#### Test Protocol for X26 Tasers:

Discussion document for CEW Workshop 2010

> Andy Adler<sup>1</sup>, Ian Sinclair<sup>2</sup> with input from many others

<sup>1</sup>Carleton University, <sup>2</sup>MPB Technologies

Test Protocol Discussion Document: 10 May 2010

1

# Outline

- Summary / Outline
- Goal
- Test Outline
  - Test Protocol
  - Test System
  - Data analysis
  - Reports
- Summary / Next Steps

- Initial comments:
  - Approach may not perfect, but we can't wait.
  - Why is this a set of slides, not a document?

### Summary / Overview

1. What to measure?

2. How to measure?

3. What to do with the measurements?

## Summary / Overview



#### What to measure

Conducted Energy Weap	on Test	Report			Date:				
Weapon:					Serial Nu	ımber:			
Police Service:					Police O	fficer:			
Test Service:					Tester: Engineer		2		
Visual Inspection:		Case 🗆 E	Battery □ Electro	des □ etc		7   4			
Comments:		Example:	changed battery,	couldn't see dis	spla				
Software Version:	Battery Charge:			Data download performed					
Pulse Parameters	Ma	x	MC	AVg		Avg-8		Std	
F.P. Total Charge (µC)									
M.P. Net Charge (µC)									
Pulse Duration (µs)			C						
Interpulse Time (ms)									
M.P. Peak Voltage (V)									
M.P. Peak Current (A)									
Pulse Rep. Freq. (Hz)									
Total Burst Length (s)									

Within Specifications: Yes 
\[ / No \]



Test Protocol Discussion Document: 10 May 2010



#### Goal

Test protocol serves two functions

• Is weapon within specification (use/don't use)?

Generate Weapons Characterization data

#### Is weapon in spec?

Decision: Use / Don't use

Based on two tests:

- 1. Test to document from Taser International [1]
- 2. Test to additional specifications based on operational and safety data

[1] TASER X26TM Series Electronic Control Device Specifications (Law Enforcement X26). Version 2.0. February 6, 2009:, from "Test Concepts X26 v6"

### Is weapon in spec?

- Spec from Taser International [1]
  - Fire once before testing
  - Tests against the average of the last 8 pulses of 5 parameters.
- Spec from operational/safety data
  - Tests will change as we learn more
  - Comment: It is important to ensure we collect the right parameters now to enable these decisions



Test Protocol Discussion Document: 10 May 2010

## How to interpret IEC 60479

Suggests Taser Int. limits more stringent than IEC. However,

- IEC uses on total absolute charge, not net charge
- IEC uses on maximum pulse, not average
- IEC suggests you should scale for body mass
  - Anecdotal evidence: taser less effective on large subjects
- IEC threshold is much (up to10x) lower for some cases (Heart arrythmia events)
- Ventricular fibrillation (VF) isn't the only concern
- IEC is based on old (50's and 70's) data

# How to manage this uncertainty?

#### Future-proofing

- Make sure we record all the parameters which are likely to be relevant to safety tests
- Specifically, max + min (not only not average)
- Parameters for
  - Safety
    - based on electrical safety specs and research
  - Operational
    - indications of poor device functioning device

### **Characterization Data**

Performance data on all weapons tested should be kept in order to facilitate analysis to determine

- Weapon lifecycle
- Prediction of malfunction
- Determine any vulnerable serial number sequences
- Forensic examination (post incident)

# **Basic Test Outline**

#### A: Test Protocol

• Weapon is 1) inspected, 2) connected to the test system, 3) fired three times for 5s

#### **B: Test System**

 1) Weapon connects to spend cartridge, 2) Wires to 600Ω load, 3) Voltage data recorded

#### C: Data analysis

• 1) Parameters for each pulse calculated, 2) Summary data calculated

#### **D: Reporting**

• 1) use/don't use, 2) Report printed and stored



# A: Test Protocol

- Weapon Serial / model number recorded.
- Visual inspection of the weapon
- Download and recording of usage data from weapon
- Weapon is connected to test system
- Weapon is fired three times and electrical output recorded
- End of test: Weapon is disconnected

# Comments on Test Protocol

- Visual inspection looks for physical impairment to testing such as poor fitting of the battery pack or safety and trigger switches.
- No pre-firing of weapon
  - The first firing into the load is thus equivalent to the *pre-fire* in the protocol from Taser International.
  - First firing *will not be used* to test against specs from Taser International.
  - First firing data is useful for data analysis to establish trends (ie. may be early indication of performance issues)



