

Lecture Notes 1

Review of Basic Probability Theory

- Probability Space and Axioms
- Basic Laws
- Conditional Probability and Bayes Rule
- Independence

Probability Theory

- Probability theory provides the mathematical rules for assigning probabilities to outcomes of random experiments, e.g., coin flips, packet arrivals, noise voltage
- Basic elements of probability theory:
 - *Sample space* Ω : set of all possible “elementary” or “finest grain” outcomes of the random experiment
 - *Set of events* \mathcal{F} : set of (all?) subsets of Ω —an event $A \subset \Omega$ occurs if the outcome $\omega \in A$
 - *Probability measure* P : function over \mathcal{F} that assigns probabilities to events according to the axioms of probability (see below)
- Formally, a *probability space* is the triple (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P)

Axioms of Probability

- A probability measure P satisfies the following axioms:
 1. $P(A) \geq 0$ for every event A in \mathcal{F}
 2. $P(\Omega) = 1$
 3. If A_1, A_2, \dots are *disjoint events*—i.e., $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$, for all $i \neq j$ —then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$$

- Notes:
 - P is a *measure* in the same sense as *mass*, *length*, *area*, and *volume*—all satisfy axioms 1 and 3
 - Unlike these other measures, P is bounded by 1 (axiom 2)
 - This analogy provides some intuition but is not sufficient to fully understand probability theory—other aspects such as conditioning and independence are unique to probability

Discrete Probability Spaces

- A sample space Ω is said to be *discrete* if it is countable
- Examples:
 - Flipping a coin: $\Omega = \{H, T\}$
 - Rolling a die: $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
 - Flipping a coin n times: $\Omega = \{H, T\}^n$, sequences of heads/tails of length n
 - Flipping a coin until the first heads occurs: $\Omega = \{H, TH, TTH, TTTH, \dots\}$
 - Number of packets arriving at a node in a communication network in time interval $(0, T]$: $\Omega = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

The first three examples have *finite* Ω , whereas the last two have *countably infinite* Ω . Both types are considered *discrete*

- For discrete sample spaces, the set of events \mathcal{F} can be taken to be the set of all subsets of Ω , sometimes called the *power set* of Ω

- Example: For the coin flipping experiment,

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \{H\}, \{T\}, \Omega\}$$

- \mathcal{F} does not have to be the entire power set (more on this later)
- The probability measure P can be defined by assigning probabilities to individual outcomes—single outcome events $\{\omega\}$ —so that:

$$P(\{\omega\}) \geq 0 \text{ for every } \omega \in \Omega$$

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} P(\{\omega\}) = 1$$

- The probability of any other event A is simply

$$P(A) = \sum_{\omega \in A} P(\{\omega\})$$

- Examples:

- For the coin flipping experiment, for some p with $0 \leq p \leq 1$ assign

$$P(\{H\}) = p \quad \text{and} \quad P(\{T\}) = 1 - p$$

Note: p is called the *bias*. A coin is *fair* if $p = \frac{1}{2}$

- For the die rolling experiment, assign

$$P(\{i\}) = \frac{1}{6} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$$

The probability of the event “the outcome is even,” $A = \{2, 4, 6\}$, is

$$P(A) = P(\{2\}) + P(\{4\}) + P(\{6\}) = \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{2}$$

- For the number of packets arriving in $(0, T]$, for parameter $\lambda > 0$ assign

$$P(\{k\}) = \frac{(\lambda T)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda T} \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This is the *Poisson* probability distribution with parameter λ , which is the average number of packets per unit time

Continuous Probability Spaces

- A *continuous* sample space Ω has an uncountable number of elements
- Examples:
 - Random number between 0 and 1: $\Omega = (0, 1]$
 - Packet arrival time: $\Omega = (0, \infty)$
 - Point in the unit disk: $\Omega = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$
 - Arrival times of n packets: $\Omega = (0, \infty)^n$
- For continuous Ω , we cannot in general define the probability measure P by first assigning probabilities to outcomes
- To see why, consider assigning a uniform probability measure over $(0, 1]$
 - In this case the probability of each single outcome event is zero
 - How do we find the probability of an event such as $A = [0.25, 0.75]$?

- Another difference for continuous Ω : we cannot take the set of events \mathcal{F} as the power set of Ω . (To learn why you need to study measure theory, which is beyond the scope of this course)
- The set of events \mathcal{F} cannot be an arbitrary collection of subsets of Ω . It must make sense, e.g., if A is an event, then its complement A^c must also be an event, the union of two events must be an event, and so on
- Formally, \mathcal{F} must be a *sigma algebra* (σ -algebra, σ -field), which satisfies the following axioms:
 1. $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
 2. If $A \in \mathcal{F}$ then $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$
 3. If $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$
- Of course, the power set is a sigma algebra. But we can define smaller σ -algebras. For example, for rolling a die, we could define the set of events as

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \text{odd}, \text{even}, \Omega\}$$

