Evaluation of the Signal Quality of Wrist-Based Photoplethysmography

NIKHILESH PRADHAN (CARLETON UNIVERSITY)

SUPERVISORS: PROFESSOR ANDY ADLER (CARLETON UNIVERSITY) PROFESSOR SREERAMAN RAJAN (CARLETON UNIVERSITY)

Background: Atrial Fibrillation (AF)

- Paroxysmal AF is episodic
- Silent AF presents severe risk of stroke
- 20-30% of strokes occur due to AF, increasing number due to paroxysmal AF



Motivation

Wearable device for early detection and prevention of cardiac diseases

ECG Holter Monitor

PPG Wristband





Previous work



PPG signal quality with simulated periodic artefacts

Objectives

- To evaluate wrist-based PPG for use in long-term ambulatory monitoring
- To develop an algorithm for classifying the signal quality of wristbased PPG
- To analyze the reliability and consistency of visual assessment as a gold standard for PPG signal quality

Publications

- Comparative ECG and PPG signal quality, presented at ISC 2016
- Algorithm for discrimination between extreme quality cases, presented at MeMeA 2017



Data Collection and Devices

- GE Seer Light Extend Holter (ECG), Empatica E4 (PPG + Accelerometer)
- Methodology: 24-hour monitoring with 26 participants: 10 elderly, 16 non-elderly



Empatica E4



From:http://enhanced-safety-innovation.com/the-most-comfortableand-accurate-bracelet-to-monitor-physiological-signals-in-real-time/



Signal Quality Classes



Gold Standard

- Gold standard based on visual assessment
- Quality assessment performed by 17 raters to assess subjectivity
- Fleiss' kappa of 0.4605, indicating moderate agreement

Number of PPG Segments by Rater Agreement



Feature Selection

- Wrapper method used for feature selection
- Greedy step-wise forwards approach
- 9 of the 71 features were selected

Selected Features:

- BillauerPeaks medianACC •
- ZeroCrossings ٠
- medianN •
- medianR ٠
- stdevE •

- stdevACC
- ACPeakVals1
- ACPeakVals2 •

Classification Results

Classifiers evaluated using modified 13-fold cross-validation



Classifier Type vs. Classification Accuracy

Classifier	Accuracy		
k-Nearest Neighbour	42.9%		
Multi-Class SVM	43.5%		
Naive Bayes	63.6%		
Decision Tree	66.9%		
Random Forest	74.5%		

Results: Overall Signal Quality

Selected classifier applied to full dataset

	Dignal Quality					
	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4	Class 5	
Non-Elderly	44.4%	6.2%	7.2%	9.8%	32.5%	
Elderly	43.9%	3.9%	6.4%	7.3%	38.6%	
Overall	44.2%	5.3%	6.9%	8.9%	34.8%	

Signal Quality

Table: Percentage of Data by Signal Quality Classification

Results: 24-hour Signal Quality





Future Work

- Atrial fibrillation detection
- Activity monitoring
- Individual results for 24-hour signal quality





14

From: https://static-content.empatica.com/fE997/img/e4/

Conclusion

- Developed classification algorithm with 74.5% accuracy
- Evaluated signal quality over 24hour period
- Wrist-based may be suitable for night monitoring



Thank You



dreamröme.com