults	Wearable detection device	A photoplethysmographic sensor was worn on the outside of the wrist to measure and track pulse rate and blood volume changes. Data was recorded over five minutes in 10 different poses, including variations of sitting, lying, and standing with different arm positioning.	Further work required.
LeCuyer 2017	USA	Review - Narrative	To review the literature and make recommendations for falls prevention in ambula- tory surgery setting.	N/A	Multicomponent intervention	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Multicomponent FPP devel- oped resulting in no falls for full fiscal year.
Lee 2002	Hong Kong	Cohort study (Prospective)	The project implemented a fall-prevention guideline in an acute care hospital. Fall preventive nursing practice of the hospital was compared before and after implementation of the guidelines.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	The fall-prevention guideline used in this project highlights four key aspects: i) assessment and reassessment of clients' risk of falling, ii) implementation of a formal FPP using multiple inter- ventions to minimize the risk of falling, iii) increasing staff and client awareness of fall-risk fac- tors and potential prevention strategies, and iv) evaluation of the effectiveness of the imple- mented interventions and devel- opment of needed modifications.	No significant difference was found in fall rates. Change in fall-prevention nursing prac- tice was not strongly evident.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Lee 2005	Canada	Emerging technol- ogy development	Develop and test sensor- based falls detection system.	Adults 20 to 40 years old	Stationary fall- detection device	Intelligent emergency response system consisting of i) sensing; ii) situation assessment; iii) response planning and execu- tion. Ceiling-mounted digital camera.	True positive 77%, True neg- ative 95%. Limitations: Only tracks one person at a time and equipment (eg, mobility aids) are not distinguished from person.
Lee 2013	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	Influence of staff education on fall rate in hospitalized elders.	Inpatients	Education/training	An eight-hour workshop was pro- vided for all certified nursing assistants. After the education, certified nursing assistants spent the latter half of the workshop developing a new infrastructure, or daily intentional pattern of care, that would promote patient independence and function.	There was no decrease in fall rate. Findings suggest the educa- tion may be helpful to facili- tate patients' return home, improve functional status, and reduce hospital compli- cations.
Lee 2013	Australia	Qualitative study	To describe the sources of falls-prevention information provided to older adults during and after hospitaliza- tion, identify and explore reasons why discussion about falls prevention may not take place.	Older adults + caregivers	N/A	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Findings showed provision of falls-prevention informa- tion was dependent on the setting of the ward and which health professionals the older adult encountered during and after hospitaliza- tion. Great potential to improve consistency of falls-preven- tion information provision to older adults during hospitali- zation and in preparation for discharge.
Lee 2014	Australia	Review - Systematic	To assess the effectiveness of patient education in reducing falls, promoting behavioral change, and the uptake of prevention activi- ties in older adults during and after hospitalization.	Inpatients aged 60+	Education/training	Patient-education interventions and multicomponent interven- tion that included patient educa- tion were reviewed.	FPPs that contained patient education were effective in reducing fall rates. Should be recommended for older adults. Should consider use of intensive face-to-face educa- tion with multimedia materi- als in preference to provision of written informa- tion alone or brief amounts of interpersonal contact.
Lee 2016	Korea	Cohort study (Prospective)	To develop and validate an automated fall-risk assess- ment system (Auto-FallRAS) to assess fall risks based on electronic medical records.	Inpatients	Other	Auto-FallRAS was developed using 4211 fall-related clinical data extracted from electronic medical records.	Auto-FallRAS results were better than the nurses' predictions.

© 2021 JBI

2608

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Leone 2016	USA	Cohort study (Retrospective)	Quality improvement proj- ect aimed to examine how nurse leaders in an inpa- tient rehabilitation unit can reduce number of falls by implementing multiple fall- prevention interventions.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Safety huddles were introduced to improve shift-to-shift commu- nication regarding safety issues. Shortly thereafter, signage, stra- tegically placed in the bathroom, was used to address the prob- lem of toileting-related falls. Hourly rounding was then imple- mented hospital-wide.	The largest decrease in fall rate was noted after initial revitalization efforts of the unit's culture of safety at the same time as hourly rounding was implemented. Conclusions: Fall rates rise and fall despite multiple fall- prevention interventions and encouraging a positive shift in the culture of safety.			
Lim 2018	Singapore	Qualitative study	To explore the experiences of patients who had fallen in hospital and their per- spectives towards fall pre- vention in the acute care setting.	Adult inpatients	N/A	N/A	Patients downplayed their risks of falling. Patients were reluctant to call for help, which was influenced by perceptions of nurses being busy or unap- proachable. Many did not remember the fall-preven- tion advice give, therefore, it is important to constantly remind patients of their fall risk, reorient them to the fall-prevention measures, and reassure them that the nurses are there to help.			
Lindsay 2004	Australia	Cohort study (Retrospective)	To evaluate the ability of the Timed Up and Go Test to predict those older people who will fall while admitted to an acute hospital.	Inpatients	Other	Timed Up and Go Test	The Timed Up and Go Test, used in isolation, was unable to identify those patients who were likely to fall; how- ever, the co-morbidity of incontinence was identified as a falls-risk factor.			

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Lloyd 2011	USA	Text and opinion	To demonstrate how evi- dence can be used to build a multi-intervention FPP.	N/A	N/A	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	The evidence in the litera- ture indicates that programs tailored to the needs of the patient population have more impact on fall inci- dence than general pro- grams, this holds especially true for high-risk units. Staff awareness and ongoing education regarding falls appear to facilitate the most change. However, because falls are best addressed through a multifactorial approach, physical environ- ment and physical status cannot be overlooked. Interventions must be cre- ated that encompass all facets of care and ways must be sought to overcome barriers to implementation.
Lockwood 2013	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To decrease rates of postpartum falls.	Female patients	Multicomponent intervention	A patient-centered approach to fall prevention was implemen- ted. A "Call for a Helping Hand" letter was placed in each patient's admission folder. The letter briefly and simply explained the risk of falling in the initial postpartum period. Using low-literacy concepts for developing educational material for women, the letter instructed the patient to call for assistance before getting out of bed. A Spanish translation of the letter was also available. The admission folder including the letter and a purple fall-risk armband was placed at the bedside when preparing the postpartum room for the patient's arrival. The admitting nurse would then be prompted to initiate the fall- prevention strategy during initial communication and assessment.	Since implementation of the postpartum FPP, the women's hospital has decreased their fall rate by 50% and maintained a post- partum fall rate below the 50th percentile for the national mean of adult surgi- cal patient falls per 1000 patient days.

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Lohse 2012	USA	Historically controlled trial	A systems-based, FPP target- ing high-risk situations would result in fewer falls with injury.	Inpatients	Education/training	Initial education and training targeted RNs and took place during periodic education days. Separate sessions were con- ducted for therapists and nursing assistants. Education was per- formed by teams composed of both physicians and nurses to stress the importance of partner- ship in creating the desired cul- ture of safety. A focus was placed on process improvement and not individual fault. Educa- tional responsibilities were shared by the nurse manager and participating surgeons.	Statistically significant reduction in falls.			
Loria 2013	India	Cohort study (Prospective)	To improve patient safety by reducing falls.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Modified Morse Fall Risk Assess- ment was made a part of the admission record. Training of the nursing team was done by the quality team. Intervention was tailored to the risk of the patient and included patient education, nursing tasks (provide adequate lighting, positioning of the bed etc), documentation, assessment of medications, etc.	Incident reporting improved therefore, reported falls ini- tially increased. Falls rates subsequently decreased as education rolled out across hospital.			
Lovarini 2010	Australia	RCT	To evaluate the effective- ness of falls-prevention edu- cation delivered to hospitalized older people via DVD or written workbook on perceived falls risk, knowledge of falls-preven- tion strategies, and motiva- tion to engage in them.	Older adults	Education/training	Participants received the DVD (14 minutes in duration) or workbook education at their bedside for up to one hour. Both formats contained identical con- tent, which included information on the risk of falls, fall-related harms, and fall-prevention strat- egies that could be undertaken within the hospital setting to reduce the risk of falling. The content aimed to foster participant belief and motivation to undertake falls-prevention strategies.	After the education, there was no significant difference between the education groups in self-perceived falls risk or knowledge of falls. A higher proportion of parti cipants in the DVD group were strongly motivated to prevent themselves from falling compared with the workbook group and had greater confidence in their ability to do so.			

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
MacCulloch 2007	USA	Review - Narrative	Review of fall-prevention and management literature.	N/A	N/A	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	To make progress toward fall and injury prevention across settings, health care orga- nizations, consumer groups, legislators, and other stake- holders must collaborate on local, regional, and national levels to identify potential interventions to reduce falls. Evidence that fall-risk assess- ment and comprehensive, multidimensional FPPs are effective in reducing falls and injuries already exists, and effective prevention programs are likely to result in lower total health care costs. An aggressive public policy agenda to reduce the number of injurious falls should be pursued, given the high human cost of falls and hip fractures.
MacIntosh 2007	UK	Review - Narrative	Review of risk factors, risk assessment tools, and falls management.	N/A	N/A	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Need for UK-wide guidelines to be developed and imple- mented.
Majumder 2013	USA	Emerging technol- ogy development	Smartphone-based fall-pre- vention system that can alert the user about their abnormal walking pattern.	N/A	Other	Phone-based sensor	System uses a gait analysis approach that couples cycle detection with feature extraction to detect gait abnormality. Potentially useful for com- munity-dwelling – possibly ambulatory care.
Malik 2012	USA	Review - Narrative	Focus on older adults with mental health problems.	Older adults with mental health problems	N/A	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	When mental health pro- blems are coupled with decreased or limited mobility, patients are at high risk for falls. Initiating fall-prevention measures is a collaborative effort. Elements to be consid- ered: risk assessment, vita- min/calcium supplementa- tion, hourly rounding, technology inclusion.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Marques 2017	Portugal	Review - Systematic	To identify the effectiveness of the use of bedrails in preventing falls among hos- pitalized older adults when compared with no use of bedrails or any type of physical restraints.	Adults 65+	Other	Bedrails	There is no scientific evi- dence comparing the use of bedrails in preventing falls among hospitalized older adults to no use of bedrails or any type of physical restraints.
Martinez-Velilla 2018	Spain	RCT	To assess the effectiveness of a multicomponent exer- cise intervention on func- tional status of elderly patients.	Acutely hospitalized patients aged 75+	Multicomponent intervention	Two daily supervised exercise sessions lasting 20 minutes for five to seven consecutive days. Exercises adapted from Vivifrail multicomponent program and included: individualized resis- tance, balance, and walking training. Control group: Usual care, including rehabilitation when needed.	No between-group difference in falls. Intervention group demonstrated improvements in functional and cognitive status, not control group. Adherence between 83% and 95% for evening and morning sessions.
Mashta 2010	UK	Text and opinion	Commentary regarding falls- prevention strategy.	N/A	Multicomponent intervention	Identifying risk factors, close monitoring (monitor fluids, wearing non-slip socks, offering to assist to toilet etc.).	Training 42 "falls-prevention champions" from every clini- cal area who will be able to run workshops for their nursing staff themselves.
Masuda 2002	Japan	Emerging technol- ogy development	Description of a monitoring system, that includes a step sensor, a wandering alarm and floor lighting.	N/A	Other	Sensors	The system was operated without any trouble. Wan- dering in each subject was detected 30 times in total. In any detection, wandering is successfully alarmed to the caregiver and treated properly.
Matarese 2014	Italy	Review - Systematic	To identify the most accurate fall-risk screening tool(s) for older inpatients (65 years of age and over) at risk of falling in acute care settings.	Adult inpatients aged 65+	N/A	Reviewed falls-risk assessment tools, including the following: STRATIFY; Hendrich Fall Risk Model; Conley Scale.	There was no strong evi- dence for the use of one risk- screening tool over another in older patients, and no tool was specifically designed for older patients. STRATIFY and Hendrik II-FRM were used most commonly, however, both had inadequate predic- tive accuracy. The authors suggest that risk-screening tools should be designed with the specific population and setting in mind and used in conjunction with clinical assessment.