- Weapon connects to spent cartridge
- Wires to  $600\Omega$  non-inductive load
- Voltage data is recorded

# Test system: Spent Cartridge

- Spent Cartridge will stabilize the weapon during firing
- Nominal 1mm air gap between electrodes on weapon and cartridge
- Wires should be of appropriate gauge and voltage rating. Use wiring best practices

# Test system: Load

- A 600Ω load was chosen as representative of the electrical resistance of a subject.
- The load should be non-inductive below 1MHz
- The load should be rated for a minimum power dissipation of 10W
- Calibration of the exact resistance of the load is required. Repeated calibrations should be performed as required. Calibration via 4-wire measurement with calibrated ohmmeter

# Why $600\Omega$ ?

- The value of  $600\Omega$  was chosen by Taser Int.
  - At one point (see Ian Sinclair's history) a value of 250Ω was used.
- Clearly,  $600\Omega$  should be used for the testing against the Taser specs
- We could specify a different load for other tests.
- However, the choice of  $600\Omega$  is reasonable
  - Good average body conductivity estimate
  - Taser charge is relatively independent of load
- We need to explain this choice well

# Test system: Data Acquisition

- Normally, a calibrated voltage attenuator is required.
  - Comment: Most systems have used a 1000x
- Voltage data from all pulses must be recorded
  - Comment: It is acceptable to either: 1) record continuously throughout the pulse time, 2) record each pulse with a minimum pre-trigger of 4µs
  - Comment: It is not necessary to independently record current. Current may be derived from the voltage measurements

# Test system: Data Acquisition (con't)

- The minimum specifications for the data acquisition system are:
  - Bandwidth: 200 MHz
  - Sample rate: 2 Msample/s
  - Minimum data resolution: 8 bit
  - Minimum voltage resolution: 25 V
  - Signal must not be clipped (voltage out of range). Recorded trace must be tested for clipped values (equal to maximum converter value)



# C: Data Analysis

(V)

(A)

 $(\mu C)$ 

 $(\mu C)$ 

 $(\mu s)$ 

(ms)

- Parameters for each pulse calculated,
  - Main Phase Peak Voltage
  - Main Phase Peak Current
  - Full Pulse Total Charge
  - Main Phase Net Charge
  - Pulse Duration
  - Interpulse Time
- Summary data calculated
  - Max, min, average, std and avg8 (avg of last 8) calculated
  - Pulse Repetition Freq (PRF)= 1/avg(interpulse time)
  - Total Burst Length = sum(interpulse time)

Not in

TI spec [1]









# Comment: Total charge / Net Charge

- Nomenclature issues:
  - Many different "full" and "net" charges have been defined. MPB uses "full pulse total charge" and "main phase net charge"
  - DECISION: Use terms in MPB "tests concepts documents"
- Scientific issues:
  - Some literature uses total charge (sum of abs. value of pulses)
  - Some literature uses charge in largest phase
  - DECISION: Store both.
- General standard (not X26 specific)
  - Definition of Net Charge (B-C+D) is only for X26
  - DECISION: Standard will need editing for other CEWs

#### Variability of pulses



- Both weapons are within TI spec [1]
- We propose a spec on the maximum pulse

# C: Data Analysis: summary data

• Summary data example (for each firing):

Summary	MAX	MIN	AVG	AVG-8	STD
Full Pulse Total Charge (µC)	123	108	115	113	8.3
Main Phase Net Charge (µC)	119	105	111	109	11
Pulse Duration (us)	131	116	128	126	3.5
Interpulse Time (ms)	62.0	49.2	54.3	55.9	5.7
Peak Voltage (V)	2094	1861	1938	1923	80.3
Peak Current (A)	3.52	3.13	3.26	3.23	0.15

How many significant figures: based on hardware accuracy, but at least 3 figures given 0.5% accuracy minimum (ie. 8 bit) specs

Values in the Feb 4, 2009 Spec from Taser Int.

