

Canada's Capital University

21/2D Finite Element Method for Electrical Impedance Tomography Considering the Complete Electrode Model

> Navid Bahrani Supervised by: Prof. Andy Adler Carleton University Jan 2012

Electrical Impedance Tomography (EIT)

- EIT is used to generate images of the internal structure of sections of a body
- The EIT problem is
 - to reconstruct an unknown impedance distribution from boundary measurements.





Photos: (left) from Wikipedia/EIT, (right) from [4]

The EIT Problem

• Forward Model (2D & 3D)

 $\nabla_{2D} \cdot \left(\sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \nabla_{2D} \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right) = 0$

 $\nabla_{3D} \cdot (\sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \nabla_{3D} \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})) = 0$

$$\sigma \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} = \begin{cases} J \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

on current electrodes elsewhere on the surface

- Finite Element Method
- Current Patterns
- Electrode Models

2¹/₂D Motivation

- The 3D FE Model recruits too much elements.
 - > requires much more memory and Computational Complexity vs. 2D
 - Both Forward and Inverse Problem
 - Specially the inverse part
 - Requires more calculation time
 - ≠ Real time
 - Or a super-computer for fast imaging
 - ≠ Portability and Inexpensiveness

The 2¹/₂D Model

- Assumption
 - Translational Invariance along z
 - => Symmetric Voltages
- Equations

 $\nabla_{3D}\cdot \big(\sigma(x,y,z)\nabla_{3D}\phi(x,y,z)\big)=\ 0$

$$\varphi(x, y, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V_k(x, y) \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{a}z\right)$$



$$\begin{cases} \nabla_{2D} \cdot \left(\sigma(x, y) \nabla V_k(x, y) \right) - \sigma(x, y) \left(\frac{k\pi}{a} \right)^2 V_k(x, y) = 0 \\ \sigma(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} V_k(x, y) = J_k \end{cases}$$



Boundary Condition $\sigma(x, y) \frac{\partial V_k}{\partial n} = J_k$

• for I = 1

 J_0

$$=\frac{1}{H}=\frac{1}{2a}\qquad \qquad J_k=\frac{2}{k\pi h}\sin\left(\frac{k\pi h}{2a}\right)$$



Finite Element Method

Interpolation functions, i.e. basis

$$\tilde{u}_n(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^M u_i^n \phi_i(\vec{x})$$



The Modified 'Stiffness Matrix'



$$S'_{ij}^{k} = S_{ij}^{k} + \left(\frac{n\pi}{a}\right)^{2} R_{ij}^{k} = \int_{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}} \nabla \phi_{i} \cdot \nabla \phi_{j} + \left(\frac{n\pi}{a}\right)^{2} \phi_{i} \phi_{j} d\Omega$$

$$S'(n)U_n = I_n$$

Image from Wikipedia/Finite Element Method

Inverse Problem of EIT

- Static EIT, Difference EIT
- Jacobian (Sensitivity Matrix)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{J}\mathbf{z} + n & \mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \\ \mathbf{J} &= T \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} S^{-1}(\sigma) I \right] = T \left[S^{-1}(\sigma) \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} S(\sigma) S^{-1}(\sigma) I \right] \\ \hat{x} &= (\mathbf{J}^T \mathbf{J})^{-1} \mathbf{J}^T z \\ \hat{x} &= (\mathbf{J}^T \mathbf{J} + \lambda^2 \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R})^{-1} \mathbf{J}^T z = Bz \end{aligned}$$

 $7 - \Lambda_{11} - 11$

22

Inverse Problem in 21/2D

- Using Jacobian:
 - For each $n \to \Delta v_n$

$$n \rightarrow S_n \rightarrow \mathbf{J}_n \rightarrow \Delta \sigma_n$$

$$\Delta v_n = \mathbf{J}_n \Delta \sigma$$
$$\mathbf{J} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{J}_n \qquad \qquad \Delta v = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta v_k \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{a}z\right)$$