K. Cooper et al.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Mayo 1994	USA	RCT	To determine whether an identification bracelet is effective in preventing falls among high-risk patients who are undergoing inpa- tient physical rehabilitation.	Inpatients in rehabilitation setting	Other	Identification bracelet	More falls occurred in inter- vention group, suggesting that the identification sys- tem was of no benefit in preventing falls among high- risk persons.
Mazurek 2018	Poland	Emerging technol- ogy development	To propose a methodology for acquisition and pre-pro- cessing of measurement data from infrared depth sensors, used in fall detec- tion, and several approaches to classify the data.	Healthy adults	Stationary fall-detec- tion device	Two wall-mounted infrared Kinect cameras with an inertial measurement unit were used to detect falls.	A system with two wall- mounted depth video cam- eras and inertial sensor showed good sensitivity (93.9–97.7%). Authors sug- gest that their study signifi- cantly contributes towards improvement in the reliabil- ity of unobtrusive monitor- ing systems. Needs to be tested in hospi- tal patients.
McCabe 2011	USA	Other	To examine the perceptions regarding physical restraint use among RNs and NAs.	Nurses and NAs	Other	Restraints	Nurses and assistants had an overall neutral perception regarding restraints. Both RNs and NAs identified treatment interference as the most important reason for restraining a patient and substituting of restraints for staff as the least important reason.
McCarter-Bayer 2005	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To describe an FPP insti- tuted in an acute care set- ting in southern Arizona that has produced encour- aging results.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	An interdisciplinary falls team was developed for the purpose of defining what constituted a fall, educating staff on falls pre- vention, identifying patients at high risk for falls, and preventing falls among inpatients.	Authors suggest that, based on the results of this study, institutions should consider fall-prevention protocols that stress staff education, con- sistent control chart presen- tation of fall data, and a comprehensive information feedback loop that outlines clear causes of falls to focus fall-prevention interventions specific to patient needs.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
McCarty 2018	USA	Descriptive	To identify and implement an evidence-based, fall-risk assessment tool for use in emergency departments.	Emergency department patients	Multicomponent intervention	lowa Model employed: falls-risk- assessment tool selected and built in to electronic medical record, including scoring and provision for selecting interven- tions recommended for the two fall-risk levels. Included: signs outside doors, socks, hourly rounding, bed in low position, and call light within reach.	The Iowa Model was a use- ful framework to select an evidence-based tool and then engage nurses in the process of implementing evi- dence-based practice changes in emergency departments across a diverse health care system serving a largely rural popu- lation. Ongoing follow-up will determine if this process results in fewer falls.
McFarlane-Kolb 2004	Australia	Cohort study (Prospective)	Described differences in falls data within and between two study cohorts before and after a multitargeted intervention was introduced.	Adult inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	STOP derived in part from previ- ous research and the FRAT Pack. The content had a practical focus, was modified to address older patients, and falls risk within acute settings, while highlighting the importance of assessment, recording systems, and follow-up procedures. It had a core objective to effectively communicate knowledge regard- ing the process of fall-risk assessment and implementation of targeted interventions based on the results of the screening process.	Fall incidence among the intervention cohort did not increase significantly despite a rise in the number of hospital admissions and a significantly higher reported fall-risk potential.
McKinley 2007	Australia	Cohort study (Prospective)	Focus on reporting the development of an FPP and short report on its effects.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Baseline data collected to iden- tify issues, formal post-fall assessment protocol, developing a flagging system, brightly col- ored cards issued, alert system for pharmacist, purchase of spe- cialized beds and chairs, devel- opment of a staff awareness program.	Reduced fall and multiple fall rates.

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
McNamara 2011	USA	Review - Narrative	Narrative review on reduc- ing falls in surgical wards.	N/A	N/A	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	A true culture of safety requires members of an organization to be willing to remain current on evidence- based practices and research, promote learning, and help colleagues create a responsible, accountable environment that encourages everyone to freely report errors without fear of negative conse- quences. A just safety culture helps ensure safety for patients and caregivers.
McQuaid-Bascon 2018	Canada	Cohort study (Retrospective)	Overview of a recently inte- grated health care system's experience in evolving their multicomponent, interpro- fessional approach to falls prevention.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	A falls strategy was developed and involved overview of high- risk medications, communication process, purchase of falls-pre- vention equipment, and patient education.	Falls Prevention Policy was completed and approved, and other documents on fall-risk assessment and intervention approaches for inpatient and ambulatory areas were posted on the hospital's internal website. Notable improvement in fall rates.
Mecocci 2016	Italy	Emerging technol- ogy development	To propose a framework for monitoring hospitalized patients, including fall detection, using environ- mentally mounted depth- imaging sensors.	Healthy adults	Stationary fall-detec- tion device	A Microsoft Kinect infrared depth camera was mounted at a side-view position and inertial data of participants was acquired by wearable sensors.	A system using infrared depth-imaging sensors was tested and correctly identi- fied 52/55 non-occluded standing falls and 15/20 occluded standing falls; fall- ing out of bed was not reported. Future work will look at fall out of the bed.
Meissner 1988	USA	Review - Narrative	Narrative review on elderly falls prevention.	N/A	Multicomponent intervention	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	FPP should include reviews surrounding when falls occur, technology available (eg, bed alert systems), iden tification of high-risk individ uals, and patient education.
Melin 2018	USA	Quality improvement	A quality improvement proj- ect to introduce and assess a process change and its ability to reduce fall rates on a medical surgical unit.	Adult inpatients	Education/training	Education session for staff regarding current fall-prevention strategies and importance of risk stratification for bed/chair alarm use (especially those not know- ing their limitations).	Fall rates decreased follow- ing the intervention.

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Miake-Lye 2013	USA	Review - Systematic	Reassess the benefits and harms of FPPs in acute care settings and to identify fac- tors associated with suc- cessful implementation of these programs.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	The review investigated: i) bene- fits and harms; ii) implementa- tion considerations and costs.	The evidence base indicate that inpatient, multicompo- nent programs are effectiv at reducing falls and that consistent themes are asso- ciated with successful impl mentation. However, there no strong evidence about which components are mo- important for success. The effects of context have not been well-studied; how ever, multicomponent inte ventions have been effecti in hospitals that vary in siz location, and teaching sta- tus. The cost of implement ing FPPs has not been rigorously assessed but get erally does not involve cap tal expenses or hiring new staff.			
Milisen 2013	Belgium	Cohort study (Retrospective)	This multicenter study aimed to determine the fea- sibility of a previously devel- oped guideline.	Health care workers	Multicomponent intervention	The guideline includes four con- secutive parts: i) case finding, ie, identification of persons at risk for falling; ii) in-depth multifac- torial assessment of risk factors; iii) targeted interventions; and iv) transfer of information at discharge.	The majority (more than 69%) of respondents judge the practice guideline as useful, but only a small majority (62.3%) believed that the guideline could be successfully integrated into their daily practice over a longer period. Barriers for implementatio included a large time invest ment, lack of communicati between the different disc plines, lack of motivation from the patient, lack of multidisciplinary teamwork and lack of interest from hospital management.			
Miller 2008	USA	Cohort study (Retrospective)	Outline of the development and short report on the effectiveness of an evi- dence-based falls program.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Sign on doors regarding fall risk, green identification band, educa- tional pamphlet for patients and families, nursing checklist, medi- cation, bed alarm, bed adjust- ment, nursing rounds, call lights etc.	Results inconclusive – falls reduced one month after the intervention but rose again in the second month			

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Mitchell 1996	Australia	Before-after design	To assess whether a struc- tured intervention would assist in preventing falls in an acute setting.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Assessment of falls risk, alert system, preventive actions, staff education, alarms, and audit.	Falls rate reduced post-inter- vention.
Mitchell 2018	Australia	Other	To map the resource alloca- tion across a partnership of large health services, to understand the amount and variability of resource allo- cation to various falls-pre- vention activities.	Health service staff	N/A	Cross-sectional survey of fall-pre- vention activities and associated costs in hospitals from six health services in Australia. Cost of ill- ness approach used and data collected at semi-structured inter- views (asking the amount of time spent on each fall-prevention activity and how frequently). Interventions included physiother- apy; continuous observers; fall assessment by non-nurses; fall- prevention alarms; nursing risk screening; patient education; moving patients to higher visibil- ity area; occupational therapy for fall prevention (written in order of cost allocation).	Physiotherapy treatments had the highest proportion of spending in fall preven- tion (18%), with continuous observers or sitters being second (14%). The total esti- mated cost over six health services was \$46,478,014 a year. Authors conclude that hospitals are spending money on strategies that have little evidence of effec- tiveness and they should consider targeting interven- tions with stronger evidence base.
Moore 2015	υκ	Review - Narrative	To review the "Throne project" to fall reduction in hospital toilets and bathrooms.	N/A	Multicomponent intervention	Motion-sensitive lighting, falls alarms, elevated toilets, etc.	Approach has now been shared with other hospitals and author suggests it could be adapted to other institu- tional settings, such as nurs- ing and care homes. With a little planning and relatively minor expenditure, life- changing falls can be avoided.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Morello 2017	Australia	Other	This study examined imple- mentation fidelity of the 6- PACK program during a large multi-site RCT.	Inpatients	Other	Adherence indicators were: i) falls-risk tool completion; and for patients classified as high- risk, provision of ii) a "Falls alert" sign, and iii) at least one additional 6-PACK intervention. Organizational support indicators were: i) provision of resources (executive sponsorship, site clini- cal leaders, and equipment); ii) implementation activities (modi- fication of patient care plans; training; implementation tailor- ing; audits, reminders and feed- back; and provision of data]; and iii) program acceptability. Data were collected from daily bedside observation, medical records, resource utilization dia- ries, and nurse surveys.	Implementation fidelity vari- able across wards, but over- all acceptable during RCT.
Morgan 2017	UK	Quasi-experimental (non-randomized)	This study designed and evaluated the use of a spe- cific implementation strat- egy to deliver a nursing staff-led intentional round- ing intervention to reduce inpatient falls.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Intentional rounding was insti- gated using a prespecified imple- mentation strategy, which comprised of: i) engagement and communication activities; ii) teamwork and systems improve- ment training; iii) support and coaching; and iv) iterative Plan- Do-Check-Act cycles.	There was a 50% reduction in patient falls on the active ward versus a minimal increase across the rest of the Trust (3.48%). Custom- ized intentional rounding, designed by staff specifically for the context, appeared to be effective in reducing patient falls.
Morse 2002	Canada	Text and opinion	This article reviews the rationale and principles of fall prevention and protec- tion in a hospital-based pro- gram designed to reduce patient falls and fall-related injuries.	N/A	Multicomponent intervention	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Understanding the principles of fall interventions and the role and appropriate use of fall protective and preven- tive interventions and of implementing a comprehen- sive program that targets interventions appropriately and will effectively meet the hospital's goal of providing safe care efficiently and at minimal cost.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Mosley 1998	USA	Before-after design	To evaluate the effective- ness of a new FPP and describe fall incidents during its effect.	Adult inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Staff education; fall-risk assess- ment. Those deemed as high risk were given the following inter- ventions: reassessment of falls risk with any medication or other changes; plan of care; fall- risk stickers on chart, bed, care plan, and wristband; green sign on door if patient fell in hospi- tal; patient education; low bed; bedrails; call bell within reach and working; dim nightlight; reg- ular assists to toilet; identify patients with orthostatic hypo- tension; encourage to ask for help standing up; close supervi- sion of confused patients; non- skid footwear; family/sitters; instructional posters; "buddy system" when buddy patient calls a nurse if patient is attempting to get up; medica- tion review.	Two years after the imple- mentation of a hospital-wide fall-prevention strategy there was a reduction in fall rate in 72% of the units studied.
Mullin 2011	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	Report of the development and effects of an FPP.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Fall protocol developed, multi- component intervention for at- risk patients, staff education, regular evaluation.	The prevention and reduc- tion of falls and delirium were the focus of the pro- gram. Decrease in falls and an increase in awareness of fall-risk factors was demon- strated.
Murphy 2008	USA	Before-after design	To describe and measure the impact of a multifaceted pro- gram developed to reduce the falls rate on an acute medical unit at an academic tertiary care center.	Inpatients in acute care	Multifactorial intervention	A new fall-precautions policy was introduced. All patients were assessed for fall risk using the Hendrich II Fall Assessment, reassessed daily and those deemed at risk given fall precau- tions. These include: non-skid footwear; a clutter-free environ- ment with a dry floor and mini- mal hazards; call bell within reach; optimal lighting; low bed (locked in position); fall-risk stickers on charts, magnets on doors and color wristbands; gait assessment and physiotherapy; personal alarms; falls tool boxes; staff education and re-education; hourly rounds.	Following the implementa- tion of a fall-prevention intervention, there was a gradual decrease in fall numbers and the number of calls by patients using call bells significantly decreased. Staff reported that they were able to address several other potential issues during rounds. Patient satisfaction increased.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Murphy 2015	USA	Before-after design	To determine the effective- ness of the Falls Roundtable intervention as a standalone performance-improvement tool for reducing the rate of falls in an urban academic trauma center emergency department.	Patients from an emer- gency department	Other	The Falls Roundtable interven- tion involves an interprofessional weekly meeting to review all patient falls having occurred that week. The type and nature of falls were classified and, where deemed preventable, further intervention recommendations were made.	The introduction of an inter- disciplinary falls roundtable intervention did not signifi- cantly affect the number or rate of falls, although a decreasing trend was pres- ent. Authors highlight the differ- ences between emergency departments and other units in relation to fall prevention and barriers to fall preven- tion, which could be addressed.
Nawaz 2015	Italy	Other	To evaluate health care pro- fessionals user experience of a fall-risk assessment tool.	Health care staff	Other	Development of computer algorithm.	Suggested that tool should be simplified and integrated with patients records better.
Nelson 2004	USA	Review - Narrative	To describe new technolo- gies that are designed to help prevent adverse events in the functional domain of mobility.	N/A	N/A	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Technology offers the poten- tial to eliminate or mitigate preventable adverse events that interfere with treat- ment, delay rehabilitation, potentiate impairment, and compromise patient safety.
Ng 2008	Singapore	Review - Systematic	To evaluate the role of restrictive bedrails in pre- venting falls in the acute hospital setting.	Hospital patients	Environment design	Bedrails – various types.	Limited primary studies and no supportive evidence for the use of bedrails.
Nho 2016	Korea	Emerging technol- ogy development	Introduces a low-cost, easily learnable, and reliable wear- able fall-detection system for patients in the hospital setting.	Healthy adults	Wearable detection device	Wristband sensor (fitted with an accelerometer, hear rate sensor to detect heart rate variability, and microprocessor) compared to a waist sensor (fitted with accelerometer only), both of which were sewn into clothes.	The fall-detection perfor- mance of the accelerometer and heart rate sensors is superior when used together. Although the waist-worn sensor had bet- ter performance, the others were close and deemed to be less intrusive. Authors suggest this is a low-cost option for fall detection. Needs to be tested in hospi- tal patients.
Nicolas 2016	USA	Text and opinion	Case study	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Family sharing brochure on patient safety that includes a patient/family/nurse contract. Hourly rounding also used.	Consistent downward trends in falls.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Nnodim 2005	USA	Text and opinion	Overview of FPP.	N/A	Multicomponent intervention	Intervention included exercise prescription, focus on medica- tions, environment modification, behavior modification, assistive protective devices, footwear assessment, vision assessment, vitamin D supplementation.	A comprehensive fall evalua tion is proposed, particularly for use in recurrent fallers.
Noel 2013	Ireland	Cohort study (Retrospective)	The aim of the committee was to implement a Hospi- tal Falls Initiative.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	A hospital-wide FPP was intro- duced that incorporated a risk assessment tool known as STRATIFY, a nursing care plan, and staff education.	Reduction in falls rate.
Noury 2007	France	Text and opinion	To present a short review of fall-detection research, dis- cuss the physics of a fall and mean of detection, and propose a common evalua- tion framework for fall- detection systems.	NA	Wearable detection device	Extensive number of interven- tions are discussed.	Small size sensors are more wearable; device mainte- nance interval not too short (reach one or two years); device activation should be automatic; communication capability enhances alert system.
Nuckols 2017	USA	Before-after design	To evaluate the clinical effectiveness and incremen- tal net cost of a fall-preven- tion intervention that involved hourly rounding by RNs at two hospitals.	Adult inpatients	Other	Hourly rounding by nurses involving regular individualized patient assessments and responding to any new findings. Nurses used the 5P method (i) assessing pain, ii) personal needs, iii) patient's position, iv) preventing falls, and v) place- ment of items within reach). Additionally, training in thinking critically about falls risk, via videos of scenarios. Nursing unit directors oversaw implementa- tion of rounding via morning huddles.	The introduction of hourly nursing rounds was associ- ated with a significant decline in fall rate in one hospital but a nonsignificant decline in the other. Nurses at both hospitals spent less time on fall-related activities after as compared with before the intervention, which equated to substantia cost savings.
Nyan 2008	Singapore	Emerging technol- ogy development	Implementation and trial results of a wearable pre- impact fall-detection proto- type, using inertial sensors to detect faint falls.	Healthy adults	Wearable detection device	A fall-detection system consisting of sensors on the thigh and waist and a data processing unit. The sensors include a 3D accel- erometer and 2D gyroscope.	100% specificity (no false alarms) and 95.2% sensitivity (40/42 falls detected). Authors report that this sys- tem had the longest "lead time" so far, with 700 ms, which could allow protec- tive mechanisms such as hip air bags to deploy and soften the fall. Needs testing in hospital patients.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
O'Connor 2006	USA	Before-after design	To describe and report on an FPP.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Morse fall risk, individualized risk profile, falls-prevention focus, post-fall debriefing, environment and equipment audits, medica- tion audits, staff training, patient and family education.	Falls rates increased slightly; significant improvements in injury rates.
Ohde 2012	Japan	Historically controlled trial	To describe the effective- ness of a multidisciplinary quality improvement activity for accidental fall preven- tion, with particular focus on staff compliance in a non-experimental clinical setting.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	The quality improvement activity for inpatient falls prevention consisted of: i) the fall-risk assessment tool, ii) an interven- tion protocol to prevent inpa- tient falls, iii) specific environmental safety interven- tions, iv) staff education, and v) multidisciplinary health care staff compliance monitoring and feed- back mechanisms.	Fall rate significantly decreased. Compliance with use of the fall-risk assessment tool at admission increased. The staff compliance rate of implementing an appropriate intervention plan increased.
Olivares 2011	Spain	Emerging technol- ogy development	To present the develop- ment of Wagyromag (Wire- less Accelerometer, GYROscope and MAGneto- meter),a wireless Inertial Measurement Unit com- posed of a triaxial acceler- ometer, gyroscope and magnetometer, which can be used for fall detection.	N/A	Wearable detection device	A wearable fall-detection device is described, consisting of a 3D accelerometer, two gyroscopes, magneto-resistive sensor (to track person's position) and tem- perature sensor, together with a processing unit. The device can be worn on the knee, wrist, waist, or other body parts.	This paper presents the development of a wearable fall-detection device and proposes several functions for its use, including fall detection.
Oliver 2000	UK	Review - Systematic	Systematic review of pub- lished hospital FPPs. Meta-analysis.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Extensive number of interven- tions included.	Main conclusions related to study design and nature of interventions. Little high-quality evidence currently.
Oliver 2007	UK	Review - Systematic	To evaluate the evidence for strategies to prevent falls or fractures in residential care and hospitals and to investi- gate the effect of dementia and cognitive impairment.	Inpatients (hospital and care homes)	N/A	Multiple interventions, including risk assessment; risk factor assessment; care planning; medi- cal/diagnostic approaches; changes in the physical environ- ment; education; medication review, hip protectors; removal of physical restraints; exercise.	There was evidence for modest reductions in rates of falls in hospital patients with multifaceted interven- tions, however, the evidence for single interventions was insufficient. The potential effect of dementia was difficult to measure as very few studies reported on this, although it is highly prevalent in participants.