Test Protocol Discussion Document: 10 May 2010

## Idea: New parameter: $F_{\tau}$

Phys. Med. Biol. 54 (2009) 1319-1335

doi:10.1088/0031-9155/54/5/015

#### **Dosimetry considerations for electrical stun devices**

J Patrick Reilly<sup>1</sup>, Alan M Diamant<sup>2</sup> and James Comeaux<sup>3</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Johns Hopkins University, Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel MD and Metatec Associates, 12516 Davan Dr., Silver Spring, MD 20904, USA
 <sup>2</sup> Diamant Engineering, Madison, AL, USA

<sup>3</sup> General Dynamics, San Antonio, TX, USA

E-mail: jpreilly@ieee.org

Received 3 September 2008, in final form 14 January 2009 Published 10 February 2009 Online at stacks.iop.org/PMB/54/1319

#### Abstract

Electrical dosimetry issues are discussed in relation to electrical stun devices (ESDs). A measure of effectiveness is based on a 'threshold factor,'  $F_T$ , calculated with a myelinated nerve model that simulates stimulation of a reference-case neuron (20  $\mu$ m diameter, 1 cm distant). Several ESDs were measured in the laboratory using resistive loads of 100–1000  $\Omega$ 

# New parameter: $F_{\tau}$

- JP Reilly is a bioelectrical safety expert (and key *Braidwood* witness).
- Developed new way to correlate stimulation dose across multiple devices
  - $F_{\tau}$  = 1 is a strength that just stimulates nerve
  - $F_{\tau}$  values is the number of times that strength
- Software to calculate is complicated, but discussion indicated he's interested in sharing software with us for this project.
- Propose: add to parameter list if get source SW



# D: Reporting

- Indication: Use / Don't Use
  - Parameters in the AVG-8 for Firing #2 and #3 are compared to the specs from Taser International[1]
  - Specification on the maximum pulse
  - Additional specs to be developed
- The complete test document (next page) should be completed and sent to data repository
  - This characterization data will allow identification of weapon issues like poor serial numbers, failure modes, etc.

### X26 Test Document: Draft

Conducted Energy Weap	on Test Report			Date:				
Weapon:				Serial N	Number:			
Police Service:				Police	Officer:			
Test Service: New Safety Specs				Tester: Engine	Tester: Engineer:			
Visual Inspection:	Case  Battery  Electrodes  etc							
Comments:	Example:	ry, couldn't see d	e display					
Software Version:	Battery Cł	narge:			Data download pe	rformed 🗆		
Pulse Parameters	Max	Min	Avg		Avg-8	Std		
F.P. Total Charge (µC)			#1 #2	#3				
•			$\pi$					
M.P. Net Charge (µC)								
M.P. Net Charge (µC) Pulse Duration (µs)			Data for H	irings				
M.P. Net Charge (µC) Pulse Duration (µs) Interpulse Time (ms)			Data for F	irings				
M.P. Net Charge (µC) Pulse Duration (µs) Interpulse Time (ms) M.P. Peak Voltage (V)			Data for F	irings				
M.P. Net Charge (µC) Pulse Duration (µs) Interpulse Time (ms) M.P. Peak Voltage (V) M.P. Peak Current (A)			Data for F	irings				
M.P. Net Charge (µC) Pulse Duration (µs) Interpulse Time (ms) M.P. Peak Voltage (V) M.P. Peak Current (A) Pulse Rep. Freq. (Hz)			Data for F	irings				

Test Protocol Discussion Document: 10 May 2010 New Operational Specs 38

# New Safety / Operational Specs

- New Safety Specs
  - Based on IEC:
    - Max: F.P. Total Charge
  - Based on multiple of TI [1]
    - Max: M.P. Net Charge, M.P. Peak Voltage, etc.
- New Operational Specs
  - Min/Max: total burst length

# Characterization Data: Comment

- In order to adequately collect and use characterization data we need a data repository. This is like many others: transport, mining ...
- *National* Data repository:
  - All CEW weapons tests results should go here, so that trends can be identified.
  - Who runs it?
  - Who funds it?
  - How public are the data (to researchers, media)?

#### Summary



Test Protocol Discussion Document: 10 May 2010

# Certification

- What we want:
  - Given this protocol, several companies can offer Taser test services to police organizations
- Advantage:
  - Competition will help improve prices and service
- Challenge:
  - To ensure conformance to test protocol
- Possibilities:
  - Standards association certification
  - Individual certification by professional engineers

## Next Steps

- Seek consensus at this workshop
- Look for input from other experts
  - Get public comments
- Write up in two forms:
  - Short: just the test protocol
  - Long: test protocol + justification (publish in scientific literature)
- Develop national data repository
- Develop certification approach