Complete Electrode Model



$$\begin{bmatrix} A_M + A_Z & A_W \\ A_W^T & A_D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U \\ V \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_W = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\Delta}{2z_c} & -\frac{\Delta}{z_c} & -\frac{\Delta}{2z_c} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\Delta}{2z_c} & -\frac{\Delta}{z_c} & -\frac{\Delta}{2z_c} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

Φ₃(x,y)







3D CEM





Mesh



2D mesh with 4096 elements used for the $2\frac{1}{2}D$ method (32 layers in xy) 3D mesh with 737,280 elements (61 layers in z) H=2; h=0.1, w ≈ 0.1

The Images are produced by EIDORS

Results for Measurements





Comparing 3D, 2D, 2D/H (first term of $2\frac{1}{2}D$) and $2\frac{1}{2}D$ CEM solutions for electrode voltages - CEM (W = 0.1, H = 2,h = 0.4)



Decrement of the Error by Decrement of the Element size





Sources of Mismatch

- 3D Interpolation Function
- Injected Current Pattern



2D-based Complete Electrode Modelling

Truncation Point

$$V_{2\frac{1}{2}D} = \frac{1}{H}S^{-1}I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n\pi h}\sin(\frac{n\pi h}{2z_m})\cos(\frac{n\pi}{z_m}z)(S + (\frac{n\pi}{z_m})^2R)^{-1}I$$

$\frac{H}{r}$	2		4		10	
			$\frac{h}{H}$	n_max	h	
	$\frac{h}{H}$	n_max	(0.025)	7	\overline{H}	19
	(0.025, 0.05, 0.1)	3	(0.05)	6	(0.025)	15
	(> 0.1)	2	(0.1)	5	(0.05)	11
		(≥ 0.2)	3	(≥ 0.1)	(





Speed/Computation Improvement



Achievements

 $t_{2\frac{1}{2}D} = t_{2D} + n_max \times t_{2D\text{-size Forward_Solve}} + t_R + t_{IFT}$

Mesh Structure:

 $[2\frac{1}{2}D: Mesh.Nodes]_{N\times 2}$ vs. $[3D: Mesh.Nodes]_{MN\times 3}$

 $[2\frac{1}{2}D: Mesh. Elements]_{K\times 3}$ vs. $[3D: Mesh. Nodes]_{3(M-1)K\times 4}$

2D: 2,113 nodes and 4,094 elements if M = 61 slices 3D: 128,893 nodes and 736,920 elements

System Matrix:

 $[S_{2\frac{1}{2}D}]_{N\times N}$ vs. $[S_{3D}]_{MN\times MN}$

 $M^2 = 61 \ 61 = 3,681$

Matrix Inversion at the Forward Problem:

 $[S_{2\frac{1}{2}D}^{-1}]_{N \times N}$ vs. $[S_{3D}^{-1}]_{MN \times MN}$ $M^2 = 61 \ 61 = 3,681$

The **EIDORS** Project



- http://eidors3d.sourceforge.net/
- Electrical Impedance and Diffuse Optical Tomography Reconstruction Software
- A collaborative project where many groups working on EIT are involved around the world
- Modular-Based structure
- Medical & Industrial Applications





?



Reference

- [0] Ider et al, Electrical impedance tomography of translationally uniform cylindrical objects with general cross-sectional boundaries. IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 9 49–59, 1990.
- [1] Lionheart W R B, Uniqueness, shape and dimension in EIT, Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 873 466–71, 1999
- [2] K Jerbi, W R B Lionheart, et al sensitivity matrix and reconstruction algorithm for EIT assuming axial uniformity, Physiol. Meas. 21 (2000) 61–66
- [3] David Holder, Electrical impedance tomography: methods, history, and applications, 2004
- [4] Costa E.L.V., Lima R. Gonzalez, Amato M.B.P., "Electrical Impedance Tomography", Yearbook of Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine, 2009.
- [6] ...

2D



















Results of the Forward Model









Decrement of the Error by Decrement of the Element size