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Oliver 2010	UK	Review - Narrative	Overview of falls literature	N/A	Multicomponent intervention	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Best-practice approach includes four key compo- nents: i) implementation of safer environment of care; ii) identification of specific modifiable risk factors; iii) implementation of interven- tions to target risk factors; and iv) interventions to reduce risk of injury to those who do fall.			
Opsahl 2017	USA	Quasi-experimental (non-randomized)	To examine the outcomes of adding patient and family engagement education (video) to fall-prevention bundled interventions.	Inpatients	Education/training	Quality improvement project with various aspects, including: i) all unit staff reviewed a fall- prevention nursing educational video prior to the start of the project through the internal staff electronic education system; ii) educational video aimed at patients.	Trend towards reduced fall rate following implementa- tion of fall-prevention bun- dle with video engagement for the patient.			
Padula 2011	USA	Quasi-experimental (non-randomized)	To examine the impact of lower-extremity strengthen- ing exercises and mobility on fall rates and fall rates with injury.	Inpatients	Other	The physical therapy department collaborated to develop an exer- cise "menu" targeted at lower- extremity strengthening. A series of easy, moderate, and difficult lower-extremity exercises were identified, with four exercises in each series. On the two control units, all patients received the existing standard of care, which included the GENESIS mobility protocol, without the lower-extremity strengthening exercises.	Possible benefit of lower- extremity exercises combined with mobility demonstrated. Further work required.			
Palmerini 2015	Italy	Emerging technol- ogy development	To present a novel feature for fall detection based on the wavelet-analysis of the impact phase.	Older adults	Wearable detection device	Presents a novel wavelet-based approach to fall detection, using a dataset of real-world falls.	The wavelet-based feature outperformed previous approaches, with a sensitivity of 90%. However, there was a high false alarm rate and authors make suggestions for further improvements.			

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Pappas 2015	USA	Before-after design	Development of a Patient Risk Assessment Profile tool to identify high-risk patients and assign appropriate nursing care.	Surgical inpatients	Falls-risk assessment	A Patient Risk Assessment Profile tool was developed, which included four high-risk categories: i) high fall risk and age greater than 78, ii) transplant received on current admission, iii) hepatic fail- ure, and iv) first 24 hours after gynecology surgery. Patients were scored every 12- hour shift. Patients in high-risk categories were assigned a score of 2, moderate risk categories scored 1, and other patients scored 0. Nurse-patient assignments were not to score higher than 4; if they did then the staff-to-patient ratio was altered (1:4 day, 1:5 night, or one less patient than normal for that nurse).	Following the implementa- tion of a Patient Risk Assess- ment Profile, and subsequent alterations to nursing assignment, the fall rate was reduced. Overall, there was a reduction in costs per case and nurses "incidental" overtime. The authors highlight the importance of tailoring staff- ing to unit-specific data and the nurses' view of the required amount of surveil- lance to prevent harm.			
Parsons 2015	USA	Review - Narrative	Review of protocols to prevent and manage patient falls.	N/A	Multicomponent intervention	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Health providers must have vigilant policies and health care protocols in place to promote patient safety, pre- vent patient falls, and decrease injuries for patients across the lifespan that are admitted to long-term care facilities and hospitals. Focusing on patient safety enhances quality care deliv- ery and patient outcomes while addressing escalating health costs associated with patient falls.			