- For $\Omega = R = (-\infty, \infty)$ (or $(0, \infty)$, $(0, 1)$, etc.) \mathcal{F} is typically defined as the family of sets obtained by starting from the intervals and taking countable unions, intersections, and complements
- The resulting \mathcal{F} is called the *Borel field*
- Note: Amazingly there are subsets in R that cannot be generated in this way! (Not ones that you are likely to encounter in your life as an engineer or even as a mathematician)
- To define a probability measure over a Borel field, we first assign probabilities to the intervals in a consistent way, i.e., in a way that satisfies the axioms of probability
For example to define uniform probability measure over $(0, 1)$, we first assign $P((a, b)) = b - a$ to all intervals
- In EE 278 we do not deal with sigma fields or the Borel field beyond (kind of) knowing what they are

Basic Probability Properties

- $P(A^c) = 1 - P(A)$
- If $A \subset B$, then $P(A) \leq P(B)$
- $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$
- $P(A \cup B) \leq P(A) + P(B)$
- More generally, the *Union of Events or Bonferroni Bound*:

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i)$$

- *Law of Total Probability*: Let A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots be events that partition Ω , i.e., disjoint ($A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$) and $\bigcup_i A_i = \Omega$. Then for any event B

$$P(B) = \sum_i P(A_i \cap B)$$

The Law of Total Probability is very useful for finding probabilities of sets

Conditional Probability

- Let B be an event such that $P(B) \neq 0$. The *conditional probability* of event A given B is defined to be

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(A, B)}{P(B)}$$

- The function $P(\cdot|B)$ is a probability measure over \mathcal{F} , i.e., it satisfies the axioms of probability
- Chain rule: $P(A, B) = P(A)P(B|A) = P(B)P(A|B)$ (this can be generalized to n events).
- The probability of event A given B , a nonzero probability event—the *a posteriori* probability of A —is related to the unconditional probability of A —the *a priori* probability—by

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)}{P(B)} P(A)$$

This follows directly from the definition of conditional probability

Bayes Rule

- Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be nonzero probability events that partition Ω , and let B be a nonzero probability event
- We know $P(A_i)$ and $P(B|A_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and want to find the a posteriori probabilities $P(A_j|B)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$
- We know that

$$P(A_j|B) = \frac{P(B|A_j)}{P(B)} P(A_j)$$

- By the law of total probability

$$P(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i, B) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i)P(B|A_i)$$

- Substituting, we obtain *Bayes rule*

$$P(A_j|B) = \frac{P(B|A_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i)P(B|A_i)} P(A_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

- Bayes rule also applies to a (countably) infinite number of events

Independence

- Two events are said to be *statistically independent* if

$$P(A, B) = P(A)P(B)$$

- When $P(B) \neq 0$, this is equivalent to

$$P(A|B) = P(A)$$

In other words, knowing whether B occurs does not change the probability of A

- Example: Assuming that the binary channel of the previous example is used to send two bits independently, what is the probability that both bits are in error?

- Define the two events

$$E_1 = \{\text{First bit is in error}\}, \quad E_2 = \{\text{Second bit is in error}\}$$

- Since the bits are sent independently, the probability that both are in error is

$$P(E_1 \cap E_2) = P(E_1, E_2) = P(E_1)P(E_2)$$

- To find $P(E_1)$, we express E_1 in terms of the events A and B as

$$E_1 = (A_1 \cap B_1^c) \cup (A_1^c \cap B_1)$$

- Since E_1 has been expressed as the union of disjoint events,

$$\begin{aligned} P(E_1) &= P(A_1, B_1^c) + P(A_1^c, B_1) \\ &= P(A_1)P(B_1^c | A_1) + P(A_1^c)P(B_1 | A_1^c) \\ &= 0.2 \cdot 0.1 + 0.8 \cdot 0.025 = 0.04 \end{aligned}$$

- The probability that the two bits are in error is

$$P(E_1, E_2) = P(E_1)P(E_2) = (0.04)^2 = 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$$

- In general A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are defined to be independent if for every subset $A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, \dots, A_{i_k}$ of the events,

$$P(A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, \dots, A_{i_k}) = \prod_{j=1}^k P(A_{i_j})$$

- Note: $P(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) = \prod_{j=1}^n P(A_j)$ is *not* sufficient for independence

Example: Roll two fair dice independently. Define the events

$$A = \{\text{First die is 1, 2, or 3}\}$$

$$B = \{\text{First die is 2, 3, or 6}\}$$

$$C = \{\text{Sum of outcomes is 9}\} = \{(3, 6), (4, 5), (5, 4), (6, 3)\}$$

Are A , B , and C independent?

Since the dice are fair and the experiments are done independently, the probability of any pair of outcomes is $\frac{1}{36}$. Therefore

$$P(A) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad P(B) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad P(C) = \frac{1}{9}$$

Since $A \cap B \cap C = \{(3, 6)\}$,

$$P(A, B, C) = \frac{1}{36} = P(A)P(B)P(C)$$

But A , B , and C are *not* independent because

$$P(A, B) = \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{3} \neq \frac{1}{4} = P(A)P(B)$$