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Patrick 1999	Canada	Text and opinion	To describe the interdisci- plinary intervention protocol established on a hospital- based geriatric rehabilitation program.	Geriatric inpatients and staff	Falls-risk assessment	A falls-risk assessment with three levels of risk (high, moder- ate, low) and seven risk factors was implemented: i) assessing for previous falls, ii) visual/sen- sory impairment, iii) secondary medical diagnoses, iv) mental state, v) balance, vi) mobility, and vii) medication. Each risk factor is assessed by the mem- ber of the interdisciplinary team that has the most expertise assessing that risk factor. Patients were also assessed to be independent or dependent. Based on their scores, patients received interventions addres- sing patient supervision; identifi- cation of risk; visual alerts regarding risk; mobility and transfers; toileting schedules; patient/family education; and medication management.	This study describes the development and implemen- tation of a falls-risk assess- ment and accompanying interventions used on a geri- atric rehabilitation ward. Authors suggest that the implementation of empiri- cally based and standardized nursing intervention proto- cols for fall prevention is an important aspect of support- ing patients in reaching their goals of autonomy and inde- pendence.
Petrucci 2014	Australia	Cohort study (Prospective)	To audit current compli- ance with falls-risk assess- ment, including accuracy of documentation, the imple- mentation of targeted interventions, and compliance with manda- tory education.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Implementation of standardized falls-risk assessment screening tool, with regular re-audit.	With better communication in key areas, compliance improved to desired levels.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Pinto 2017	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To decrease falls and improve patient outcomes through implementation of an interdisciplinary fall- reduction program.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	A multidisciplinary approach was taken involving leadership, staff nurses, and ancillary staff. Education was focused on the importance of decreasing fall and injury rates using different strategies to prevent falls. This included the implementation of a new hourly rounding sheet, scheduled toileting, fall debriefs/ huddles, and teaching points emailed to all staff to reinforce education. District nursing allowed nurses to be in closer proximity to their patients, thus they were more accessible. The implementation of new hourly rounding sheets, which were more concise, reinforced pur- poseful hourly rounding. In addi- tion, staff were educated to utilize a concise message of "call, don't fall," which was rein- forced with education material and visible signage in every room. Detailed fall debriefs were emailed to all staff following every fall that examined the specific incident and provided learning points to reinforce prac- tice change.	Findings show that falls decrease when staff are properly implementing all interventions. There was a decrease in falls by 50% since the start of this falls initiative. Findings also proved that when certain interventions, such as sched- uled toileting, were not being followed, falls were more likely to occur. Nursing staff became more aware of causes of falls and improved practice as far as preventing falls.
Poe 2005	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To outline and evaluate a pilot study of an FPP.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Fall-risk identification, fall-pre- vention strategy: evidence-based and risk stratified, including for high fall risks, flagging system, accompany patient to toilet, observed every 60 minutes, use rail protectors, transport through hospital, bed/chair alarm, etc.	Need for a simple, guided, and time-efficient approach to implementation of the best evidence into clinical practice.
Pond 2017	USA	Text and opinion	Opinion on FPP and case study.	Inpatients	Multifactorial intervention	Use of the MFS, individual care plans, and post-fall debriefings.	Decrease in the number of falls and a reduction in the severity of injury when falls do occur. Authors suggest that an all-staff approach to quality care inspires greater buy-in and participation, which fosters best-practice outcomes.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Potter 2016	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	To assess the ability of a depth-sensor system to cap- ture inpatient fall events within patient hospital rooms.	Inpatients	Other	Sensor	A total of 16 falls involving 13 patients were recorded by depth sensors. Nurses had less than two minutes from the time a patient began to exit a bed to the time a fall occurred. Patients expressed few com- plaints about depth sensors being installed in rooms.
Potter 2017	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	Combined depth and bed- sensor system designed to assign patient fall probabil- ity, detect patient bed exits, and subsequently prevent falls was evaluated.	Inpatients	Other	Kinect depth sensor computes fall risk probability, sends text alerts when patient exits bed, captures actual falls, and sends text alerts to staff when falls occur. Computes timed up and go score each time patient walks. Hydraulic bed sensor placed under mattress.	Statistically significant reduc- tion in fall rate. Sensor technology is a viable fall-prevention option.
Primmer 2015	USA	Historically controlled trial	Innovative program that involves educating unli- censed assistive personnel to act as safe patient obser- vation technicians, or "spot- ters," for up to four patients grouped in a safety zone.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Education session for all nurses, spotters, and other unit-based unlicensed assistive personnel was created. Key messages addressed the need to keep patients safe, and spotters were taught safety-enhancing inter- ventions, such as reorienting patients and providing diver- sional activities.	The pilot unit has produced a sustained reduction in falls since the initiation of the spotter program. No falls resulting in injury occurred during the initial pilot period.
Putnam 2015	USA	Text and opinion	Overview/opinion on falls prevention	N/A	Multicomponent intervention	Suggest individualized approach and treat every patient as a falls risk (No one walks alone pro- gram). Preventive measures include: call nurse for help on getting up, visual cues for health care staff (signs, symbols and wristbands). Involvement of frontline nurses in solution finding.	Individualized approach to falls prevention important.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Quigley 2007	USA	Review - Narrative	Narrative review providing examples of actual FPPs and their approaches to mea- surement.	N/A	Multicomponent intervention	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Data analysis using only general fall rates lacks speci ificity needed to profile effectiveness of fall-risk reduction programs and injury prevention methods. The exemplars of data man agement, analysis, and reporting for systematic analysis of patient, unit, and organizational factors illustrated vital component of program evaluation needed for understanding the effectiveness of patient safety programs surround- ing falls. These exemplars have results that are mean- ingful to patients, clinicians administrators and policy makers. Falls can be pre- vented and severity of fall- related injuries can be minimized.
Quigley 2009	USA	Cohort study (Retrospective)	Tests of change on two medical surgical units focused on engaging unit- based staff and combining innovations for vulnerable populations at greatest risk for injury if they fall.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	The interventions implemented to prevent falls were Teach Back and Toileting Prior to Pain Medi- cation; the intervention to pre- vent repeat falls and injuries was a Safety Huddle Post Fall; and the interventions to reduce inju- rious falls were Toileting and Turns Rounds, Comfort Care and Safety Rounds, and Automatic High Risk Falls Identification.	Total fall rates per 1000 patient days displayed a slight downward trend in al acute care units.
Quigley 2013	USA	Review - Narrative	To showcase the compo- nents of a patient safety culture and the integration of these components with fall prevention.	N/A	N/A	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Measurement systems uti- lized for performance remains at the aggregate level, not affording precise evaluation of program changes and measurement. The authors assert that mea- surement must change by setting up program evalua- tion that examines organiza tional, unit, and patient level data. A model is pro- posed.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Quigley 2014	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	Developed and implemen- ted an operational strategic plan to address each FPP element and enhance pro- gram infrastructure and capacity.	Inpatients in psychiatry	Multicomponent intervention	Several projects across multiple hospitals: i) FPP customized for inpatient psychiatry; ii) unit peer leader program for falls; iii) cus- tomization of hip protectors and floor mats.	Fall rates variable. Authors suggest that find- ings support continued efforts to integrate measures to reduce serious fall-related injuries.
Quigley 2016	USA	Review - Narrative	To apply level of evidence rating scales to identify the best-practice interventions to prevent falls on rehabili- tation units.	Inpatients in rehabilitation settings	N/A	A review of interventions for fall prevention including the follow- ing: fall-risk screening; sitters; bed/chair alarms; signage for falls risk; floor mats; hip protectors.	Authors highlight the differ- ence between risk screening and patient assessment and the need for both to be performed. Using only evi- dence-based practice is encouraged. There is little evidence for sitters reducing fall-risk but they can prevent harm if present. The linking of bed/chair alarms to fall risk is questioned. Signage of fall risk does not appear to differentiate care. Floor mats and hip protectors are identified as low cost and low risk methods of protect- ing patients from injury.
Rabadi 2008	USA	Cohort study (Retrospective)	To define the fall incidence rate in patients on an acute stroke rehabilitation unit who routinely undergo fall- prevention measures.	Adult stroke patients	Multifactorial inter- vention	All patients received wheelchair lap belts and bedrails and neuro- psychiatric drugs were used sparingly. Incontinent patients had a two-hour timed toileting schedule. Patients considered as high fall risk (based on their fall history in the referring hospital, observed impulsive behavior, and poor understanding of their abilities and impairments) were also given the following inter- ventions: bed/chair alarms; enclosed beds; rooms close to nursing station.	A retrospective review of patient records showed that 117 (15.5%) of 754 patients fell when fall-prevention strategies were in place. Those who fell had more cognitive, visual, physical, postural instability, and pro- prioceptive impairments, and lower admission ambu- lation speed. Particularly having cognitive impairment and slower ambulation was predictive of falling.
Rakhecha 2013	USA	Emerging technol- ogy development	The wearable wrist watch is programmable and has an in-built accelerometer sen- sor and microcontroller circuitry.	N/A	Wearable detection device	Fall-detection device consisting of wrist watch and wireless sen- sor network.	Algorithm was 81% efficient with watch on the wrist. However, if placed on waist or trunk of the patient, the efficiency increases to 89%. Consumes very little power and has an option of setting the watch to idle mode to save power. Needs testing in hospital patients.

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Ranasinghe 2012	Australia	Emerging technol- ogy development	Describe development and evaluation of RFID-based system and algorithms.	Heathy adults	Wearable detection device	Wireless identification and sens- ing platforms; RFID readers and antennas; patient-monitoring software.	94–100% accuracy achieved in pilot trial.			
Ranasinghe 2014	Australia	Emerging technol- ogy development	Wearable sensor enabled RFID tag that is battery-free, low cost, lightweight, main- tenance free, and can be worn. continuously for auto- matic and unsupervised remote monitoring.	N/A	Wearable detection device	Wearable sensor enabled RFID tag that is battery-free, low cost, lightweight, maintenance free, and can be worn continuously for automatic and unsupervised remote monitoring of activities of frail patients at acute hospi- tals or residents in residential care. Sternum-worn and mattress sensors tested.	Successful pilot trial reported. Sternum method most accu- rate for detecting bed exit. Needs testing in hospital patients.			
Rantz 2014	USA	Emerging technol- ogy development	To test the implementation of a fall detection and "rewind" privacy protecting technique using the Micro- soft Kinect to not only detect but prevent falls from occurring in hospital- ized patients.	Adult inpatients	Other	Kinect depth camera in patient rooms, logging data continu- ously.	During pilot study, falls were detected at acceptable false positive rate, supporting ongoing deployment of Kinect sensors for fall detection.			
Rauch 2009	USA	Cohort study (Prospective)	Designed, implemented, and monitored a new FPP.	Inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	First steps were comprised of a collaborative evaluation of cur- rent program findings. These findings included: past fall his- tory; current policy language and clinical understanding of the written policy; compliance and implementation of policy guide- lines; and frontline staff mem- bers' understanding, as well as perception of program signifi- cance in everyday practice. From the initial collaborative evalua- tion, a plan was designed and change began.	Early results promising with reduced falls. Additionally, the pilot unit staff compli- ance with the program is steadily improving.			

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Rawashdeh 2012	USA	Emerging technol- ogy development	Developing a motion moni- toring system to reduce the number of accidental falls among patients at acute risk, while preserving their privacy.	Elderly inpatients	Wearable detection device	The prototypical system includes five accelerometer-based wire- less sensors that are placed on the wrists, ankles, and chest of a patient. The system senses the movements and postures of the patient and transmits the infor- mation wirelessly to a remote base station. The received motion information is processed in real time and used to animate a 3D avatar that figuratively represents the movements of the patient. The 3D avatar is intended to give care staff early warning of patient wakefulness, agitation, and of patients attempt to arise from the bed without assistance, while pre- serving the privacy of the patients.	Prototype has undergone preliminary testing success- fully on several elderly patients.			
Razjouyan 2017	USA	Emerging technol- ogy development	Feasibility of wearable technology to consciously monitor physical activity, sleep postures, and heart rate variability as potential markers of fall risk in the acute care setting.	Inpatients form hematol- ogy/oncology unit	Wearable detection device	The sensor was attached to participants' chests using stan- dard electrocardiogram electro- des. The device is capable of recording several biological parameters, including electrocar- diogram (250 Hz), respiratory rate (25 Hz), body temperature (1 Hz), and 3D acceleration (100 Hz).	The current proof-of-concep study demonstrated feasibil- ity of using a wearable tech nology for monitoring of physical activity and physio- logical parameters in an acute care setting and dur- ing entire length of stay. In addition, it demonstrated the proof-of-concept of using wearable technology to identify high fall risk in patients via monitoring day and night physical activities and heart rate variability.			

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)	Continued)										
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations				
Reich 2017	USA	Before-after design	To create and develop a Certified Falls Prevention Advocate program, to involve nursing support staff in falls prevention and decrease the number of falls with injury.	Patients and staff (specifi- cally the PCTs) on the medical surgical unit	Education/training	The intervention aimed at involving nursing support staff (PCTs) in fall-prevention initia- tives. An internal certification program (Certified Falls Preven- tion Advocate) was created to standardize PCT involvement and engage them as part of the interdisciplinary team. The one- hour training session focused on the PCT's role in preventing inju- ries related to falls and interven- tions that can be performed independently of the RN, while highlighting the importance of communication, teamwork, and collaboration with all team members. PCT interventions included: placing the patient on falls precautions; bed/chair alarm; non-slip socks; falls ID wristband; door sign; transfer to specialty bed; contact the falls team; post-falls debrief.	There was a significant decrease in falls with injury in the year following an intervention aimed at encouraging nursing support staff participation in fall pre- vention. Support staff knowl- edge improved and the authors reported a change in the safety culture of the unit.				
Rescio 2018	Italy	Emerging technol- ogy development	To develop a low computa- tional cost expert system for real time and automatic fall- risk detection using EMG.	Piloted on young, healthy volunteers	Wearable detection device	A wearable surface EMG-based unbalance detection system was developed and piloted. The sys- tem consists of four wearable EMG sensors placed on the lower limbs (Gastrocnemius and Tibialis anterior muscles) and connected wirelessly to a USB receiver at a PC. A database of activities of daily living and fall events was used to develop a fall prediction algorithm.	An EMG-based, wearable fall-detection system was piloted to increase the time between the inception of a fall and impact on the ground. The system had a longer time before impact (770 ms) compared with inertial systems (200– 700 ms). However, specificity (81.3%) and sensitivity (91.3%) were lower, so the authors suggest further work is needed.				

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Rialle 1999	France	Emerging technol- ogy development	Aims not explicit but describes a study on a multi-agent model in a con- text of cognitive science modeling for a smart room.	Unclear who the person was.	Stationary fall-detec- tion device	Set up smart room and tested physical variables (sensors) and semiotic variables (eg, nurses, room differences that aid inter- pretation of the physical vari- ables) on one person over "several" nights. Physical ele- ments included a camera, tar- gets, and sensors all configured to provide information within a smart room.	The day following the agi- tated night the patient fell. This could be correlated with the agitated night recorded actimetry. In daily clinical use of the system, such information could have allowed nurses to suspect an increased risk of fall, and reinforce patient care. Authors make technical com- ments on their sensors and other approaches to falls research.
Rimland 2016	Italy	Review - Systematic	To systematically examine reviews and meta-analyses evaluating non-pharmaco- logical interventions to pre- vent falls in older adults in the community, care facili- ties, and hospitals.	Review includes older adults in the community, care facilities, and hospi- tals.	N/A	No intervention applied. This study is part of the ONTOP (Optimal Evidence-Based Non- drug Therapies in Older People) project, a work-package of a European Union-funded FP7 research project named SENA- TOR (Software ENgine for the Assessment and Optimization of drug and non-drug Therapy in Older peRsons). An overview of systematic reviews, including at least one comparative study, was performed. The following inter- ventions were reported: exercise (gait, balance, functional train- ing, strength/resistance training, flexibility, 3D [Tai Chi], general physical activity, endurance or other), surgery, management of urinary incontinence, fluid or nutrition therapy, psychological, environment/assistive technology (including low beds, walking aids, hip protectors, identifica- tion bracelets, vision assess- ment/correction, bed alarms and footwear), social environment (staff training), knowledge, and other.	Multifactorial interventions appeared to be the most commonly effective inter- ventions, including reducing falls in hospitals. Exercise was the most frequently reported intervention, either in combination with others or alone, and was very effec- tive in community and had some positive results in hos- pital. Environmental modifi- cations only worked in higher risk patients. Man- agement of urinary inconti- nence might have some effect of fall numbers in hospital.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Rimland 2017	Italy	Text and opinion	To develop clinical recom- mendations for non-phar- macological interventions to prevent falls in older adults based on published evi- dence gathered in a system- atic overview of reviews.	N/A (studies involved older adults)	N/A	To provide recommendations, a clinical question was formulated by an expert group for each single component intervention identified from a previous over- view review. A summary of find- ings was created and the expert panel commented on these and recommendations were considered.	45 clinical questions and responses were formulated; eight of which were pre- sented here as they had strong recommendations and moderate-quality evi- dence. The authors recom- mend the use of multifactorial interventions (exercise, medication review, management of urinary incontinence, fluid or nutri- tional therapy, environment/ assistive technology, social environment, knowledge and ophthalmology referral) to reduce falls in older adults (aged 65+) in hospital.
Ringquist 2015	USA	Before-after design	Evaluate the effectiveness of an FPP on an acute rehabili- tation unit and decrease the number of falls on the unit from the 90th to 50th per- centile in six months.	Staff on the rehabilitation unit	Education/training	The multidisciplinary staff on the unit were motivated to perform fall-prevention measures by hav- ing a daily key performance indicator board in the hallway, which included a calendar show- ing green for fall-free days and red for a day with a fall; as well as the number of consecutive fall-free days, which the staff updated daily. Post-fall multidis- ciplinary root cause analysis was performed on all falls and results communicated to staff.	The implementation of a key performance indicator board and post-fall root cause analysis decreased the total fall rate in the rehabilitation unit. The unit also reached their goal of decreasing the fall rate from above the 90th percentile to just above the 25th percentile in six months. The success of the program was accredited to daily awareness, improved communication, and identifi- cation of further prevention strategies.
Robinson 2016	USA	Before-after design	To reduce the fall rate in two medical/surgical units using a multimodal inter- vention program focused on improving patients' percep- tion of staff responsiveness to calls for help.	Patients on two medical/ surgical units	Multicomponent intervention	(Plan-Do-Study-Act methods): A multicomponent intervention was implemented and fall rate reassessed after seven months. Interventions included the fol- lowing: fall-prevention brochure; fall contract between nurse/ patient; mobility/activity hud- dles; hourly rounding addressing 4Ps (pain, potty, position, per- sonal belongings); a mobility tech program (assisted ambula- tion three times a day) in just one unit.	Following seven months of the FPP, the fall rate reduced on one unit but increased on another. The provision, or not, of regular assisted ambulation was the main difference between the units and may have contrib- uted to the decline in fall rates on the participating unit. Patient satisfaction increased on both units.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Rosete 2015	USA	Cohort study (Retrospective)	To examine the fall rate and percentage of falls for stroke and transient ische- mic attack patients after the implementation of a suc- cessful FPP in a nursing unit.	Hospitalized stroke patients	Multicomponent intervention	Abstract reports on an FPP called "No One Walks Alone," which involves a team approach (including desk clerk, primary nurse, patient, family) and the following interventions: bed alarms; all patients identified as a fall risk; routine rounding.	Following the implementa- tion of a multicomponent intervention in stroke patients on a nursing ward, there was no significant change in fall number and rates, although it tended to increase. The authors recom- mend further studies with a larger cohort and consider- ation of patient population specific risk factors.
Ross 2012	USA	Text and opinion	An overview article of falls incidence, prevalence, and impact in a rehabilitation setting, which also identifies key factors for assessing falls risk and potential strat- egies to reduce risks.	Aimed at patients in reha- bilitation facilities	N/A	No intervention applied. Authors comment on the following inter- ventions: assessment for risk of falling from physical examination and assessing medical history; pre-bedtime toileting; patient/ family education; multidisciplin- ary rounds; activities in common areas; medication review for high fall risk medications; blood pressure and heart rate check; environmental modifications (low bed with brakes; night light; clean up spills; remove clutter; call bell, glasses, dentures within reach); adequate staffing for highest fall risk; anti-slip socks/ footwear; single physician in charge of prescribing medication in patient record; limit restraint use; bed/chair alarms; visual cues; treating orthostatic hypo- tension; hip protectors; exercise and activities of daily living train- ing; assistive devices.	Authors discuss risk factors specific to patients in reha- bilitation facilities and sug- gest a variety of interventions which can be implemented. No specific conclusions.

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Rossy 1997	Canada	Before-after design	To develop and implement an FPP in a geriatric assess- ment unit, which easily iden- tifles patients at high risk of falling, for eventual hospital- wide implementation.	Geriatric patients	Multifactorial intervention	A fall-risk questionnaire was implemented first in the unit, then hospital-wide (which assessed history of previous fall; altered thought process; mobility impairment; altered elimination; predisposing medications and perceptual/spatial disturbance); purple was used to identify patients at risk (dot on kadrex; sign above bed); plan of care specific for geriatrics (including routine toileting; lighting evalua- tion; mobility aids); patient edu- cation leaflets; staff education sessions; continuous assessment of falls and injuries with results displayed on noticeboards.	The number of falls on the geriatric unit reduced in the first year after the imple- mentation of a fall-risk assessment tool and FPP, but increased slightly in the second and third years. Staff increased their use of the tool and an updated version has been implemented across the hospital.			
Røyset 2019	Norway	Observational	To assess the effectiveness of a fall-prevention inter- vention in reducing rate of fallers, improving perceived patient safety culture, and patient experienced safety.	Inpatients aged 65+	Multifactorial intervention	Patients screened for risk fac- tors. All patients received medi- cation review and information about the room and surrounding environment. Individually tai- lored interventions included: locking wheels, items within reach, low-low beds, lighting adjustments, remove clutter, assisted out of bed, footwear, physical training, medication review, treatment of conditions, nutrition. Control: did not partic- ipate in safety campaign but continued routine preventive measures.	There were no significant differences between inter- vention and control on the rate of fallers, patient safety culture, or patient-perceived safety.			

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Rutledge 1998	USA	Review - Narrative	To synthesize scientifically based assessments and recommendations on fall- risk assessment and fall pre- vention in health care facili- ties and discuss implementation strategies from specific research-based practice innovations.	Not specified	N/A	No intervention applied. Detailed descriptions and reliability/valid- ity of the following fall-risk assessment tools are presented: MFS; Schmid Fall Risk Assess- ment Tool; Hendrich Fall Risk Model; Downton Fall Risk Index. The following interventions are discussed: risk ID bracelets; post- fall assessment; fall-risk assess- ment with implementation of nursing care protocols; alarm devices; hip protection; rest stop (chair mid-way between walk from bed to toilet); toileting rounds; area redesign. Different strategies for implementation and safety culture change are also discussed.	Authors highlight the benefit of using standardized evi- dence-based falls-risk tools and proper training to improve their effectiveness. Identifying patients at risk of falling and collecting good quality data for continual assessment of falls/injury rates are noted as important to any FPP. Targeted inter- ventions tailored to the patient group (and their underlying causes for falls) and clinical setting are encouraged.
Ryu 2009	USA	Quality improvement	The paper describes a proj- ect to implement and evalu- ate patient and family education on fall prevention on a neuroscience unit in an acute care hospital.	Patients with a Hendrich fall-risk score of 5 and above, and family	Education/training	A performance-improvement project was initiated to improve the nurses' use of fall-prevention education for patients, but to also include family in the educa- tion. One-to-one education ses- sions, lasting five to 20 minutes, were performed by a nurse with patient and family members using the Information About Fall Prevention pamphlet to guide the topics. Some patients had more than one session.	None of the patients who received the education pro- gram fell during the course of the study. A "call, don't fall" poster was also imple- mented but results not yet reported.
Sahota 2014	UK	RCT	Study reports the results of a large, pragmatic, parallel arm, randomized controlled trial of bed and bedside chair sensors using radio- pagers to reduce inpatient bedside falls in acute, gen- eral medical, elderly care wards in a UK hospital.	All patients admitted to one of the three acute general medical elderly care wards	Stationary fall- detection device	Participants in the intervention group had a bed and bedside chair pressure sensor linked up to a radio pager for the duration of their stay in the elderly care ward. An alarm would be trig- gered if patients left the bed or chair and the sensor was with- out pressure for 5 seconds, send- ing the patient's location to the nurse aide or nurse via the pager. Control group had usual care with no sensors.	The number of falls and falls rate were not significantly different when using bed and chair alarms with a pager system compared to usual care. The cost per patient was higher in the intervention group. The authors suggest that nurses may not answer a call quickly enough to prevent falls.

K. Cooper et al.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Salem 2015	Saudi Arabia	Qualitative study	To explore the medical and public opinion about the Jana's Bed Belt for high-risk fall patients.	Medical staff and public participants from local hospitals and universities	N/A	No intervention applied. Study participants were questioned on their opinions regarding the use of a Jana's Bed Belt for patients/ individuals at high risk of falling in long-term stay and wards in hospitals to prevent falls. A short three-question yes/no question- naire was used.	Most of the participants gave the opinion that they would be willing to use the belt (78%) and did not see it as a restraint (81%) and almost all medical staff (97%) would recommend it for their patients.
Sand-Jecklin 2019	USA	Descriptive	To evaluate the video moni- toring process at a large teaching hospital; including staff, technician, patient/ family perspectives on patient monitoring.	Medical and surgical inpa- tient settings	Stationary fall- detection device	Video monitoring only	Video monitoring was seen as being effective in fall prevention by most staff and patients/family.
Satoh 2006	Japan	Emerging technol- ogy development	To evaluate effectiveness of Kinect camera system for detecting awakening behavior.	Healthy volunteers	Stationary fall- detection device	Infrared camera system for human behavior classification.	System ready for in-the-field testing.
Savage 2001	Canada	Before-after design	Evaluation of multifactorial FPP.	Nursing staff and geriatric psychiatric patients	Multicomponent intervention	Multicomponent, including: edu- cational intervention (for nursing staff); risk factors assessment SAFE protocol assessment (Safety Assessment for the Frail Elderly); fall log.	Falls reduced post-interven- tion.
Schwarzmeier 2014	Germany	Emerging technol- ogy development	Describes passive activity monitoring system using energy efficient, small and lightweight active ultra-high frequency RFID sensor tag, infrastructure of multiple RFID readers and reader antennas, as well as server software (backend).	N/A	Wearable detection device	Lightweight accelerometer worn on clothing.	N/A
Schwendimann 2006	Switzerland	Observational	Examine inpatient fall rates and consequent injuries before and after implemen- tation of interdisciplinary FPP.	Adult inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Multicomponent: falls-risk assessment; physician assess- ment; general safety measures; and specific interventions.	No substantial decrease in fall frequency or injuries fol- lowing interdisciplinary fall- prevention intervention.
Schwendimann 2006	Switzerland	Quasi-experimental (non-randomized)	Evaluate effectiveness of nurse-led, FPP.	Adult inpatients	Multicomponent intervention	Multicomponent: fall-risk assess- ment; protocol of nursing inter- ventions (n = 15) aimed at reducing falls; fall incident reporting system; information and education of nursing team.	Effective at preventing multi- ple falls but not first falls.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Schwickert 2013	Germany	Review - Systematic	Assemble, extract and criti- cally discuss published infor- mation on studies in the field of falls and body-worn sensors.	Varied – mostly healthy young populations	Wearable detection device	Body-worn sensors, including accelerometers, gyroscopes, pressure sensors, switches, and magnetometers.	Limited methodological agreement in sensor-based fall-detection studies using body-worn sensors. Need for standardization of methodol- ogy for measuring and reporting falls. Lack of stud- ies on real-world falls; mostly on young, healthy adults simulating falls.
Selvabala 2012	India	Emerging technol- ogy development	Description of wireless sensor network for elderly people.	Not stated	Wearable detection device	Two sensors: tri-axis accelerome- ter and passive infrared sensor.	99% accuracy in detecting falls; need for real-world data.
Semin-Goossens 2003	Netherlands	Other	To implement evidence- based nursing guidelines to achieve reduction in fall incidence.	Adult inpatients	Multifactorial intervention	Nursing guideline incorporating risk assessment; fall reporting; nursing interventions (informing patient and relatives); environ- mental; restraints where neces- sary; extra observation rounds.	Implementation of guideline did not result in reduction in falls.
Shever 2008	USA	Observational	Determine the cost of sur- veillance for older hospital- ized patients at risk of falling.	Over 60s	Other	Nursing surveillance	\$191 more for high surveil- lance; might be supported if evidence of clinical benefit.
Shever 2011	USA	Other	To describe nursing prac- tices around fall prevention as perceived by nurse managers.	Nurse managers	Other	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed	Interventions used (most common first): bed alarms; rounds; sitters; relocation of patients closer to nurses' station; sign identifying fall risk; low bed; wrist band; siderails down; physical restraint; increased monitor- ing/surveillance; call light within reach; non-skid slip- pers; referral to pharmacy; referral to physical therapy; personal items within reach; ambulation.
Shim 2011	Korea	Emerging technol- ogy development	Description of development of fall-detection system using webcams.	Test subjects (experimental conditions)	Stationary fall- detection device	Webcams attached to hospital beds.	Fall-detection rate 93% and false rate 9%; future work proposed, including improve- ments to algorithm and hardware and use of infra- red cameras.
Shinmoto Torres 2016	Australia	Emerging technol- ogy development	Evaluation of battery-less, sensor-based chair and bed- exit recognition approach.	Healthy people over 65	Wearable detection device	Flexible wearable wireless identi- fication and sensing platform device: passive RFID tag with accelerometer and microcontroller.	Promising preliminary results. Further work indi- cated on sensors (type and placement) and in tar- get population (older inpatients).

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Shinmoto Torres 2017	Australia	Emerging technol- ogy development	Description and evaluation of classification algorithm for recognizing chair and bed exits using accelerome- ter and RFID.	Healthy over 65s and geriatric patients	Wearable detection device	Flexible, wearable, wireless identi- fication and sensing platform device: passive RFID tag with accelerometer and microcontroller.	Novel hierarchical classifica- tion model presented that performs well against other models in healthy adults and patients. Need for further work on effectiveness of system.
Shorr 2012	USA	RCT	Effectiveness of bed alarms at preventing falls.	Inpatients in general medi- cal, surgical, and specialty unit.	Stationary fall-detec- tion device	Bed alarms	Increased alarm use in inter- vention units compared to control but no difference in fall-related outcomes.
Shuey 2014	USA	Quality improvement	Quality improvement proj- ect on falls prevention bun- dle in oncology unit.	Adults	Multicomponent intervention	Multicomponent nursing inter- vention.	Falls with injury reduced; fall rates unchanged.
Shuman 2016	USA	Qualitative study	Explore patients' percep- tions of falls-prevention interventions.	Inpatients aged 60+	Other	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Health care providers need to more fully engage patients and families in understanding fall-preven- tion strategies.
Silkworth 2016	USA	Quality improvement	Enhance patient and family participation in fall prevention.	Inpatients	Other	Video for patients and families	Fall rate reduced by 29.4%, but confounding factors present: hospital on Magnet journey and other fall-pre- vention interventions imple- mented at same time.
Spano-Szekely 2019	USA	Quality improvement	To improve the current FPP and reduce falls.	Medical/surgical inpatients	Multifactorial inter- vention	All patients receive universal precautions and, depending on patients' risk category, individu- alized interventions were imple- mented (including: injury assessment, medication review, mobility assessment and mobility equipment, communication of fall risk, bed/chair alarms, hourly rounding). Staff education included. Video monitoring added later.	54% reduction in falls and 72% reduction in sitter usage, resulting in annual savings of \$84,000 following the implementation of the intervention including video monitoring.
Spetz 2015	USA	Economic evaluation	Assess cost savings associ- ated with implementing nursing approaches to pre- venting falls.	Adult inpatients	Other	Sitters; bed-exit monitors; multi- disciplinary integrated program; patient education.	FPPs can reduce treatment costs, but in many scenarios, costs are greater than potential savings. FPPs need to be carefully targeted to highest risk patients.
Spiva 2014	USA	Quasi-experimental (non-randomized)	Effect of training curriculum on safety culture, teamwork, behavior, and fall prevention.	Caregivers, including nurses, pharmacists, physical therapists, and physicians	Education/training	TeamSTEPPS training program and video vignettes covering communication, situational mon- itoring, mutual support, and leadership.	Fall rates and injury rates reduced in intervention group. Most measures improved in intervention group.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Spoelstra 2012	USA	Review - Narrative	Review of fall-prevention interventions.	Adults	N/A	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Multifactorial interventions most effective. Effective studies included some or all of: developing safety culture fall-risk assessment; multi- factorial interventions; post- fall follow-up and quality improvement; integration with electronic records.
Spritzer 2015	USA	Cohort study (Retrospective)	Retrospective evaluation of fall-prevention measures.	All patients	Other	Multiple interventions evaluated.	Trend to reduced fall rate with rounding, patient edu- cation, bed alarms, two-per- son assistance for high-risk patients and immediate post-fall team review, but no single intervention more effective than other. Ceiling lift system for out-of-bed use reduced falls to zero (15 months).
Staranowicz 2015	USA	Emerging technol- ogy development	Develop easy-to-use calibra- tion system for falls-detec- tion system using multiple Kinect-like cameras.	Not reported	Stationary fall- detection device	Use of Kinect-type cameras.	Calibration method accurate and easy to use; future research required.
Stenvall 2006	Sweden	RCT	Effectiveness of postopera- tive multidisciplinary pro- gram on falls and fall- related injuries after femoral neck fracture.	Patients with femoral neck fracture aged over 70	Multifactorial inter- vention	Multicomponent intervention including: ward layout; staffing; staff education; teamwork; indi- vidual care planning; prevention and treatment of complications; nutrition; rehabilitation.	Multidisciplinary, multifac- torial intervention resulted in fewer falls and fewer injuries.
Stephenson 2016	Australia	Other	To assess falls-prevention practices in Australian hos- pitals and implement inter- ventions to promote best practice.	Hospital staff	Multicomponent intervention	Range of interventions used at different sites designed to address barriers to compliance: staff and patient education com- mon interventions.	Compliance with fall-preven- tion strategies increased from 50.4% to 74.1%. Fall rates were unchanged. Clinical audit and feedback are effective strategies for promoting quality improve- ment in fall prevention in acute hospital settings.
Stern 2009	Australia	Review - Systematic	Determine how effective interventions that are designed to reduce the inci- dence of falls in older adult patients in acute care hospi- tals, when compared with standard practice or no intervention.	Older adult patients (65+) in acute care hospitals	Other	Extensive number of interven- tions included.	Following may be effective: multidisciplinary, multifacto- rial intervention (falls-risk alert card, exercise program, education program, and use of hip protectors); 1:1 patient education package; targeted risk-factor-reductior intervention.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Stoeckle 2019	USA	Quality improvement	Quality improvement proj- ect to identify and imple- ment evidence-based interventions to prevent falls and injuries in an emer- gency department.	Patients and staff in the emergency department	Multifactorial	In addition to universal fall pre- vention: staff education, door falls-risk sign, patient family edu- cation, fall champion. (Provision of sign and patient/family educa- tion was based on fall risk.)	Zero falls were recorded in the month immediately fol- lowing intervention imple- mentation, however, there doesn't appear to have beer a significant decrease in falls in the following months.
Stubbs 2015	UK	Other	Conduct an umbrella review of meta-analyses on falls- prevention interventions in hospitals and long-term care facilities.	Older adults, aged 60+	Other	Extensive number of interven- tions discussed.	Only 2/10 meta-analyses were on hospital settings. Evidence that multifactorial interventions reduce falls in hospital setting. Need for further research.
Su 2017	Taiwan	Emerging technol- ogy development	Simulation of four kinds of neural networks (eg, the multilayer perceptron, radial basis function, support vec- tor machine, and deep neu- ral network) were adopted and compared for fall detec- tion using six scenarios.	Not stated: 7 subjects	Stationary fall- detection device	Kinect depth camera and neural network-based fall-detection algorithm.	98% accuracy of algorithm. Could be used in a smart hospital; further research required using realistic data.
Sutton 2014	UK	Audit	Evaluate FallSafe: falls-pre- vention interventions rigor- ously applied in care bundles in an acute hospital.	All inpatients in 14 wards	Multicomponent intervention	Two care bundles: one for all patients and one for those at risk of falling.	25% reduction in falls using bundles: unclear if this is for bundle A, B, or A and B combined.
Sweeting 1994	UK	Text and opinion	Description of strategy for falls prevention in elderly patients.	All staff and elderly patients	Multifactorial intervention	Fall-risk assessment; colored wristbands; staff training; resource packs on wards; patient and visitor leaflets.	Decreased number of falls.
Swift 2014	UK	Text and opinion	Overview of National Insti- tute for Health and Care Excellence guidelines.	N/A	Multifactorial intervention	Do not use fall-risk-prediction tools; consider older patients to be at risk; do use multifactorial falls assessment and interven- tions that are tailored to individ- ual needs.	Individually tailored multifac- torial risk assessment and intervention recommended for preventing inpatient falls.
Szumlas 2004	USA	Before-after design	Evaluation of falls-preven- tion intervention.	All patients	Multicomponent intervention	Fall-assessment tool plus multi- factorial intervention: environ- mental; patient/family education; sign on patient's door; assistance with toileting; PT/OT consultation; hourly checks; 1:1 monitoring.	Reduction in falls following implementation of interven- tion.
Takanokura 2016	Japan	Emerging technol- ogy development	Development of MEMS sys- tem (micro-electro-mechani- cal-system) for falls detection and prevention that could be applied in hospital setting.	Healthy young males	Other	Microcomputer and sensing devices; force resistance sensors on bed and passive infrared sensors under bed and in front of door.	Could be used in hospital setting; more research required.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Takeda 2013	Japan	Emerging technol- ogy development	Describe use of Kinect cam- era to detect behavior that might lead to falls in hospi- tal-like settings.	Not stated	Stationary fall- detection device	Kinect camera	System accurate both in light and dark. Further research in the field required.
Tartu 2014	Australia	Audit	Implementation project to improve falls-prevention practices.	Not stated	Multifactorial intervention	Education of clinical staff in falls prevention; risk assessment; multifactorial falls prevention.	Achieved improved adher- ence to criteria.
Teh 2018	Australia	Mixed methods	To evaluate clinicians' per- spectives, before and after clinical implementation (ie, trial) of a handheld health information technology tool for falls risk assessment and prevention in hospital.	Staff on geriatric and acute medical units	Other	Handheld health information technology tool, incorporating an iPad and automatically gener- ated visual cues for bedside display. Staff education on tool use.	Staff willing to use the tool.
Thomas 2017	USA	Before-after design	Evaluate effectiveness for remote telemonitoring.	Adult inpatients	Stationary fall- detection device	Remote telemonitoring: cameras, open channel to speak with patient, alarm to notify staff. One staff member can monitor 15 cameras.	Telemonitoring reduced fall rate.
Tideiksaar 1993	USA	RCT	Evaluate bed alarm system.	Elderly	Stationary fall- detection device	Pressure sensitive pad placed on top of mattress under bed sheet.	No statistically significant difference in number of falls.
Tiessen 2010	Canada	Text and opinion	Describe falls management and patient safety culture.	Elderly	Multicomponent intervention	Falls management rather than falls prevention. Falls assessment and strategies related to decreasing physical decondition- ing: encourage mobility and activity; falls tolerated: aim to reduce falls with injury.	Reduced rate of falls with harm.
Titler 2016	USA	Before-after design	Evaluate impact of imple- menting targeted risk factor fall-prevention bundle.	Nurses and adult patients	Multifactorial intervention	Targeted risk factor fall-preven- tion bundle addressing: mobility, elimination, medications, cogni- tion, risk of serious injury.	Fall rates declined and use of intervention increased.
Trepanier 2014	USA	Quality improvement	Evaluate effectiveness of multifactorial falls-preven- tion intervention.	Adult patients	Multifactorial intervention	Policy and procedures with mini- mum set of standards.	Significant reduction in falls.
Trombetti 2013	Switzerland	Quasi-experimental (non-randomized)	Evaluate effect of multifac- torial fall-and-fracture risk assessment and manage- ment program applied in geriatric hospital setting.	Geriatric inpatients	Multifactorial intervention	Multifactorial fall-and-fracture risk assessment and manage- ment: multidisciplinary compre- hensive assessment and individually tailored intervention (individual and group PT and OT).	Effective compared to usual care.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Trummer 1996	USA	Quality improvement	Report on algorithm for falls prevention in confused patients.	Confused patients	Multicomponent intervention	Algorithm for multicomponent assessment and intervention in patients with confusion: side rails, call light, family involve- ment; bed alarm; restraints; sitter (possible interventions).	Authors propose that the algorithm can help to initi- ate early, effective interven- tions to prevent complications related to confusion, thereby reducing falls.
Tucker 2012	USA	Other	Feasibility of structured nursing round interventions for falls prevention.	Adults undergoing ortho- pedic surgery	Other	Structured nursing rounds	Variable fidelity of interven- tion and barriers to implementation.
Tung 2014	USA	Text and opinion	Overview of falls assessment and prevention.	Any	Other	Multiple technologies reviewed including: Universal precautions (low beds, non-slip footwear, familiarize patients with sur- roundings); multicomponent interventions; single component interventions (medication man- agement); restraints; patient education.	Authors conclude that not all in-hospital falls can be prevented, but that the fol- lowing factors contribute to risk reduction and improved patient safety: institutional commitment, multidisciplin- ary collaboration, and con- tinuous quality improvement strategies.
Tyrer 2016	USA	Emerging technol- ogy development	Evaluate use of low-cost processor to detect falls from smart carpet.	Healthy volunteers	Stationary fall- detection device	Smart-carpet	Achieved 87% accuracy; fur- ther work indicated.
Tzeng 2011	USA	Qualitative study	Feasibility of providing fold- ing commode chair in patient bathrooms for pre- venting falls.	Hospital staff	Other	Equipment: folding commode chair	Folding chair useful/feasible and appropriate as part of multifactorial falls-preven- tion intervention.
Tzeng 2012	USA	Qualitative study	Explore nursing staff's per- ceptions of usefulness of bed-height alert system.	RNs and patient care assis- tants	Other	Bed-height alert system.	Nursing staff were aware of the need to keep bed at lowest height for preventing falls.

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Tzeng 2013	USA	Other	Examines the feasibility of a bed-height alert system as a fall-prevention strategy in an acute surgical inpatient unit.	Staff 21 years or older, able to communicate in English, employed as regu- lar staff members for the study unit, and responsible for directly delivering patient care	Environment design	The alpha prototype of the bed- height sensor network, com- posed of 15 wireless sensors, was developed to measure and record bed height. This system is meant to increase staff adher- ence to keeping beds in a low position as a fall-prevention strategy. This system generates computerized reminders to enhance staff adherence to bed- height recommendations. A sen- sor located under each bed col- lects bed-height measurements and sends information to a cen- tral touchscreen computer in the nurses' station that displays the state of the bed. A sensor located under each bed mea- sures the bed height every 10 minutes and sends the informa- tion through a wireless relay to a central computer in the nurses' station.	Bed-height alert system is somewhat useful, feasible, appropriate, and accurate. Further refinement of the system and staff training in guideline adherence is required.			
Tzeng 2014	USA	Other	Describe "I engaging" falls- prevention intervention – early prototype version.	N/A	Other	Web-based software application to use at the bedside to engage patients in their own falls pre- vention. Included features: i) falls-risk assessment, ii) patient- selected interventions to address each risk factor, iii) individualized falls-prevention plan, which can be printed.	Free web-based application available. Needs to be tested in clinical trials.			
Tzeng 2015	USA	Descriptive	Assess the feasibility of an innovative fall prevention, "I engaging," to engage parti- cipants in their own falls- prevention care during hos- pital stays.	Five inpatients from one adult subacute stroke rehabilitation inpatient care unit of a rehabilita- tion hospital.	Other	"I engaging" is a web-based software application for use on any type of PC, Apple computer device, or smartphone at the bedside to encourage patients to take an active role in fall preven- tion during hospitalization. Helps patients understand factors con- tributing to falls and helps them make decisions to decrease their fall risk.	Well perceived by adults 65 years of age or older and health care providers as being easy to use, effective, and practical.			
Van Der 2006	Netherlands	Cohort study (Prospective)	Renewed effort to imple- ment a nursing falls-preven- tion guideline previously developed in 1993, which had a poor uptake in hospital.	All nurses on both wards	Other	Re-introduction of nursing falls- prevention guideline. Barriers to implementation identified and steps to resolve them implemen- ted. Implementation of guideline then evaluated.	Implementation of falls-pre- vention guidelines requires an implementation strategy, but may still not result in guaranteed success.			

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Van Gaal 2011	Netherlands	RCT	To test the effect of a comprehensive patient safety program on incidence of three adverse events and preventive care given to patients at risk of pressure ulcers, urinary tract infec- tion, or falls in hospital and nursing homes.	Nurses within ward, patients (aged 18 or over and staying on ward for at least five days)	Multifactorial intervention	10 hospital wards randomized to interventional or usual care. Baseline data recorded then three months' intervention with patient safety program (initial education to nurses, including CD-ROM and information to patients; case discussions; digital computerized registration and feedback) via multifaceted implementation strategy.	Showed implementing multi- ple guidelines is possible, but more research is required.
Van Gaal 2011	Netherlands	RCT	To test if effects on the main outcome (incidence of adverse events) coincided with favorable effects on preventive care.	Patients (aged 18 or over and staying on ward for at least five days)	Multifactorial intervention	10 hospital wards randomized to interventional or usual care. Pro- cess of change was assessed with process indicators: % of patients at risk who received preventive care according to guidelines.	Findings in contrast to previ- ous work. More research into the effectiveness of this patient safety program.
Van Leeuwen 2001	Australia	Cohort study (Retrospective)	Determine factors associ- ated with falls from bed, in order to identify at-risk patient groups and circum- stances conducive to such falls.	Patients	Other	Retrospective review of patient incident forms.	There was a patient death resulting from a fall from bed over elevated bedrails that was considered to be of particular clinical signifi- cance. Thus the role of bedrails as protective or safety devices was chal- lenged and an urgent re- evaluation of current prac- tices recommended.
Vassallo 2004	UK	Quasi-experimental (non-randomized)	To determine whether a change in practice to intro- duce a multidisciplinary FPP can reduce falls and injury in nonacute patients in a rehabilitation hospital.	825 consecutive patients on three wards	Multifactorial intervention	The patients' fall-risk status was assessed using the Downton Score. Current practice was maintained on the two control wards ( $n = 550$ ). On the experimental ward ( $n = 275$ ), an FPP was introduced. A multidisciplinary team (physician, nurse, occupational therapist, social worker, and physiotherapist) met weekly specifically to discuss patients' fall risk and formulate a targeted plan. Patients at risk were identified using wristbands; risk factors were corrected or environmental changes made to enhance safety.	This study shows that falls might be reduced in a multi- disciplinary FPP, but the results are not definitive because of the borderline significance achieved and the variable length of stay. More research on fall pre- vention in hospital is required, particularly as to what interventions, if any, are effective at reducing falls in this group of patients.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Vassallo 2005	UK	Other	To explore attitudes to restraint and what are acceptable fall-prevention measures in hospital.	100 patients/relatives and 100 care professionals	Other	Structured questionnaire among patients, relatives, and health care professionals. The question- naire was developed in associa- tion with the Dorset Research and Development Support Unit. Psychometric principals were used. Each question involved an attitudinal statement followed by a 5-point Likert scale to assess the degree of agreement with the statement. Strong dis- agreement scored 1 point while strong agreement scored 5. A number of questions were stated with reversed meaning and scor- ing was reversed. Strong dis- agreement was placed on the left to counteract ordinal bias.	Identified a wide range of opinion about measures cur rently defined as restraint, and how acceptable they are. Measures strongly thought of as restraint, suc as direct binding or tranqu izer use, were considered unacceptable. Conversely, measures not widely thought of as restraint such as observation beds, ID bra- celets or bed or chair alarn were acceptable. There we also a number of measures such as furniture changes o nursing patients on the floor, where such an obser- vation was not clear. Signif cant differences in opiniom- between patients/relatives and care professionals have been identified in the per- ception of restraint.
Vieira 2013	Canada	Before-after design	To evaluate the effective- ness of an intervention pro- gram to reduce falls among geriatric rehabilitation patients.	Seventy-six matched pairs (n = 152) of geriatric reha- bilitation patients from one control and one inter- vention ward participated in the study, and 36 nurs- ing staff surveys were completed.	Multifactorial intervention	The intervention program was developed based on interviews and systematic reviews. Educa- tional materials were distributed to patients and families, and preventive measures were imple- mented: i) 327 preventive mea- sures to be integrated into a falls-risk assessment and inter- vention tool; ii) preventive mea- sures to be implemented on the ward; iii) changes to be made on the ward; and iv) topics for staff educational sessions and to be conveyed to patients and families.	Intervention program was effective in reducing falls among geriatric rehabilita- tion patients.
Vilarinho 2015	Norway	Descriptive	To assess the accuracy of a fall-detection system based on an off-the-shelf smart-watch and smartphone.	Three participants aged 21, 26, and 32 years were tested in 19 fall patterns.	Wearable detection device	The study assessed 12 fall pat- terns and seven activities of daily living patterns using an automatic fall-detection system composed exclusively of two sensors. One located on the thigh region (in the front pocket) and one on the wrist.	Correct identification of 63' of the falls and 78% of the activities. Outperformed tw other baseline fall-detectior applications (ifall and Fade however, accuracy findings lower than that of other research reported.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Vilas-Boas 2013	Portugal	Diagnostic test accuracy	To develop a software tool, for the MovinSense device, which, using a single triaxial accelerometer attached to the patient's chest, would send feedback to the health care staff when the patient has fallen or is getting out of his/her bed and he/she is walking.	Healthy volunteers	Wearable detection device	The small transmitter is attached to the patient's chest with an adhesive, it has a single inertial sensor, and transmits this information to the MovinSense receiver, through ZigBee, for processing and recording by the MovinSense software. For fall detection, after positioning the MovinSense, each subject was asked to lie in a bed or couch and then roll and fall to the floor. Each subject was asked to fall to the floor at least twice. The rolling movement performance was not controlled. Average and standard deviation of the height of the fall studied was $48.03 \pm 11.19$ cm (max = 75 cm, min = 40 cm).	Analysis suggests that the developed algorithms are capable of detecting either bed falls or walking motion (at least five steps, from self-selected to high speeds) with a sensor located on the patient's chest and with high rate of good detections.
Villafane 2015	Italy	Quasi-experimental (non-randomized)	The effectiveness of three different rehabilitative pro- grams: group exercise, indi- vidual core stability; or balance training intervention with a stabilometric plat- form to improve balance ability in elderly hospitalized patients.	Thirty consecutive elderly patients with a physiatric indication to global motor rehabilitation known to have had at least one fall during the last 12 months	Multicomponent intervention	All participants received 28 treatment sessions scheduled on separate days, at least 24 hours apart and at the same time of day, five days/week for three weeks. Patients consecutively assigned to one of the following three groups: group exercise intervention, individual core sta- bility; or balance training with a stabilometric platform.	Findings indicate that partic- ipation in an exercise pro- gram can improve balance and functional mobility, which might contribute toward the reductions of falls of elderly hospitalized patients and the subsequent fall-related costs.

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Visvanathan 2012	Australia	Descriptive	Describe a falls-manage- ment framework based on a novel movement sensor alarm intervention as a strategy to reduce falls risk in acute care, especially in clinical settings where patients may have cognitive impairment.	N/A	Wearable detection device	Described a proposed falls-man- agement framework developed for acute hospitals in terms of falls prevention using an Ambl- GeM environment. Real-time monitoring device is low cost, battery-free, wearable and there- fore smaller than other wireless sensing technologies, based on passive RFID technology, contin- uously without any maintenance. The system is customizable to individual patients and automati- cally determines the level of monitoring and care required for each patient based on the expert knowledge of physicians and clinicians. Unsupervised clas- sification of high-risk falls activi- ties are used to facilitate an immediate response from care- givers by alerting them of the high-risk activity, the particular patient, and their location.	Described a proposed falls- management framework developed for acute hospi- tals in terms of falls preven- tion using an AmblGeM environment. Next stage of the project is a pilot clinical trial.
Von Renteln-Kruse 2007	Germany	Cohort study (Prospective)	To evaluate the effect of an interdisciplinary team approach on reducing the number of falls.	All geriatric inpatients within one academic teaching hospital	Multicomponent intervention	The intervention included fall- risk assessment on admission and reassessment after a fall; risk alert; additional supervision and assistance with the patients' transfer and use of the toilet; provision of an information leaf- let; individual patient and care- giver counseling; encouragement of appropriate use of eyeglasses, hearing aids, footwear, and mobility devices; and staff edu- cation.	A structured multifactorial intervention reduced the incidence of falls, but not injurious falls, in a hospital ward setting with existing geriatric multidisciplinary care.

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Votruba 2016	USA	Descriptive	To evaluate the effectiveness of remote video monitoring with a dedicated telesitter in order to reduce falls, as well as to reduce patient com- panion usage in the inpatient adult population.	All adult patients admitted to one of the three study units during the interven- tion stage were eligible to be selected for video monitoring.	Sitters	A dedicated telesitter was added to the central monitoring unit 24/7 to observe up to 12 patients at high risk for falls in three adult inpatient units as an alternative to using a patient companion. The telesitter work- station was located in the cen- tral monitoring unit, at a workstation adjacent to the hos- pital's two current cardiac moni- tor technicians. Ninety-two non- recording cameras were mounted in the ceilings of all inpatient rooms of three inpa- tient units, infrared lighting was installed for better night vision, as well as speakers and micro- phones to allow for two-way communications between the patient and telesitter.	Demonstrated that not only is video monitoring a safe intervention, it is more effective than patient com- panions alone in decreasing falls by expanding the num- ber of patients who are directly observed 24/7.
Walsh 2011	Australia	Cohort study (Prospective)	To determine whether locally adapting a falls-risk factor assessment tool results in an instrument with clinometric properties sufficient to support an acute hospital's FPP.	Consecutive patients for cohort study and conve- nience sample for reliabil- ity study	Falls-risk assessment	To develop a falls-risk screen and assessment instrument through local adaptation of an existing tool. Clinometric prop- erty analysis of new instrument (Western Health Falls Risk Assessment) and comparison with "gold standard tool" (STRATIFY). The Western Health Falls Risk Assessment is a two- stage falls-risk screening and falls-risk-factor assessment tool.	Local adaptation of an exist- ing tool resulted in an instrument with favorable clinometric properties and may be a viable procedure for facilitating FPP develop- ment and implementation in acute hospital settings.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Walsh 2018	USA	Other	To describe the interven- tions and evaluate whether they were associated with a sustained decline in falls and fall-related injuries from 2003 to 2014.	All patients within included wards	Multicomponent intervention	The medical center's series of fall-prevention interventions were as follows: reorganized the Falls Committee (2001); started flagging high-risk patients (2001); improved fall reporting (2002); increased scrutiny of falls (2005); instituted hourly nursing rounds (2006); reorganized lead-ership systems (2007); standard-ized fall-prevention equipment (2008); adapted to a move to a new hospital building (2008); routinely investigated root causes (2009); mitigated fall risk during hourly nursing rounds (2009); educated patients about falls (2011); and taught nurses to think critically about risk (2012).	This multidimensional FPP involved instituting a series of incremental changes every few years over about a decade. This was associ- ated with a sustained decline in falls from 2003 through 2014. Hospitals interested in achieving meaningful reduc- tions in falls but concerned about overburdening front- line nurses or facing com- peting financial priorities may find that an incremen- tal approach and persistence pay off.
Ward 2004	USA	Descriptive	An interdisciplinary team was developed to review patient falls; interventions designed to protect these patients.	Medicare patients either over 65 years or with a disability and at high risk of falls	Multicomponent intervention	Yellow caution signs, the Guard- ian Program badges and hand- out, and the "safety with dignity" flyer, Falls Interdisciplin- ary Conference form, Quality Care/Patient Fall Assessment form.	Although patients fall for a variety of reasons, utilizing performance-improvement data specific to staff and patient populations could direct the development of a successful falls-reduction program.
Weinberg 2011	USA	Quality improvement	Implementation and evalua- tion of falls-prevention intervention.	All inpatient-days of per- sons aged 18 years and older, with an admission lasting at least one day, between April 2006 and March 2010	Multicomponent intervention	The intervention included two phases: i) a review phase, in which existing fall-prevention efforts were evaluated; and ii) the falls-prevention intervention implementation phase, in which systems were implemented to ensure fall-risk assessments, fall incident investigations, identify- ing and confronting problem issues, planning and adherence to corrective action, and accountability for missed preven- tive opportunities.	The falls-prevention inter- vention was associated with a significant reduction in fall and fall-related injury rates. The results suggest that increasing commitment to continuous quality improve- ment through enhanced safety awareness and accountability contributed to the initiative's success and led to a change of norma- tive behavior and a culture of safety.

(Continued)	Continued)									
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations			
Wexler 2011	USA	Other	Describe "The Ruby Red Slipper Program" interdisci- plinary fall-management program and its initial outcomes.	Patients in a 50-bed medi- cal oncology unit, 33-bed orthopedic/neurology unit	Multicomponent intervention	The program consisted of three days (22.5 hours) of didactic content taught by an expert in gerontologic nursing. The nurse expert followed the education with ongoing consultation, men- toring, journal clubs, and round- ing on the units on a biweekly basis for three months in order to reinforce the education and support the unit-based teams. Content included: education (focused on team building, fall prevention, injury prevention, fall-risk assessment, fall-preven- tion strategies, data collection, post-fall analysis, and data pre- sentation and communication); bright red, non-skid slipper socks used for all high-risk patients; communication form; the Ticket to Ride; signs using universally understood symbols to transmit the message of fall risk without words; fall impact mats; bed-exit alarms; and unit-based falls champion.	Falls rates fluctuated throughout the program. After one year, fall rates decreased by 71% in oncol ogy and 6% in orthopedic/ neurology unit. Key lessons learned were: fall prevention needs to be the responsibility of all sta ii) staff have to learn how work as a team; iii) previou interventions were unsuc- cessful because they only targeted professional staff.			
Wickramasinghe 2015	Australia	Descriptive	Feasibility of passive compu- tational RFID sensors for ambulatory monitoring.	Not stated	Wearable detection device	Investigate the feasibility of rec- ognizing activities from a single passive, body-worn, computa- tional RFID sensor attached over clothing, and develop an innova- tive framework capable of parti- tioning sparse data streams at approximate activity boundaries in real time and an approach for recognizing transfers out of bed or chair that overcomes the sparsity and noise in sensor observations.	Successfully demonstrated the use of body-worn pas- sive computational RFID set sors for ambulatory monitoring in the context movement sensor alarm system.			

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Wickramasinghe 2017	Australia	Mixed methods	investigate the use of a battery-less RFID tag response to analyze bed- egress movements.	Participants had to be 65 years or older, living at home, able to consent to the study, and mobilize independently	Wearable detection device	Monitoring framework that com- bines a novel sequence learning algorithm suitable for sparse accelerometer and RFID data. The framework is capable of generating a bed-egress alarm in real time. Based on a wearable embodiment of a triaxial acceler- ometer integrated in a passive RFID platform, which is loosely attached over a garment at the sternum level.	The promising results indi- cate the efficacy of our bat- tery-less bed-egress monitoring framework.
Williams 2007	Australia	Before-after design	To evaluate a systematic, coordinated approach to limit the severity and mini- mize the number of falls in an acute care hospital.	All patients admitted to three medical wards and a geriatric evaluation man- agement unit were enrolled over a six-month period	Multicomponent intervention	Patients' risk of falling was assessed using a falls-risk assessment tool and appropriate interventions implemented using a falls care plan based on falls risk.	Evaluated a systematic, coor- dinated approach to falls management that included a falls-risk assessment tool and falls care plan in the acute care setting. Although a significant reduction in falls was found in this study, it could not be attributed to any specific interventions.
Williams 2014	USA	Mixed methods	To increase our understand- ing of falls by identifying factors associated with falls, with and without harm.	Patients in academic medi- cal centers entered into the database after a fall	Other	Multiple technologies: bed in low position (68%), patient edu- cation (60%), fall alerts in place (47%), toileting schedule (33%), bed-exit-alarm (18%), medication modified (5%), and chair-exit alarm (3%).	Large analysis of patient falls provides useful information on fall risks and gaps in fall- risk assessments and preven- tion practices.
Wolf 2013	Germany	Other	To reduce the number of falls on geriatric wards.	All patients on a geriatric ward who had been classi- fied as having an elevated risk of falling upon admission	Wearable detection device	Bed-exit-alarm	The system that was uilt to detect patients' attempts to leave the bed worked as expected. It detects attempts reliably and gener- ates few false positive alarms. It was well accepted by patients and nurses, and multiple sensors worked flawlessly for more than one year during the clinical trial. On the other hand, while none of the patients fell while wearing the sensor, there were not enough falls during the trial to statisti- cally prove that the system is able to prevent falls.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Wong 2014	Australia	Cohort study (Prospective)	To evaluate the effective- ness of an electronic sensor bed/chair-exit alarm system on fall incidence and fall- related injury rates in a subacute hospital ward for patients with cognitive impairment.	Patients admitted to the subacute ward over a six-month period	Stationary fall- detection device	The electronic sensor alarm system (Proximate Fall Prevention System, Assistive Technologies Pty Ltd, Wynard, Tasmania, Australia) uses electronic sensors to detect when the patient moves beyond a threshold distance from the sensor mat, at which time an alert is sent to a handheld pager via the nurse call system. Two types of sensor mats were used: the bed-sensor mat (1050 $\times$ 350 mm) and the chair sensor mat (280 $\times$ 220 mm). Usual care also given to participants.	The electronic alarm system was found to be a feasible, effective, and acceptable fall-prevention strategy for patients with cognitive impairment.
Wood 2018	UK	Review - Systematic	Explore the breadth and scope of literature on spe- cialling, one-to-one sitters and similar types of care in acute secondary care settings.	Sitters or anyone under- taking one-to-one special- ling in acute non- psychiatry secondary care settings	Sitters	Review questions: i) How is spe- cialling/one-to-one care defined? ii) What activities does that care involve? iii) What are the deci- sion-making processes used when deploying staff for one-to- one care? iv) Which types of patients are being cared for and what are their needs? v) Who is providing the care? vi) What are the costs of one-to-one care? vii) How are the costs taken into account when deciding whether or not to use this type of care? viii) What are the alternatives to delivering one-to-one care?	Wide variation in what spe- cialling and one-to-one care entails, which can in turn lead to the provision of poor quality care. A reduction in this variation and improved quality care might be achieved through the devel- opment of guidelines, train- ing, and standardized decision-making tools.
Xu 2012	Singapore	Review - Systematic	To identify the best avail- able evidence for the effec- tiveness of nursing fall-risk assessment tools, interven- tions to reduce incidence of falls, and common risk fac- tors of adult psychiatric patients who fall.	Adults (19 to 64 years) diagnosed with mental illness	Other	Evaluation of nursing fall-risk assessment tools in adult psychi- atric settings, and interventions that minimized fall risk or fall rates.	Evidence with regards to the effectiveness of fall-risk assessment tools and pre- vention strategies was incon- clusive. Certain risk factors were found to be more commonly associated with falls in adult psychiatric patients (Level III Evidence).

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Xu 2015	Singapore	Mixed methods	A systematic review of falls-risk assessment tools, then a care bundle for fall prevention in a tertiary psychiatric hospital.	Adult inpatients (aged 19- 64) diagnosed with mental illnesses	Other	Systematic review previously reported (Xu CQ, Tan XN, Loh HS, Yip WT, Tan JM, Premarani K, et al. Effectiveness of interven- tions for the assessment and prevention of falls in adult psy- chiatric patients: a systematic review. JBI Libr Syst Rev. 2011;9:387–403.). Universal falls prevention suggested from results of SR = falls-risk assess- ment, orientation of patient to surroundings, provision of safe environment, non-skid footwear, patient and family education on falls prevention, medication review, flagging system for patients at risk of fall.	Results of systematic review previously reported inform- ing content for falls-preven tion package.
Yacchirema 2018	Spain	Emerging technol- ogy development	An innovative IoT-based sys- tem for detecting falls of elderly people in indoor environments, which takes advantages of Iow-power wireless sensor networks, smart devices, big data, and cloud computing.	Three healthy volunteers aged 40 to 60 underwent simulated falls	Wearable detection device	A 3D-axis accelerometer embed- ded into a 6LowPAN wearable device is used to collect data from elderly people in real time. Fall-detection system architec- ture consists of four main com- ponents: a wearable device, a wireless communication net- work, a Smart IoT gateway, and cloud services. Each component plays an important role in the detection of falls.	High success rates shown with device and modeling.
Yamanaka 2010	Japan	Descriptive	To increase the detection capability of this system (neural network), we adopt a face extractive method into the proposed system to execute more detailed extraction of the objective person's image from the background.	Not stated	Stationary fall-detec- tion device	Neural network with sensors	Low success of system in clinical setting.
Yates 2012	USA	Other	To compare falls in psychiat- ric and medical inpatients prior to, during, and after revisions to falls policy were made. Secondly, assessed nursing-perceived effective- ness of policy and knowl- edge.	Psychiatric and medical inpatients aged 18 years or older. Nurses in clinical areas.	Multicomponent intervention	Medication review, education, non-slip socks. Nurses completed a survey.	Psychiatric falls decreased b 32%, whereas medical falls increased by 112%. Inconsistent adherence to fall interventions was reported. Fall-prevention education and additional interventions implemented as a result of the project.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Yonezawa 2005	Japan	Descriptive	Presents development of new system for hospital and home use.	Not stated	Stationary fall- detection device	Intelligent bed care system that employs three almost-undetect- able stainless steel tape and wire electrodes installed directly under the bedsheet. The micro- computer detects whether the patient is in bed, is trying to get out of bed, is out of bed, is in bed and inactive, or is leaking infusion fluid, blood, or urine. The microcomputer alerts the nursing station via the nurse call system.	Authors concluded that the developed system does not require any special electrical or magnetic fields or body-mounted sensors and offers a very effective method for protecting patients from accidental injury.
Yun 2016	Sweden	Descriptive	To describe a novel video system to detect falls.	Not stated	Environment design	Video dynamic shape and motion analysis.	Test results demonstrated a high detection rate (average 99.38%) and low false alarm (average 1.84%). Compari- sons with eight state-of-the- art methods provided fur- ther support to the pro- posed method.
Zammit 2014	Australia	Implementation study	To audit current practice of falls prevention within the acute clinical setting, to improve practice in the pre- vention of falls in accor- dance with the best available evidence.	Not stated	Multicomponent intervention	FRAT, education (health profes- sionals, patients, and families), and targeted interventions	A generally positive result at the initial follow-up audit phase; staff education levels increased and more appro- priate action was taking place within the clinical set- ting. However, due to bar- riers out of the control of the falls team, there were fewer positive results in the second follow-up audit.
Zhao 2019	USA	Text and opinion	To provide clinical implica- tions and recommendations for adult inpatient fall and injurious fall prevention through a brief review of factors associated with falls and injurious falls and cur- rent fall-prevention practices in acute care hospitals.	N/A	N/A	Discusses a variety of interven- tions from the following catego- ries: environmental, educational, communicational, nursing pro- cess, fall-risk assessment.	Complicated phenomenon. Recommends the following: multicomponent prevention and valid assessment under strong leadership. Frontline staff should be involved in the development and implementation of inter- ventions. Staff education and appropriate staffing are vital.

K. Cooper et al.

(Continued)							
Author/year	Country	Study design	Purpose	Population	Health technology category	Health technology information	Findings/conclusions/ recommendations
Zuyev 2011	USA	Descriptive	Development and usability testing of the Fall TIPS toolkit.	Not stated	Multicomponent intervention	The Fall TIPS application aims to prevent patient falls by translat- ing routine nursing fall-risk assessment into a decision sup- port intervention that communi- cates fall-risk status and creates a tailored evidence-based plan of care that is accessible to the care team, patients, and family members. The evidence-based interventions included in the Fall TIPS logic have been identified and validated by practicing nurses, physicians, physical ther- apists, and other interdisciplinary care providers. The Fall TIPS toolkit aims to provide a work- flow-friendly solution that over- comes the usual silos associated with communication of fall-risk status and evidence-based, feasi- ble interventions to prevent patient falls in hospitals.	The next step for the research team is implemen- tation of the FPTK on the pilot-testing units. While it was believed that the Fall TIPS Tool Kit is "ready" for implementation, pilot testing was viewed as an additional opportunity to evaluate.

ACE, acute care of the elderly; EMG, electromyography; FPP, fall-prevention program; FPTK, Fall Prevention Tool Kit; FRAT, Fall Risk Assessment Tool; IoT, Internet of Things; MFS, Morse Fall Scale; N/A, not applicable; NA, nursing assistant, OT, occupational therapist; PCT, patient care technician; PJC-FRAT, Peter James Centre Falls Risk assessment Tool; PT, physiotherapy; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RFID, radio frequency identification; RN, registered nurse; STOP, staff training orientation program; STRATIFY, St Thomas's Risk Assessment Tool in Falling Elderly Inpatients; TIPS, Tailoring Interventions in Patient Safety.

JBI Evidence Synthesis

K. Cooper et al.